



LaplacesDemon Examples

Byron Hall
STATISTICAT, LLC

Abstract

The **LaplacesDemon** package in R enables Bayesian inference with any Bayesian model, provided the user specifies the likelihood. This vignette is a compendium of examples of how to specify different model forms.

Keywords: ~Bayesian, Bayesian Inference, Laplace's Demon, LaplacesDemon, R, STATISTICAT.

LaplacesDemon (Hall 2012), usually referred to as Laplace's Demon, is an R package that is available on CRAN (R Development Core Team 2012). A formal introduction to Laplace's Demon is provided in an accompanying vignette entitled "**LaplacesDemon** Tutorial", and an introduction to Bayesian inference is provided in the "Bayesian Inference" vignette.

The purpose of this document is to provide users of the **LaplacesDemon** package with examples of a variety of Bayesian methods. It is also a testament to the diverse applicability of **LaplacesDemon** to Bayesian inference.

To conserve space, the examples are not worked out in detail, and only the minimum of necessary materials is provided for using the various methodologies. Necessary materials include the form expressed in notation, data (which is often simulated), the **Model** function, and initial values. The provided data, model specification, and initial values may be copy/pasted into an R file and updated with the **LaplacesDemon** or (usually) **LaplaceApproximation** functions. Although many of these examples update quickly, some examples are computationally intensive.

Notation in this vignette follows these standards: Greek letters represent parameters, lower case letters represent indices, lower case bold face letters represent scalars or vectors, probability distributions are represented with calligraphic font, upper case letters represent index limits, and upper case bold face letters represent matrices.

This vignette will grow over time as examples of more methods become included. Contributed examples are welcome. Please send contributed examples or discovered errors in a similar format in an email to statisticat@gmail.com for review and testing. All accepted contributions are, of course, credited.

Contents

- ANCOVA [1](#)
- ANOVA, One-Way [2](#)
- ANOVA, Two-Way [3](#)
- Approximate Bayesian Computation (ABC) [4](#)
- ARCH-M(1,1) [5](#)
- Autoregression, AR(1) [6](#)
- Autoregressive Conditional Heteroskedasticity, ARCH(1,1) [7](#)
- Autoregressive Moving Average, ARMA(1,1) [8](#)
- Beta Regression [9](#)
- Beta-Binomial [10](#)
- Binary Logit [11](#)
- Binary Log-Log Link Mixture [12](#)
- Binary Probit [13](#)
- Binomial Logit [14](#)
- Binomial Probit [15](#)
- Cluster Analysis, Confirmatory (CCA) [16](#)
- Cluster Analysis, Exploratory (ECA) [17](#)
- Conditional Autoregression (CAR), Poisson [18](#)
- Conditional Predictive Ordinate (CPO) [19](#)
- Contingency Table [20](#)
- Covariance Separation Strategy [21](#)
- Discrete Choice, Conditional Logit [22](#)
- Discrete Choice, Mixed Logit [23](#)
- Discrete Choice, Multinomial Probit [24](#)
- Distributed Lag, Koyck [25](#)
- Dynamic Linear Model (DLM) [29](#) [76](#) [77](#) [78](#)
- Exponential Smoothing [26](#)

- Factor Analysis, Approximate Dynamic (ADFA) 27
- Factor Analysis, Confirmatory (CFA) 28
- Factor Analysis, Dynamic (DFA) 29
- Factor Analysis, Exploratory (EFA) 30
- Factor Regression 31
- Gamma Regression 32
- GARCH(1,1) 33
- GARCH-M(1,1) 34
- Geographically Weighted Regression 35
- Hierarchical Bayes 42
- Inverse Gaussian Regression 36
- Kriging 37
- Kriging, Predictive Process 38
- Laplace Regression 39
- Linear Regression 40
- Linear Regression, Frequentist 41
- Linear Regression, Hierarchical Bayesian 42
- Linear Regression, Multilevel 43
- Linear Regression with Full Missingness 44
- Linear Regression with Missing Response 45
- LSTAR 46
- MANCOVA 47
- MANOVA 48
- Mixture Model, Dirichlet Process 17
- Mixture Model, Finite 16 49
- Mixture Model, Infinite 17 50
- Mixture Model, Poisson-Gamma 51
- Model Averaging 83
- Multilevel Model 43

- Multinomial Logit [52](#)
- Multinomial Logit, Nested [53](#)
- Multinomial Probit [54](#)
- Multivariate Binary Probit [55](#)
- Multivariate Laplace Regression [56](#)
- Multivariate Regression [57](#)
- Negative Binomial Regression [58](#)
- Normal, Multilevel [59](#)
- Ordinal Logit [60](#)
- Ordinal Probit [61](#)
- Panel, Autoregressive Poisson [62](#)
- Penalized Spline Regression [63](#)
- Poisson Regression [64](#)
- Poisson Regression, Overdispersed [51](#) [58](#)
- Poisson-Gamma Regression [51](#)
- Polynomial Regression [65](#)
- Proportional Hazards Regression, Weibull [66](#)
- Revision, Normal [67](#)
- Robust Regression [68](#)
- Seemingly Unrelated Regression (SUR) [69](#)
- Simultaneous Equations [70](#)
- Space-Time, Dynamic [71](#)
- Space-Time, Nonseparable [72](#)
- Space-Time, Separable [73](#)
- Spatial Autoregression (SAR) [74](#)
- STARMA(1,1) [75](#)
- State Space Model (SSM), Dynamic Factor Analysis (DFA) [29](#)
- State Space Model (SSM), Linear Regression [76](#)
- State Space Model (SSM), Local Level [77](#)

- State Space Model (SSM), Local Linear Trend 78
- State Space Model (SSM), Stochastic Volatility (SV) 79
- Stochastic Volatility (SV) 79
- T-test 2
- Threshold Autoregression (TAR) 80
- TARCH(1) 81
- Variable Selection, BAL 82
- Variable Selection, SSVS 83
- Vector Autoregression, VAR(1) 84
- Weighted Regression 85
- Zero-Inflated Poisson (ZIP) 86

1. ANCOVA

This example is essentially the same as the two-way ANOVA (see section 3), except that a covariate \mathbf{X}_3 has been added, and its parameter is δ .

1.1. Form

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{y}_i &\sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_i, \sigma_1^2) \\
 \mu_i &= \alpha + \beta[\mathbf{X}_{i,1}] + \gamma[\mathbf{X}_{i,2}] + \delta\mathbf{X}_{i,2}, \quad i = 1, \dots, N \\
 \epsilon_i &= \mathbf{y}_i - \mu_i \\
 \alpha &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000) \\
 \beta_j &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_2^2), \quad j = 1, \dots, (J-1) \\
 \beta_J &= -\sum_{j=1}^{J-1} \beta_j \\
 \gamma_k &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_3^2), \quad k = 1, \dots, (K-1) \\
 \gamma_K &= -\sum_{k=1}^{K-1} \gamma_k \\
 \delta &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000) \\
 \sigma_m &\sim \mathcal{HC}(25), \quad m = 1, \dots, 3
 \end{aligned}$$

1.2. Data

```

N <- 100
J <- 5 #Number of levels in factor (treatment) 1
K <- 3 #Number of levels in factor (treatment) 2
X <- matrix(cbind(round(runif(N,0.5,J+0.49)),round(runif(N,0.5,K+0.49)),
  runif(N,-2,2)), N, 3)
alpha <- runif(1,-1,1)
beta <- runif(J,-2,2)
beta[J] <- -sum(beta[1:(J-1)])
gamma <- runif(K,-2,2)
gamma[J] <- -sum(gamma[1:(K-1)])
delta <- runif(1,-2,2)
y <- alpha + beta[X[,1]] + gamma[X[,2]] + delta*X[,3] + rnorm(N,0,0.1)
mon.names <- c("LP","beta[5]","gamma[3]","sigma[1]","sigma[2]","sigma[3]",
  "s.beta","s.gamma","s.epsilon")
parm.names <- as.parm.names(list(alpha=0, beta=rep(0,J-1), gamma=rep(0,K-1),
  delta=0, log.sigma=rep(0,3)))
MyData <- list(J=J, K=K, N=N, X=X, mon.names=mon.names,
  parm.names=parm.names, y=y)

```

1.3. Model

```

Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Parameters
  alpha <- parm[1]
  beta <- rep(NA,Data$J)
  beta[1:(Data$J-1)] <- parm[2:Data$J]
  beta[Data$J] <- -sum(beta[1:(Data$J-1)]) #Sum-to-zero constraint
  gamma <- rep(NA,Data$K)
  gamma[1:(Data$K-1)] <- parm[grep("gamma", Data$parm.names)]
  gamma[Data$K] <- -sum(gamma[1:(Data$K-1)]) #Sum-to-zero constraint
  delta <- parm[grep("delta", Data$parm.names)]
  sigma <- exp(parm[grep("log.sigma", Data$parm.names)])
  ### Log(Prior Densities)
  alpha.prior <- dnorm(alpha, 0, 1000, log=TRUE)
  beta.prior <- sum(dnorm(beta, 0, sigma[2], log=TRUE))
  gamma.prior <- sum(dnorm(gamma, 0, sigma[3], log=TRUE))
  delta.prior <- dnorm(delta, 0, 1000, log=TRUE)
  sigma.prior <- sum(dhalfcauchy(sigma, 25, log=TRUE))
  ### Log-Likelihood
  mu <- alpha + beta[Data$X[,1]] + gamma[Data$X[,2]] +
    delta*Data$X[,3]
  LL <- sum(dnorm(Data$y, mu, sigma[1], log=TRUE))
  ### Variance Components

```

```

s.beta <- sd(beta)
s.gamma <- sd(gamma)
s.epsilon <- sd(Data$y - mu)
### Log-Posterior
LP <- LL + alpha.prior + beta.prior + gamma.prior + delta.prior +
  sigma.prior
Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=c(LP, beta[Data$J],
  gamma[Data$K], sigma, s.beta, s.gamma, s.epsilon), yhat=mu,
  parm=parm)
return(Modelout)
}

```

1.4. Initial Values

```
Initial.Values <- c(0, rep(0,(J-1)), rep(0,(K-1)), 0, rep(log(1),3))
```

2. ANOVA, One-Way

When $J = 2$, this is a Bayesian form of a t-test.

2.1. Form

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{y} &\sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma_1^2) \\
 \mu_i &= \alpha + \beta[\mathbf{x}_i], \quad i = 1, \dots, N \\
 \alpha &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000) \\
 \beta_j &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_2^2), \quad j = 1, \dots, (J-1) \\
 \beta_J &= -\sum_{j=1}^{J-1} \beta_j \\
 \sigma_{1:2} &\sim \mathcal{HC}(25)
 \end{aligned}$$

2.2. Data

```

N <- 100
J <- 5
x <- rcat(N, rep(1,J))
alpha <- runif(1,-1,1)
beta <- runif(J,-2,2)
beta[J] <- -sum(beta[1:(J-1)])
y <- rep(NA, N)
for (i in 1:N) {y[i] <- alpha + beta[x[i]] + rnorm(1,0,0.2)}
mon.names <- c("LP","beta[1]","sigma[1]","sigma[2]")
parm.names <- as.parm.names(list(alpha=0, beta=rep(0,J-1),

```

```
log.sigma=rep(0,2)))
MyData <- list(J=J, N=N, mon.names=mon.names, parm.names=parm.names, x=x,
              y=y)
```

2.3. Model

```
Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Parameters
  alpha <- parm[1]
  beta <- rep(NA, Data$J)
  beta[1:(Data$J-1)] <- parm[2:Data$J]
  beta[Data$J] <- -sum(beta[1:(Data$J-1)]) #Sum-to-zero constraint
  sigma <- exp(parm[grep("log.sigma", Data$parm.names)])
  ### Log(Prior Densities)
  alpha.prior <- dnorm(alpha, 0, 1000, log=TRUE)
  beta.prior <- sum(dnorm(beta, 0, sigma[2], log=TRUE))
  sigma.prior <- sum(dhalfcauchy(sigma, 25, log=TRUE))
  ### Log-Likelihood
  mu <- alpha + beta[Data$x]
  LL <- sum(dnorm(Data$y, mu, sigma[1], log=TRUE))
  ### Log-Posterior
  LP <- LL + alpha.prior + beta.prior + sigma.prior
  Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=c(LP, beta[Data$J],
        sigma), yhat=mu, parm=parm)
  return(Modelout)
}
```

2.4. Initial Values

```
Initial.Values <- c(0, rep(0, (J-1)), rep(log(1), 2))
```

3. ANOVA, Two-Way

In this representation, σ^m are the superpopulation variance components, **s.beta** and **s.gamma** are the finite-population within-variance components of the factors or treatments, and **s.epsilon** is the finite-population between-variance component.

3.1. Form

$$\mathbf{y}_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_i, \sigma_1^2)$$

$$\mu_i = \alpha + \beta[\mathbf{X}_{i,1}] + \gamma[\mathbf{X}_{i,2}], \quad i = 1, \dots, N$$

$$\epsilon_i = \mathbf{y}_i - \mu_i$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000) \\
\beta_j &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_2^2), \quad j = 1, \dots, (J-1) \\
\beta_J &= -\sum_{j=1}^{J-1} \beta_j \\
\gamma_k &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_3^2), \quad k = 1, \dots, (K-1) \\
\gamma_K &= -\sum_{k=1}^{K-1} \gamma_k \\
\sigma_m &\sim \mathcal{HC}(25), \quad m = 1, \dots, 3
\end{aligned}$$

3.2. Data

```

N <- 100
J <- 5 #Number of levels in factor (treatment) 1
K <- 3 #Number of levels in factor (treatment) 2
X <- matrix(cbind(round(runif(N, 0.5, J+0.49)),round(runif(N,0.5,K+0.49))),
            N, 2)
alpha <- runif(1,-1,1)
beta <- runif(J,-2,2)
beta[J] <- -sum(beta[1:(J-1)])
gamma <- runif(K,-2,2)
gamma[J] <- -sum(gamma[1:(K-1)])
y <- alpha + beta[X[,1]] + gamma[X[,2]] + rnorm(1,0,0.1)
mon.names <- c("LP","beta[5]","gamma[3]","sigma[1]","sigma[2]","sigma[3]",
              "s.beta","s.gamma","s.epsilon")
parm.names <- as.parm.names(list(alpha=0, beta=rep(0,J-1), gamma=rep(0,K-1),
                                log.sigma=rep(0,3)))
MyData <- list(J=J, K=K, N=N, X=X, mon.names=mon.names,
              parm.names=parm.names, y=y)

```

3.3. Model

```

Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Parameters
  alpha <- parm[1]
  beta <- rep(NA,Data$J)
  beta[1:(Data$J-1)] <- parm[2:Data$J]
  beta[Data$J] <- -sum(beta[1:(Data$J-1)]) #Sum-to-zero constraint
  gamma <- rep(NA,Data$K)
  gamma[1:(Data$K-1)] <- parm[grepl("gamma", Data$parm.names)]
  gamma[Data$K] <- -sum(gamma[1:(Data$K-1)]) #Sum-to-zero constraint
  sigma <- exp(parm[grepl("log.sigma", Data$parm.names)])
}

```

```

#### Log(Prior Densities)
alpha.prior <- dnorm(alpha, 0, 1000, log=TRUE)
beta.prior <- sum(dnorm(beta, 0, sigma[2], log=TRUE))
gamma.prior <- sum(dnorm(gamma, 0, sigma[3], log=TRUE))
sigma.prior <- sum(dhalfcauchy(sigma, 25, log=TRUE))
#### Log-Likelihood
mu <- alpha + beta[Data$X[,1]] + gamma[Data$X[,2]]
LL <- sum(dnorm(Data$y, mu, sigma[1], log=TRUE))
#### Variance Components
s.beta <- sd(beta)
s.gamma <- sd(gamma)
s.epsilon <- sd(Data$y - mu)
#### Log-Posterior
LP <- LL + alpha.prior + beta.prior + gamma.prior +
      sigma.prior
Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=c(LP, beta[Data$J],
      gamma[Data$K], sigma, s.beta, s.gamma, s.epsilon), yhat=mu,
      parm=parm)
return(Modelout)
}

```

3.4. Initial Values

```
Initial.Values <- c(0, rep(0,(J-1)), rep(0,(K-1)), rep(log(1),3))
```

4. Approximate Bayesian Computation (ABC)

Approximate Bayesian Computation (ABC), also called likelihood-free estimation, is not a statistical method, but a family of numerical approximation techniques in Bayesian inference. ABC is especially useful when evaluation of the likelihood, $p(\mathbf{y}|\Theta)$ is computationally prohibitive, or when suitable likelihoods are unavailable. The current example is the application of ABC in the context of linear regression. The log-likelihood is replaced with the negative sum of the distance between \mathbf{y} and \mathbf{y}^{rep} as the approximation of the log-likelihood. Distance reduces to the absolute difference. Although linear regression has an easily calculated likelihood, it is used as an example due to its generality. This example demonstrates how ABC may be estimated either with MCMC via the `LaplacesDemon` function or with Laplace Approximation via the `LaplaceApproximation` function. In this method, a tolerance (which is found often in ABC) does not need to be specified, and the logarithm of the unnormalized joint posterior density is maximized, as usual. The negative and summed distance, above, may be replaced with the negative and summed distance between summaries of the data, rather than the data itself, but this has not been desirable in testing.

4.1. Form

$$\mathbf{y} = \mu + \epsilon$$

$$\mu = \mathbf{X}\beta$$

$$\beta_j \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000), \quad j = 1, \dots, J$$

4.2. Data

```
data(demonsnacks)
y <- log(demonsnacks$Calories)
X <- cbind(1, as.matrix(demonsnacks[,c(7,8,10)]))
J <- ncol(X)
for (j in 2:J) {X[,j] <- CenterScale(X[,j])}
mon.names <- c("LP", "sigma")
parm.names <- as.parm.names(list(beta=rep(0,J)))
MyData <- list(J=J, X=X, mon.names=mon.names, parm.names=parm.names, y=y)
```

4.3. Model

```
Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Parameters
  beta <- parm[1:Data$J]
  ### Log(Prior Densities)
  beta.prior <- sum(dnormv(beta, 0, 1000, log=TRUE))
  ### Log-Likelihood Approximation
  mu <- as.vector(tcrossprod(Data$X, t(beta)))
  epsilon <- Data$y - mu
  sigma <- sd(epsilon)
  LL <- -sum(abs(epsilon))
  ### Log-Posterior Approximation
  LP <- LL + beta.prior
  Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=c(LP,sigma), yhat=mu,
    parm=parm)
  return(Modelout)
}
```

4.4. Initial Values

```
Initial.Values <- c(rep(0,J))
```

5. ARCH-M(1,1)

5.1. Form

$$\mathbf{y}_t \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_t, \sigma_t^2), \quad t = 1, \dots, T$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{y}^{new} &\sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_{T+1}, \sigma_{new}^2) \\
\mu_t &= \alpha + \phi \mathbf{y}_{t-1} + \delta \sigma_{t-1}^2, \quad t = 1, \dots, (T+1) \\
\epsilon_t &= \mathbf{y}_t - \mu_t \\
\alpha &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000) \\
\phi &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000) \\
\delta &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000) \\
\sigma_{new}^2 &= \omega + \theta \epsilon_T^2 \\
\sigma_t^2 &= \omega + \theta \epsilon_{t-1}^2 \\
\omega &< -\mathcal{HC}(25) \\
\theta &\sim \mathcal{U}(0, 1)
\end{aligned}$$

5.2. Data

```

y <- c(0.02, -0.51, -0.30, 1.46, -1.26, -2.15, -0.91, -0.53, -1.91,
      2.64, 1.64, 0.15, 1.46, 1.61, 1.96, -2.67, -0.19, -3.28,
      1.89, 0.91, -0.71, 0.74, -0.10, 3.20, -0.80, -5.25, 1.03,
      -0.40, -1.62, -0.80, 0.77, 0.17, -1.39, -1.28, 0.48, -1.02,
      0.09, -1.09, 0.86, 0.36, 1.51, -0.02, 0.47, 0.62, -1.36,
      1.12, 0.42, -4.39, -0.87, 0.05, -5.41, -7.38, -1.01, -1.70,
      0.64, 1.16, 0.87, 0.28, -1.69, -0.29, 0.13, -0.65, 0.83,
      0.62, 0.05, -0.14, 0.01, -0.36, -0.32, -0.80, -0.06, 0.24,
      0.23, -0.37, 0.00, -0.33, 0.21, -0.10, -0.10, -0.01, -0.40,
      -0.35, 0.48, -0.28, 0.08, 0.28, 0.23, 0.27, -0.35, -0.19,
      0.24, 0.17, -0.02, -0.23, 0.03, 0.02, -0.17, 0.04, -0.39,
      -0.12, 0.16, 0.17, 0.00, 0.18, 0.06, -0.36, 0.22, 0.14,
      -0.17, 0.10, -0.01, 0.00, -0.18, -0.02, 0.07, -0.06, 0.06,
      -0.05, -0.08, -0.07, 0.01, -0.06, 0.01, 0.01, -0.02, 0.01,
      0.01, 0.12, -0.03, 0.08, -0.10, 0.01, -0.03, -0.08, 0.04,
      -0.09, -0.08, 0.01, -0.05, 0.08, -0.14, 0.06, -0.11, 0.09,
      0.06, -0.12, -0.01, -0.05, -0.15, -0.05, -0.03, 0.04, 0.00,
      -0.12, 0.04, -0.06, -0.05, -0.07, -0.05, -0.14, -0.05, -0.01,
      -0.12, 0.05, 0.06, -0.10, 0.00, 0.01, 0.00, -0.08, 0.00,
      0.00, 0.07, -0.01, 0.00, 0.09, 0.33, 0.13, 0.42, 0.24,
      -0.36, 0.22, -0.09, -0.19, -0.10, -0.08, -0.07, 0.05, 0.07,
      0.07, 0.00, -0.04, -0.05, 0.03, 0.08, 0.26, 0.10, 0.08,
      0.09, -0.07, -0.33, 0.17, -0.03, 0.07, -0.04, -0.06, -0.06,
      0.07, -0.03, 0.00, 0.08, 0.27, 0.11, 0.11, 0.06, -0.11,
      -0.09, -0.21, 0.24, -0.12, 0.11, -0.02, -0.03, 0.02, -0.10,
      0.00, -0.04, 0.01, 0.02, -0.03, -0.10, -0.09, 0.17, 0.07,
      -0.05, -0.01, -0.05, 0.01, 0.00, -0.08, -0.05, -0.08, 0.07,
      0.06, -0.14, 0.02, 0.01, 0.04, 0.00, -0.13, -0.17)
T <- length(y)

```

```

mon.names <- c("LP", "ynew", "sigma2.new")
parm.names <- c("alpha", "phi", "delta", "log.omega", "theta")
MyData <- list(T=T, mon.names=mon.names, parm.names=parm.names, y=y)

```

5.3. Model

```

Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Parameters
  alpha <- parm[1]; phi <- parm[2]; delta <- parm[3]
  omega <- exp(parm[4])
  parm[5] <- theta <- interval(parm[5], 1e-10, 1-1e-5)
  ### Log(Prior Densities)
  alpha.prior <- dnormv(alpha, 0, 1000, log=TRUE)
  phi.prior <- dnormv(phi, 0, 1000, log=TRUE)
  delta.prior <- dnormv(delta, 0, 1000, log=TRUE)
  omega.prior <- dhalfcauchy(omega, 25, log=TRUE)
  theta.prior <- dunif(theta, 0, 1, log=TRUE)
  ### Log-Likelihood
  mu <- c(alpha, alpha + phi*Data$y[-Data$T])
  epsilon <- Data$y - mu
  sigma2 <- c(omega, omega + theta*epsilon[-Data$T]^2)
  mu <- mu + delta*sigma2
  ynew <- alpha + phi*Data$y[Data$T] + delta*sigma2[Data$T]
  sigma2.new <- omega + theta*epsilon[Data$T]^2
  LL <- sum(dnormv(Data$y, mu, sigma2, log=TRUE))
  ### Log-Posterior
  LP <- LL + alpha.prior + phi.prior + delta.prior + omega.prior + theta.prior
  Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=c(LP, ynew, sigma2.new),
    yhat=mu, parm=parm)
  return(Modelout)
}

```

5.4. Initial Values

```
Initial.Values <- c(rep(0,3), rep(0.5,2))
```

6. Autoregression, AR(1)

6.1. Form

$$y_t \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_t, \sigma^2), \quad t = 1, \dots, T$$

$$\mathbf{y}^{new} = \alpha + \mu_{T+1}$$

$$\mu_t = \alpha + \phi \mathbf{y}_{t-1}, \quad t = 1, \dots, (T+1)$$

$$\alpha \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000)$$

$$\phi \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000)$$

$$\sigma \sim \mathcal{HC}(25)$$

6.2. Data

```

y <- c(0.02, -0.51, -0.30, 1.46, -1.26, -2.15, -0.91, -0.53, -1.91,
      2.64, 1.64, 0.15, 1.46, 1.61, 1.96, -2.67, -0.19, -3.28,
      1.89, 0.91, -0.71, 0.74, -0.10, 3.20, -0.80, -5.25, 1.03,
      -0.40, -1.62, -0.80, 0.77, 0.17, -1.39, -1.28, 0.48, -1.02,
      0.09, -1.09, 0.86, 0.36, 1.51, -0.02, 0.47, 0.62, -1.36,
      1.12, 0.42, -4.39, -0.87, 0.05, -5.41, -7.38, -1.01, -1.70,
      0.64, 1.16, 0.87, 0.28, -1.69, -0.29, 0.13, -0.65, 0.83,
      0.62, 0.05, -0.14, 0.01, -0.36, -0.32, -0.80, -0.06, 0.24,
      0.23, -0.37, 0.00, -0.33, 0.21, -0.10, -0.10, -0.01, -0.40,
      -0.35, 0.48, -0.28, 0.08, 0.28, 0.23, 0.27, -0.35, -0.19,
      0.24, 0.17, -0.02, -0.23, 0.03, 0.02, -0.17, 0.04, -0.39,
      -0.12, 0.16, 0.17, 0.00, 0.18, 0.06, -0.36, 0.22, 0.14,
      -0.17, 0.10, -0.01, 0.00, -0.18, -0.02, 0.07, -0.06, 0.06,
      -0.05, -0.08, -0.07, 0.01, -0.06, 0.01, 0.01, -0.02, 0.01,
      0.01, 0.12, -0.03, 0.08, -0.10, 0.01, -0.03, -0.08, 0.04,
      -0.09, -0.08, 0.01, -0.05, 0.08, -0.14, 0.06, -0.11, 0.09,
      0.06, -0.12, -0.01, -0.05, -0.15, -0.05, -0.03, 0.04, 0.00,
      -0.12, 0.04, -0.06, -0.05, -0.07, -0.05, -0.14, -0.05, -0.01,
      -0.12, 0.05, 0.06, -0.10, 0.00, 0.01, 0.00, -0.08, 0.00,
      0.00, 0.07, -0.01, 0.00, 0.09, 0.33, 0.13, 0.42, 0.24,
      -0.36, 0.22, -0.09, -0.19, -0.10, -0.08, -0.07, 0.05, 0.07,
      0.07, 0.00, -0.04, -0.05, 0.03, 0.08, 0.26, 0.10, 0.08,
      0.09, -0.07, -0.33, 0.17, -0.03, 0.07, -0.04, -0.06, -0.06,
      0.07, -0.03, 0.00, 0.08, 0.27, 0.11, 0.11, 0.06, -0.11,
      -0.09, -0.21, 0.24, -0.12, 0.11, -0.02, -0.03, 0.02, -0.10,
      0.00, -0.04, 0.01, 0.02, -0.03, -0.10, -0.09, 0.17, 0.07,
      -0.05, -0.01, -0.05, 0.01, 0.00, -0.08, -0.05, -0.08, 0.07,
      0.06, -0.14, 0.02, 0.01, 0.04, 0.00, -0.13, -0.17)
T <- length(y)
mon.names <- c("LP", "sigma", "ynew")
parm.names <- c("alpha", "phi", "log.sigma")
MyData <- list(T=T, mon.names=mon.names, parm.names=parm.names, y=y)

```

6.3. Model

```

Model <- function(parm, Data)
{

```

```

#### Parameters
alpha <- parm[1]; phi <- parm[2]; sigma <- exp(parm[3])
#### Log(Prior Densities)
alpha.prior <- dnormv(alpha, 0, 1000, log=TRUE)
phi.prior <- dnormv(phi, 0, 1000, log=TRUE)
sigma.prior <- dhalfcauchy(sigma, 25, log=TRUE)
#### Log-Likelihood
mu <- c(alpha, alpha + phi*Data$y[-Data$T])
ynew <- alpha + phi*Data$y[Data$T]
LL <- sum(dnorm(Data$y, mu, sigma, log=TRUE))
#### Log-Posterior
LP <- LL + alpha.prior + phi.prior + sigma.prior
Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=c(LP,sigma,ynew),
  yhat=mu, parm=parm)
return(Modelout)
}

```

6.4. Initial Values

```
Initial.Values <- c(rep(0,2), log(1))
```

7. Autoregressive Conditional Heteroskedasticity, ARCH(1,1)

7.1. Form

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{y}_t &\sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_t, \sigma_t^2), \quad t = 1, \dots, T \\
 \mathbf{y}^{new} &\sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_{T+1}, \sigma_{new}^2) \\
 \mu_t &= \alpha + \phi \mathbf{y}_{t-1}, \quad t = 1, \dots, (T+1) \\
 \epsilon_t &= \mathbf{y}_t - \mu_t \\
 \alpha &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000) \\
 \phi &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000) \\
 \sigma_{new}^2 &= \omega + \theta \epsilon_T^2 \\
 \sigma_t^2 &= \omega + \theta \epsilon_{t-1}^2, \\
 \omega &\sim \mathcal{HC}(25) \\
 \theta &\sim \mathcal{U}(0, 1)
 \end{aligned}$$

7.2. Data

```
y <- c(0.02, -0.51, -0.30, 1.46, -1.26, -2.15, -0.91, -0.53, -1.91,
  2.64, 1.64, 0.15, 1.46, 1.61, 1.96, -2.67, -0.19, -3.28,
```

```

1.89, 0.91, -0.71, 0.74, -0.10, 3.20, -0.80, -5.25, 1.03,
-0.40, -1.62, -0.80, 0.77, 0.17, -1.39, -1.28, 0.48, -1.02,
0.09, -1.09, 0.86, 0.36, 1.51, -0.02, 0.47, 0.62, -1.36,
1.12, 0.42, -4.39, -0.87, 0.05, -5.41, -7.38, -1.01, -1.70,
0.64, 1.16, 0.87, 0.28, -1.69, -0.29, 0.13, -0.65, 0.83,
0.62, 0.05, -0.14, 0.01, -0.36, -0.32, -0.80, -0.06, 0.24,
0.23, -0.37, 0.00, -0.33, 0.21, -0.10, -0.10, -0.01, -0.40,
-0.35, 0.48, -0.28, 0.08, 0.28, 0.23, 0.27, -0.35, -0.19,
0.24, 0.17, -0.02, -0.23, 0.03, 0.02, -0.17, 0.04, -0.39,
-0.12, 0.16, 0.17, 0.00, 0.18, 0.06, -0.36, 0.22, 0.14,
-0.17, 0.10, -0.01, 0.00, -0.18, -0.02, 0.07, -0.06, 0.06,
-0.05, -0.08, -0.07, 0.01, -0.06, 0.01, 0.01, -0.02, 0.01,
0.01, 0.12, -0.03, 0.08, -0.10, 0.01, -0.03, -0.08, 0.04,
-0.09, -0.08, 0.01, -0.05, 0.08, -0.14, 0.06, -0.11, 0.09,
0.06, -0.12, -0.01, -0.05, -0.15, -0.05, -0.03, 0.04, 0.00,
-0.12, 0.04, -0.06, -0.05, -0.07, -0.05, -0.14, -0.05, -0.01,
-0.12, 0.05, 0.06, -0.10, 0.00, 0.01, 0.00, -0.08, 0.00,
0.00, 0.07, -0.01, 0.00, 0.09, 0.33, 0.13, 0.42, 0.24,
-0.36, 0.22, -0.09, -0.19, -0.10, -0.08, -0.07, 0.05, 0.07,
0.07, 0.00, -0.04, -0.05, 0.03, 0.08, 0.26, 0.10, 0.08,
0.09, -0.07, -0.33, 0.17, -0.03, 0.07, -0.04, -0.06, -0.06,
0.07, -0.03, 0.00, 0.08, 0.27, 0.11, 0.11, 0.06, -0.11,
-0.09, -0.21, 0.24, -0.12, 0.11, -0.02, -0.03, 0.02, -0.10,
0.00, -0.04, 0.01, 0.02, -0.03, -0.10, -0.09, 0.17, 0.07,
-0.05, -0.01, -0.05, 0.01, 0.00, -0.08, -0.05, -0.08, 0.07,
0.06, -0.14, 0.02, 0.01, 0.04, 0.00, -0.13, -0.17)
T <- length(y)
mon.names <- c("LP", "ynew", "sigma2.new")
parm.names <- c("alpha", "phi", "log.omega", "theta")
MyData <- list(T=T, mon.names=mon.names, parm.names=parm.names, y=y)

```

7.3. Model

```

Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Parameters
  alpha <- parm[1]; phi <- parm[2]; omega <- exp(parm[3])
  parm[4] <- theta <- interval(parm[4], 1e-10, 1-1e-5)      ### Log(Prior Densities)
  alpha.prior <- dnormv(alpha, 0, 1000, log=TRUE)
  phi.prior <- dnormv(phi, 0, 1000, log=TRUE)
  omega.prior <- dhalfcauchy(omega, 25, log=TRUE)
  theta.prior <- dunif(theta, 0, 1, log=TRUE)
  ### Log-Likelihood
  mu <- c(alpha, alpha + phi*Data$y[-Data$T])
  ynew <- alpha + phi*Data$y[Data$T]
  epsilon <- Data$y - mu
}

```



```

sigma2 <- c(omega, omega + theta*epsilon[-Data$T]^2)
sigma2.new <- omega + theta*epsilon[Data$T]^2
LL <- sum(dnormv(Data$y, mu, sigma2, log=TRUE))
### Log-Posterior
LP <- LL + alpha.prior + phi.prior + omega.prior + theta.prior
Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=c(LP, ynew,
      sigma2.new), yhat=mu, parm=parm)
return(Modelout)
}

```

7.4. Initial Values

```
Initial.Values <- c(rep(0,2), rep(0.5,2))
```

8. Autoregressive Moving Average, ARMA(1,1)

8.1. Form

$$\mathbf{y}_t \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_t, \sigma^2), \quad t = 1, \dots, T$$

$$\mathbf{y}^{new} = \alpha + \phi \mathbf{y}_T + \theta \epsilon_T$$

$$\mu_t = \alpha + \phi \mathbf{y}_{t-1} + \theta \epsilon_{t-1}$$

$$\epsilon_t = \mathbf{y}_t - \mu_t$$

$$\alpha \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000)$$

$$\phi \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000)$$

$$\sigma \sim \mathcal{HC}(25)$$

$$\theta \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000)$$

8.2. Data

```

y <- c(0.02, -0.51, -0.30, 1.46, -1.26, -2.15, -0.91, -0.53, -1.91,
      2.64, 1.64, 0.15, 1.46, 1.61, 1.96, -2.67, -0.19, -3.28,
      1.89, 0.91, -0.71, 0.74, -0.10, 3.20, -0.80, -5.25, 1.03,
      -0.40, -1.62, -0.80, 0.77, 0.17, -1.39, -1.28, 0.48, -1.02,
      0.09, -1.09, 0.86, 0.36, 1.51, -0.02, 0.47, 0.62, -1.36,
      1.12, 0.42, -4.39, -0.87, 0.05, -5.41, -7.38, -1.01, -1.70,
      0.64, 1.16, 0.87, 0.28, -1.69, -0.29, 0.13, -0.65, 0.83,
      0.62, 0.05, -0.14, 0.01, -0.36, -0.32, -0.80, -0.06, 0.24,
      0.23, -0.37, 0.00, -0.33, 0.21, -0.10, -0.10, -0.01, -0.40,
      -0.35, 0.48, -0.28, 0.08, 0.28, 0.23, 0.27, -0.35, -0.19,
      0.24, 0.17, -0.02, -0.23, 0.03, 0.02, -0.17, 0.04, -0.39,

```

```

-0.12, 0.16, 0.17, 0.00, 0.18, 0.06, -0.36, 0.22, 0.14,
-0.17, 0.10, -0.01, 0.00, -0.18, -0.02, 0.07, -0.06, 0.06,
-0.05, -0.08, -0.07, 0.01, -0.06, 0.01, 0.01, -0.02, 0.01,
0.01, 0.12, -0.03, 0.08, -0.10, 0.01, -0.03, -0.08, 0.04,
-0.09, -0.08, 0.01, -0.05, 0.08, -0.14, 0.06, -0.11, 0.09,
0.06, -0.12, -0.01, -0.05, -0.15, -0.05, -0.03, 0.04, 0.00,
-0.12, 0.04, -0.06, -0.05, -0.07, -0.05, -0.14, -0.05, -0.01,
-0.12, 0.05, 0.06, -0.10, 0.00, 0.01, 0.00, -0.08, 0.00,
0.00, 0.07, -0.01, 0.00, 0.09, 0.33, 0.13, 0.42, 0.24,
-0.36, 0.22, -0.09, -0.19, -0.10, -0.08, -0.07, 0.05, 0.07,
0.07, 0.00, -0.04, -0.05, 0.03, 0.08, 0.26, 0.10, 0.08,
0.09, -0.07, -0.33, 0.17, -0.03, 0.07, -0.04, -0.06, -0.06,
0.07, -0.03, 0.00, 0.08, 0.27, 0.11, 0.11, 0.06, -0.11,
-0.09, -0.21, 0.24, -0.12, 0.11, -0.02, -0.03, 0.02, -0.10,
0.00, -0.04, 0.01, 0.02, -0.03, -0.10, -0.09, 0.17, 0.07,
-0.05, -0.01, -0.05, 0.01, 0.00, -0.08, -0.05, -0.08, 0.07,
0.06, -0.14, 0.02, 0.01, 0.04, 0.00, -0.13, -0.17)
T <- length(y)
mon.names <- c("LP", "sigma", "ynew")
parm.names <- c("alpha", "phi", "sigma", "theta")
MyData <- list(T=T, mon.names=mon.names, parm.names=parm.names, y=y)

```

8.3. Model

```

Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Parameters
  alpha <- parm[1]; phi <- parm[2]; theta <- parm[3]
  sigma <- exp(parm[4])
  ### Log(Prior Densities)
  alpha.prior <- dnormv(alpha, 0, 1000, log=TRUE)
  phi.prior <- dnormv(phi, 0, 1000, log=TRUE)
  sigma.prior <- dhalfcauchy(sigma, 25, log=TRUE)
  theta.prior <- dnormv(theta, 0, 1000, log=TRUE)
  ### Log-Likelihood
  mu <- c(alpha, alpha + phi*Data$y[-Data$T])
  epsilon <- Data$y - mu
  mu <- c(mu[1], mu[-1] + theta * epsilon[-Data$T])
  ynew <- alpha + phi*Data$y[Data$T] + theta*epsilon[Data$T]
  LL <- sum(dnorm(Data$y, mu, sigma, log=TRUE))
  ### Log-Posterior
  LP <- LL + alpha.prior + phi.prior + sigma.prior + theta.prior
  Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=c(LP, sigma, ynew),
    yhat=mu, parm=parm)
  return(Modelout)
}

```

8.4. Initial Values

```
Initial.Values <- c(rep(0,2), 0, log(1))
```

9. Beta Regression

9.1. Form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{y} &\sim \mathcal{BET}\mathcal{A}(a, b) \\ a &= \mu\phi \\ b &= (1 - \mu)\phi \\ \mu &= \Phi(\beta_1 + \beta_2\mathbf{x}) \\ \beta_j &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 10), \quad j = 1, \dots, J \\ \phi &\sim \mathcal{G}(1, 1) \end{aligned}$$

where Φ is the normal CDF.

9.2. Data

```
N <- 10
x <- runif(N)
y <- qbeta(0.5, pnorm(2-3*x)*4, (1-pnorm(2-3*x))*4)
mon.names <- "LP"
parm.names <- c("beta[1]", "beta[2]", "log.phi")
MyData <- list(x=x, y=y, mon.names=mon.names, parm.names=parm.names)
```

9.3. Model

```
Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Parameters
  beta <- parm[1:2]; phi <- exp(parm[3])
  ### Log(Prior Densities)
  beta.prior <- sum(dnormv(beta, 0, 10, log=TRUE))
  phi.prior <- dgamma(phi, 1, 1, log=TRUE)
  ### Log-Likelihood
  mu <- pnorm(beta[1] + beta[2]*Data$x)
  a <- mu * phi
  b <- (1-mu) * phi
  LL <- sum(dbeta(Data$y, a, b, log=TRUE))
  ### Log-Posterior
```

```

LP <- LL + beta.prior + phi.prior
Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=LP, yhat=mu, parm=parm)
return(Modelout)
}

```

9.4. Initial Values

```
Initial.Values <- c(rep(0,2), log(0.01))
```

10. Beta-Binomial

10.1. Form

$$y_i \sim \mathcal{BIN}(\mathbf{n}_i, \pi_i), \quad i = 1, \dots, N$$

$$\pi_i \sim \mathcal{BETA}(\alpha, \beta) \in [0.001, 0.999]$$

10.2. Data

```

N <- 20
n <- round(runif(N, 50, 100))
y <- round(runif(N, 1, 10))
mon.names <- "LP"
parm.names <- as.parm.names(list(pi=rep(0,N)))
MyData <- list(N=N, mon.names=mon.names, n=n, parm.names=parm.names, y=y)

```

10.3. Model

```

Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Parameters
  pi <- interval(parm[1:Data$N], 0.001, 0.999)
  parm[1:Data$N] <- pi
  ### Log(Prior Densities)
  pi.prior <- sum(dbeta(pi, 1, 1, log=TRUE))
  ### Log-Likelihood
  LL <- sum(dbinom(Data$y, Data$n, pi, log=TRUE))
  yrep <- pi * Data$n
  ### Log-Posterior
  LP <- LL + pi.prior
  Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=LP, yhat=yrep, parm=parm)
  return(Modelout)
}

```

10.4. Initial Values

```
Initial.Values <- c(rep(0.5,N))
```

11. Binary Logit

11.1. Form

$$\mathbf{y} \sim \mathcal{BERN}(\eta)$$

$$\eta = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-\mu)}$$

$$\mu = \mathbf{X}\beta$$

$$\beta_j \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000), \quad j = 1, \dots, J$$

11.2. Data

```
data(demonsnacks)
J <- 3
y <- ifelse(demonsnacks$Calories <= 137, 0, 1)
X <- cbind(1, as.matrix(demonsnacks[,c(7,8)]))
for (j in 2:J) {X[,j] <- CenterScale(X[,j])}
mon.names <- "LP"
parm.names <- as.parm.names(list(beta=rep(0,J)))
MyData <- list(J=J, X=X, mon.names=mon.names, parm.names=parm.names, y=y)
```

11.3. Model

```
Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Parameters
  beta <- parm[1:Data$J]
  ### Log(Prior Densities)
  beta.prior <- sum(dnormv(beta, 0, 1000, log=TRUE))
  ### Log-Likelihood
  mu <- tcrossprod(Data$X, t(beta))
  eta <- invlogit(mu)
  LL <- sum(dbern(Data$y, eta, log=TRUE))
  yrep <- ifelse(eta >= (sum(Data$y)/length(Data$y)), 1, 0)
  ### Log-Posterior
  LP <- LL + beta.prior
  Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=LP, yhat=yrep, parm=parm)
  return(Modelout)
```

```
}
```

11.4. Initial Values

```
Initial.Values <- rep(0,J)
```

12. Binary Log-Log Link Mixture

A weighted mixture of the log-log and complementary log-log link functions is used, where α is the weight. Since the log-log and complementary log-log link functions are asymmetric (as opposed to the symmetric logit and probit link functions), it may be unknown *a priori* whether the log-log or complementary log-log will perform better.

12.1. Form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{y} &\sim \mathcal{BERN}(\eta) \\ \eta &= \alpha \exp(-\exp(\mu)) + (1 - \alpha)(1 - \exp(-\exp(\mu))) \\ \mu &= \mathbf{X}\beta \\ \alpha &\sim \mathcal{U}(0, 1) \\ \beta_j &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000), \quad j = 1, \dots, J \end{aligned}$$

12.2. Data

```
data(demonsnacks)
J <- 3
y <- ifelse(demonsnacks$Calories <= 30, 0, 1)
X <- cbind(1, as.matrix(demonsnacks[,c(7,8)]))
for (j in 2:J) {X[,j] <- CenterScale(X[,j])}
mon.names <- c("LP", "alpha")
parm.names <- as.parm.names(list(beta=rep(0,J), logit.alpha=0))
MyData <- list(J=J, X=X, mon.names=mon.names, parm.names=parm.names, y=y)
```

12.3. Model

```
Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Parameters
  alpha <- invlogit(parm[Data$J+1])
  beta <- parm[1:Data$J]
  ### Log(Prior Densities)
  alpha.prior <- dunif(alpha, 0, 1, log=TRUE)
  beta.prior <- sum(dnormv(beta, 0, 1000, log=TRUE))
}
```

```

### Log-Likelihood
mu <- tcrossprod(Data$X, t(beta))
eta <- alpha*invloglog(mu) + (1-alpha)*invclglog(mu)
LL <- sum(dbern(Data$y, eta, log=TRUE))
yrep <- ifelse(eta >= (sum(Data$y)/length(Data$y)),1,0)
### Log-Posterior
LP <- LL + alpha.prior + beta.prior
Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=c(LP,alpha),
  yhat=yrep, parm=parm)
return(Modelout)
}

```

12.4. Initial Values

```
Initial.Values <- c(rep(0,J), 0)
```

13. Binary Probit

13.1. Form

$$\mathbf{y} \sim \mathcal{BERN}(\mathbf{p})$$

$$\mathbf{p} = \phi(\mu)$$

$$\mu = \mathbf{X}\beta \in [-10, 10]$$

$$\beta_j \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000), \quad j = 1, \dots, J$$

where ϕ is the CDF of the standard normal distribution, and $J=3$.

13.2. Data

```

data(demonsnacks)
J <- 3
y <- ifelse(demonsnacks$Calories <= 137, 0, 1)
X <- cbind(1, as.matrix(demonsnacks[,c(7,8)]))
for (j in 2:J) {X[,j] <- CenterScale(X[,j])}
mon.names <- "LP"
parm.names <- as.parm.names(list(beta=rep(0,J)))
MyData <- list(J=J, X=X, mon.names=mon.names, parm.names=parm.names, y=y)

```

13.3. Model

```

Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Parameters

```

```

beta <- parm[1:Data$J]
### Log(Prior Densities)
beta.prior <- sum(dnormv(beta, 0, 1000, log=TRUE))
### Log-Likelihood
mu <- tcrossprod(Data$X, t(beta))
mu <- interval(mu, -10, 10)
p <- pnorm(mu)
LL <- sum(dbern(Data$y, p, log=TRUE))
yrep <- ifelse(p >= (sum(Data$y)/length(Data$y)),1,0)
### Log-Posterior
LP <- LL + beta.prior
Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=LP, yhat=yrep, parm=parm)
return(Modelout)
}

```

13.4. Initial Values

```
Initial.Values <- rep(0,J)
```

14. Binomial Logit

14.1. Form

$$\mathbf{y} \sim \mathcal{BIN}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{n})$$

$$\mathbf{p} = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-\mu)}$$

$$\mu = \beta_1 + \beta_2 \mathbf{x}$$

$$\beta_j \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000), \quad j = 1, \dots, J$$

14.2. Data

```

#10 Trials
exposed <- c(100,100,100,100,100,100,100,100,100,100)
deaths <- c(10,20,30,40,50,60,70,80,90,100)
dose <- c(1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10)
J <- 2 #Number of parameters
mon.names <- "LP"
parm.names <- c("beta[1]", "beta[2]")
MyData <- list(J=J, n=exposed, mon.names=mon.names, parm.names=parm.names,
  x=dose, y=deaths)

```


14.3. Model

```
Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Parameters
  beta <- parm[1:Data$J]
  ### Log(Prior Densities)
  beta.prior <- sum(dnormv(beta, 0, 1000, log=TRUE))
  ### Log-Likelihood
  mu <- beta[1] + beta[2]*Data$x
  p <- invlogit(mu)
  LL <- sum(dbinom(Data$y, Data$n, p, log=TRUE))
  yrep <- p * Data$n
  ### Log-Posterior
  LP <- LL + beta.prior
  Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=LP, yhat=yrep, parm=parm)
  return(Modelout)
}
```

14.4. Initial Values

```
Initial.Values <- rep(0,J)
```

15. Binomial Probit

15.1. Form

$$\mathbf{y} \sim \mathcal{BIN}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{n})$$

$$\mathbf{p} = \phi(\boldsymbol{\mu})$$

$$\boldsymbol{\mu} = \beta_1 + \beta_2 \mathbf{x} \in [-10, 10]$$

$$\beta_j \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000), \quad j = 1, \dots, J$$

where ϕ is the CDF of the standard normal distribution, and $J=2$.

15.2. Data

```
#10 Trials
exposed <- c(100,100,100,100,100,100,100,100,100,100)
deaths <- c(10,20,30,40,50,60,70,80,90,100)
dose <- c(1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10)
J <- 2 #Number of parameters
mon.names <- "LP"
parm.names <- c("beta[1]", "beta[2]")
```

```
MyData <- list(J=J, n=exposed, mon.names=mon.names, parm.names=parm.names,
              x=dose, y=deaths)
```

15.3. Model

```
Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Parameters
  beta <- parm[1:Data$J]
  ### Log(Prior Densities)
  beta.prior <- sum(dnormv(beta, 0, 1000, log=TRUE))
  ### Log-Likelihood
  mu <- beta[1] + beta[2]*Data$x
  mu <- interval(mu, -10, 10)
  p <- pnorm(mu)
  LL <- sum(dbinom(Data$y, Data$n, p, log=TRUE))
  yrep <- p * Data$n
  ### Log-Posterior
  LP <- LL + beta.prior
  Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=LP, yhat=yrep,
                  parm=parm)
  return(Modelout)
}
```

15.4. Initial Values

```
Initial.Values <- rep(0,J)
```

16. Cluster Analysis, Confirmatory (CCA)

This is a parametric, model-based, cluster analysis, also called a finite mixture model or latent class cluster analysis, where the number of clusters C is fixed. When the number of clusters is unknown, exploratory cluster analysis should be used (see section 17).

16.1. Form

$$\mathbf{Y}_{i,j} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_{\theta[i],j}, \sigma_{\theta[i]}^2), \quad i = 1, \dots, N, \quad j = 1, \dots, J$$

$$\theta_i = \text{Max}(\mathbf{p}_{i,1:C})$$

$$\mathbf{p}_{i,c} = \frac{\delta_{i,c}}{\sum_{c=1}^C \delta_{i,c}}$$

$$\pi_{1:C} \sim \mathcal{D}(\alpha_{1:C})$$

$$\pi_c = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{i,c}}{\sum \delta}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_c &= 1 \\ \delta_{i,C} &= 1 \\ \delta_{i,c} &\sim \mathcal{N}(\log(\frac{1}{C}), 1000) \in [\exp(-10), \exp(10)], \quad c = 1, \dots, (C-1) \\ \mu_{c,j} &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, \nu_j^2) \\ \sigma_c &\sim \mathcal{HC}(25) \\ \nu_j &\sim \mathcal{HC}(25)\end{aligned}$$

16.2. Data

```

C <- 3 #Number of clusters
alpha <- rep(1,C) #Prior probability of cluster proportion
# Create a Y matrix
n <- 100; N <- 15 #Full sample; model sample
J <- 5 #Number of predictor variables
cluster <- rcat(n, rep(1,C))
centers <- matrix(runif(C*J, 0, 10), C, J)
Y.Full <- matrix(0, n, J)
for (i in 1:n) {for (j in 1:J)
  {Y.Full[i,j] <- rnorm(1,centers[cluster[i],j],1)}}
mean.temp <- colMeans(Y.Full)
sigma.temp <- apply(Y.Full,2,sd)
centers.cs <- (centers - matrix(rep(mean.temp,C), C, J, byrow=TRUE)) /
  (2 * matrix(rep(sigma.temp,C), C, J, byrow=TRUE))
for (j in 1:J) {Y.Full[,j] <- scale(Y.Full[,j],2)}
#summary(Y.Full)
MySample <- sample(1:n, N)
Y <- Y.Full[MySample,]
mon.names <- c("LP", as.parm.names(list(nu=rep(0,J), pi=rep(0,C),
  sigma=rep(0,C), theta=rep(0,N))))
parm.names <- as.parm.names(list(log.delta=matrix(0,N,C-1), mu=matrix(0,C,J),
  log.nu=rep(0,J), log.sigma=rep(0,C)))
MyData <- list(C=C, J=J, N=N, Y=Y, alpha=alpha, mon.names=mon.names,
  parm.names=parm.names)

```

16.3. Model

```

Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Parameters
  delta <- interval(parm[grep("log.delta", Data$parm.names)], -10, 10)
  parm[grep("log.delta", Data$parm.names)] <- delta
  delta <- matrix(c(exp(delta), rep(1, Data$N)), Data$N, Data$C)
  mu <- matrix(parm[grep("mu", Data$parm.names)], Data$C, Data$J)

```

```

nu <- exp(parm[grepl("log.nu",Data$parm.names)])
pi <- colSums(delta) / sum(delta)
sigma <- exp(parm[grepl("log.sigma", Data$parm.names)])
### Log(Prior Densities)
delta.prior <- sum(dtrunc(delta, "norm", a=exp(-10), b=exp(10),
  mean=log(1/Data$C), sd=sqrt(1000), log=TRUE))
mu.prior <- sum(dnorm(mu, 0, matrix(rep(nu,Data$C), Data$C,
  Data$J, byrow=TRUE), log=TRUE))
nu.prior <- sum(dhalfcauchy(nu, 25, log=TRUE))
pi.prior <- ddirichlet(pi, Data$alpha, log=TRUE)
sigma.prior <- sum(dhalfcauchy(sigma, 25, log=TRUE))
### Log-Likelihood
p <- delta / rowSums(delta)
theta <- max.col(p)
LL <- sum(dnorm(Data$Y, mu[theta,], sigma[theta], log=TRUE))
Yrep <- mu[theta,]
### Log-Posterior
LP <- LL + delta.prior + mu.prior + nu.prior + pi.prior +
  sigma.prior
Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=c(LP,nu,pi,sigma,theta),
  yhat=Yrep, parm=parm)
return(Modelout)
}

```

16.4. Initial Values

```
Initial.Values <- c(runif(N*(C-1),-1,1), rep(0,C*J), rep(0,J), rep(0,C))
```

17. Cluster Analysis, Exploratory (ECA)

In “exploratory cluster analysis”, the optimal number of clusters C is unknown before the model update. This is a nonparametric, model-based, infinite mixture model that uses truncated stick-breaking within a truncated Dirichlet process. The user must specify the maximum number of clusters (mixture components), C to explore, where C is discrete, greater than one, and less than the number of records, N . The records in the $N \times J$ matrix \mathbf{Y} are clustered, where J is the number of predictors.

17.1. Form

$$\mathbf{Y}_{i,j} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_{\theta[i],j}, \sigma_{\theta[i]}^2), \quad i = 1, \dots, N, \quad j = 1, \dots, J$$

$$\theta_i \sim \mathcal{CAT}(\pi_{1:C})$$

$$\mathbf{p}_{i,c} = \frac{\delta_{i,c}}{\sum_{c=1}^C \delta_{i,c}}$$

$$\pi \sim \text{Stick}(\gamma)$$

$$\delta_{i,C} = 1$$

$$\delta_{i,c} \sim \mathcal{N}(\log(\frac{1}{C}), 1000) \in [\exp(-10), \exp(10)], \quad c = 1, \dots, (C-1)$$

$$\mu_{c,j} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \nu_j^2)$$

$$\alpha \sim \mathcal{HC}(25)$$

$$\beta \sim \mathcal{HC}(25)$$

$$\gamma \sim \mathcal{G}(\alpha, \beta)$$

$$\sigma_c \sim \mathcal{HC}(25)$$

$$\nu_j \sim \mathcal{HC}(25)$$

17.2. Data

```

C <- 3 #Number of clusters for simulated DGP
# Create a Y matrix
n <- 100; N <- 15 #Full sample; model sample
J <- 5 #Number of predictor variables
cluster <- round(runif(n,0.5,C+0.49))
centers <- matrix(runif(C*J, 0, 10), C, J)
Y.Full <- matrix(0, n, J)
for (i in 1:n) {for (j in 1:J)
  {Y.Full[i,j] <- rnorm(1,centers[cluster[i],j],1)}}
mean.temp <- colMeans(Y.Full)
sigma.temp <- apply(Y.Full,2,sd)
centers.cs <- (centers - matrix(rep(mean.temp,C), C, J, byrow=TRUE)) /
  (2 * matrix(rep(sigma.temp,C), C, J, byrow=TRUE))
for (j in 1:J) {Y.Full[,j] <- scale(Y.Full[,j],2)}
MySample <- sample(1:n, N)
Y <- Y.Full[MySample,]
C <- 10 #Number of clusters to explore
mon.names <- c("LP", as.parm.names(list(nu=rep(0,J), pi=rep(0,C),
  sigma=rep(0,C), theta=rep(0,N))))
parm.names <- as.parm.names(list(log.delta=matrix(0,N,C-1),
  mu=matrix(0,C,J), log.nu=rep(0,J), log.sigma=rep(0,C),
  lambda=rep(0,C-1), log.alpha=0, log.beta=0, log.gamma=0))
MyData <- list(C=C, J=J, N=N, Y=Y, mon.names=mon.names,
  parm.names=parm.names)

```

17.3. Model

```

Model <- function(parm, Data)
{

```

```

#### Hyperhyperparameters
alpha <- exp(parm[grepl("log.alpha", Data$parm.names)])
beta <- exp(parm[grepl("log.beta", Data$parm.names)])
#### Hyperparameters
gamma <- exp(parm[grepl("log.gamma", Data$parm.names)])
nu <- exp(parm[grepl("log.nu", Data$parm.names)])
#### Parameters
delta <- interval(parm[grepl("log.delta", Data$parm.names)], -10, 10)
parm[grepl("log.delta", Data$parm.names)] <- delta
delta <- matrix(c(exp(delta), rep(1, Data$N)), Data$N, Data$C)
lambda <- interval(parm[grepl("lambda", Data$parm.names)], 1e-5, 1-1e-5)
mu <- matrix(parm[grepl("mu", Data$parm.names)], Data$C, Data$J)
pi <- as.vector(Stick(lambda))
sigma <- exp(parm[grepl("log.sigma", Data$parm.names)])
p <- delta / rowSums(delta)
theta <- max.col(p)
#### Log(Hyperhyperprior Densities)
alpha.prior <- dhalfcauchy(alpha, 25, log=TRUE)
beta.prior <- dhalfcauchy(beta, 25, log=TRUE)
#### Log(Hyperprior Densities)
gamma.prior <- dgamma(gamma, alpha, beta, log=TRUE)
nu.prior <- sum(dhalfcauchy(nu, 25, log=TRUE))
#### Log(Prior Densities)
delta.prior <- sum(dtrunc(delta, "norm", a=exp(-10), b=exp(10),
  mean=log(1/Data$C), sd=sqrt(1000), log=TRUE))
mu.prior <- sum(dnorm(mu, 0, matrix(rep(nu, Data$C), Data$C,
  Data$J, byrow=TRUE), log=TRUE))
pi.prior <- dStick(pi, gamma, log=TRUE)
sigma.prior <- sum(dhalfcauchy(sigma, 25, log=TRUE))
theta.prior <- sum(dcat(theta, pi, log=TRUE))
#### Log-Likelihood
LL <- sum(dnorm(Data$Y, mu[theta,], sigma[theta,], log=TRUE))
Yrep <- mu[theta,]
#### Log-Posterior
LP <- LL + delta.prior + mu.prior + nu.prior + pi.prior +
  alpha.prior + beta.prior + gamma.prior + sigma.prior
Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=c(LP, nu, pi, sigma, theta),
  yhat=Yrep, parm=parm)
return(Modelout)
}

```

17.4. Initial Values

```

Initial.Values <- c(runif(N*(C-1), -1, 1), rep(0, C*J), rep(0, J), rep(0, C),
  rbeta(C-1, 1, 2), rep(1, 3))

```

18. Conditional Autoregression (CAR), Poisson

This CAR example is a slightly modified form of example 7.3 (Model A) in Congdon (2003). The Scottish lip cancer data also appears in the WinBUGS (Spiegelhalter, Thomas, Best, and Lunn 2003) examples and is a widely analyzed example. The data \mathbf{y} consists of counts for $i = 1, \dots, 56$ counties in Scotland. A single predictor \mathbf{x} is provided. The errors, ϵ , are allowed to include spatial effects as smoothing by spatial effects from areal neighbors. The vector ϵ_μ is the mean of each area's error, and is a weighted average of errors in contiguous areas. Areal neighbors are indicated in adjacency matrix \mathbf{A} .

18.1. Form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{y} &\sim \mathcal{P}(\lambda) \\ \lambda &= \exp(\log(\mathbf{E}) + \beta_1 + \beta_2 \mathbf{x} + \epsilon) \\ \epsilon &\sim \mathcal{N}(\epsilon_\mu, \sigma^2) \\ \epsilon_{\mu[i]} &= \rho \sum_{j=1}^J \mathbf{A}_{i,j} \epsilon_j, \quad i = 1, \dots, N \\ \beta_j &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000), \quad j = 1, \dots, J \\ \rho &\sim \mathcal{U}(-1, 1) \\ \sigma &\sim \mathcal{HC}(25) \end{aligned}$$

18.2. Data

```
N <- 56 #Number of areas
NN <- 264 #Number of adjacent areas
y <- c(9,39,11,9,15,8,26,7,6,20,13,5,3,8,17,9,2,7,9,7,16,31,11,7,19,15,7,
      10,16,11,5,3,7,8,11,9,11,8,6,4,10,8,2,6,19,3,2,3,28,6,1,1,1,1,0,0)
E <- c( 1.4,8.7,3.0,2.5,4.3,2.4,8.1,2.3,2.0,6.6,4.4,1.8,1.1,3.3,7.8,4.6,
      1.1,4.2,5.5,4.4,10.5,22.7,8.8,5.6,15.5,12.5,6.0,9.0,14.4,10.2,4.8,
      2.9,7.0,8.5,12.3,10.1,12.7,9.4,7.2,5.3,18.8,15.8,4.3,14.6,50.7,8.2,
      5.6,9.3,88.7,19.6,3.4,3.6,5.7,7.0,4.2,1.8) #Expected
x <- c(16,16,10,24,10,24,10,7,7,16,7,16,10,24,7,16,10,7,7,10,7,16,10,7,1,1,
      7,7,10,10,7,24,10,7,7,0,10,1,16,0,1,16,16,0,1,7,1,1,0,1,1,0,1,1,16,10)
A <- matrix(0, N, N)
A[1,c(5,9,11,19)] <- 1 #Area 1 is adjacent to areas 5, 9, 11, and 19
A[2,c(7,10)] <- 1 #Area 2 is adjacent to areas 7 and 10
A[3,c(6,12)] <- 1; A[4,c(18,20,28)] <- 1; A[5,c(1,11,12,13,19)] <- 1
A[6,c(3,8)] <- 1; A[7,c(2,10,13,16,17)] <- 1; A[8,6] <- 1
A[9,c(1,11,17,19,23,29)] <- 1; A[10,c(2,7,16,22)] <- 1
A[11,c(1,5,9,12)] <- 1; A[12,c(3,5,11)] <- 1; A[13,c(5,7,17,19)] <- 1
A[14,c(31,32,35)] <- 1; A[15,c(25,29,50)] <- 1
A[16,c(7,10,17,21,22,29)] <- 1; A[17,c(7,9,13,16,19,29)] <- 1
A[18,c(4,20,28,33,55,56)] <- 1; A[19,c(1,5,9,13,17)] <- 1
```

```

A[20,c(4,18,55)] <- 1; A[21,c(16,29,50)] <- 1; A[22,c(10,16)] <- 1
A[23,c(9,29,34,36,37,39)] <- 1; A[24,c(27,30,31,44,47,48,55,56)] <- 1
A[25,c(15,26,29)] <- 1; A[26,c(25,29,42,43)] <- 1
A[27,c(24,31,32,55)] <- 1; A[28,c(4,18,33,45)] <- 1
A[29,c(9,15,16,17,21,23,25,26,34,43,50)] <- 1
A[30,c(24,38,42,44,45,56)] <- 1; A[31,c(14,24,27,32,35,46,47)] <- 1
A[32,c(14,27,31,35)] <- 1; A[33,c(18,28,45,56)] <- 1
A[34,c(23,29,39,40,42,43,51,52,54)] <- 1; A[35,c(14,31,32,37,46)] <- 1
A[36,c(23,37,39,41)] <- 1; A[37,c(23,35,36,41,46)] <- 1
A[38,c(30,42,44,49,51,54)] <- 1; A[39,c(23,34,36,40,41)] <- 1
A[40,c(34,39,41,49,52)] <- 1; A[41,c(36,37,39,40,46,49,53)] <- 1
A[42,c(26,30,34,38,43,51)] <- 1; A[43,c(26,29,34,42)] <- 1
A[44,c(24,30,38,48,49)] <- 1; A[45,c(28,30,33,56)] <- 1
A[46,c(31,35,37,41,47,53)] <- 1; A[47,c(24,31,46,48,49,53)] <- 1
A[48,c(24,44,47,49)] <- 1; A[49,c(38,40,41,44,47,48,52,53,54)] <- 1
A[50,c(15,21,29)] <- 1; A[51,c(34,38,42,54)] <- 1
A[52,c(34,40,49,54)] <- 1; A[53,c(41,46,47,49)] <- 1
A[54,c(34,38,49,51,52)] <- 1; A[55,c(18,20,24,27,56)] <- 1
A[56,c(18,24,30,33,45,55)] <- 1
mon.names <- c("LP","sigma")
parm.names <- as.parm.names(list(beta=rep(0,2), epsilon=rep(0,N), rho=0,
  log.sigma=0))
MyData <- list(A=A, E=E, N=N, mon.names=mon.names, parm.names=parm.names,
  x=x, y=y)

```

18.3. Model

```

Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Parameters
  beta <- parm[1:2]
  epsilon <- parm[grep("epsilon", Data$parm.names)]
  rho <- interval(parm[grep("rho", Data$parm.names)], -1, 1)
  parm[grep("rho", Data$parm.names)] <- rho
  epsilon.mu <- rho * rowSums(epsilon * Data$A)
  sigma <- exp(parm[grep("log.sigma", Data$parm.names)])
  ### Log(Prior Densities)
  beta.prior <- sum(dnormv(beta, 0, 1000, log=TRUE))
  epsilon.prior <- sum(dnorm(epsilon, epsilon.mu, sigma, log=TRUE))
  rho.prior <- dunif(rho, -1, 1, log=TRUE)
  sigma.prior <- dhalfcauchy(sigma, 25, log=TRUE)
  ### Log-Likelihood
  lambda <- exp(log(Data$E) + beta[1] + beta[2]*Data$x/10 + epsilon)
  LL <- sum(dpois(Data$y, lambda, log=TRUE))
  ### Log-Posterior
  LP <- LL + beta.prior + epsilon.prior + rho.prior + sigma.prior
  Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=c(LP,sigma), yhat=lambda,

```



```

    parm=parm)
  return(Modelout)
}

```

18.4. Initial Values

```
Initial.Values <- c(rep(0,2), rep(0,N), 0, 0)
```

19. Conditional Predictive Ordinate

For a more complete introduction to the conditional predictive ordinate (CPO), see the vignette entitled “Bayesian Inference”. Following is a brief guide to the applied use of CPO.

To include CPO in any model that is to be updated with MCMC, calculate and monitor the record-level inverse of the likelihood, InvL_i for records $i = 1, \dots, N$. CPO_i is the inverse of the posterior mean of InvL_i . The inverse CPO_i , or ICPO_i , is the posterior mean of InvL_i . ICPOs larger than 40 can be considered as possible outliers, and higher than 70 as extreme values.

Here, CPO is added to the linear regression example in section 40. In this data, record 6 is a possible outlier, and record 8 is an extreme value.

19.1. Form

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{y} &\sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2) \\
 \mu &= \mathbf{X}\beta \\
 \beta_j &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000), \quad j = 1, \dots, J \\
 \sigma &\sim \mathcal{HC}(25)
 \end{aligned}$$

19.2. Data

```

data(demonsnacks)
y <- log(demonsnacks$Calories)
X <- cbind(1, as.matrix(demonsnacks[,c(7,8,10)]))
J <- ncol(X)
for (j in 2:J) {X[,j] <- CenterScale(X[,j])}
mon.names <- c("LP", "sigma", as.parm.names(list(InvL=rep(0,N))))
parm.names <- as.parm.names(list(beta=rep(0,J), log.sigma=0))
MyData <- list(J=J, X=X, mon.names=mon.names, parm.names=parm.names, y=y)

```

19.3. Model

```

Model <- function(parm, Data)
{

```

```

### Parameters
beta <- parm[1:Data$J]
sigma <- exp(parm[Data$J+1])
### Log(Prior Densities)
beta.prior <- sum(dnormv(beta, 0, 1000, log=TRUE))
sigma.prior <- dhalfcauchy(sigma, 25, log=TRUE)
### Log-Likelihood
mu <- tcrossprod(Data$X, t(beta))
LL <- dnorm(Data$y, mu, sigma, log=TRUE)
InvL <- 1 / exp(LL)
LL <- sum(LL)
### Log-Posterior
LP <- LL + beta.prior + sigma.prior
Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=c(LP,sigma,InvL),
  yhat=mu, parm=parm)
return(Modelout)
}

```

19.4. Initial Values

```
Initial.Values <- c(rep(0,J), log(1))
```

20. Contingency Table

The two-way contingency table, matrix \mathbf{Y} , can easily be extended to more dimensions. For this example, it is vectorized as y , and used like an ANOVA data set. Contingency table \mathbf{Y} has J rows and K columns. The cell counts are fit with Poisson regression, according to intercept α , main effects β_j for each row, main effects γ_k for each column, and interaction effects $\delta_{j,k}$ for dependence effects. An omnibus (all cells) test of independence is done by estimating two models (one with δ , and one without), and a large enough Bayes Factor indicates a violation of independence when the model with δ fits better than the model without δ . In an ANOVA-like style, main effects contrasts can be used to distinguish rows or groups of rows from each other, as well as with columns. Likewise, interaction effects contrasts can be used to test independence in groups of $\delta_{j,k}$ elements. Finally, single-cell interactions can be used to indicate violations of independence for a given cell, such as when zero is not within its 95% probability interval. Although a little different, this example is similar to a method presented by [Albert \(1997\)](#).

20.1. Form

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{Y}_{j,k} &\sim \mathcal{P}(\lambda_{j,k}), \quad j = 1, \dots, J, \quad k = 1, \dots, K \\
 \lambda_{j,k} &= \exp(\alpha + \beta_j + \gamma_k + \delta_{j,k}), \quad j = 1, \dots, J, \quad k = 1, \dots, K \\
 \alpha &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_j &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, \beta_\sigma^2), \quad j = 1, \dots, J \\ \beta_\sigma &\sim \mathcal{HC}(25) \\ \gamma_k &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, \gamma_\sigma^2), \quad k = 1, \dots, K \\ \gamma_\sigma &\sim \mathcal{HC}(25) \\ \delta_{j,k} &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, \delta_\sigma^2) \\ \delta_\sigma &\sim \mathcal{HC}(25)\end{aligned}$$

20.2. Data

```
J <- 4 #Rows
K <- 4 #Columns
Y <- matrix(c(10,20,60,20, 40,30,10,40, 10,10,40,10, 40,50,1,40), J, K,
  dimnames=list(c("Chrysler","Ford","Foreign","GM"),
    c("I-4","I-6","V-6","V-8")))
y <- as.vector(Y)
N <- length(y) #Cells
r <- rep(1:J, N/J)
c <- rep(1,K)
for (k in 2:K) {c <- c(c, rep(k, K))}
mon.names <- c("LP","beta.sigma","gamma.sigma","delta.sigma")
parm.names <- as.parm.names(list(alpha=0, beta=rep(0,J), gamma=rep(0,J),
  log.b.sigma=0, log.g.sigma=0, log.d.sigma=0,
  delta=matrix(0,J,K)))
MyData <- list(J=J, K=K, N=N, c=c, mon.names=mon.names,
  parm.names=parm.names, r=r, y=y)
```

20.3. Model

```
Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Hyperparameters
  beta.sigma <- exp(parm[grepl("log.b.sigma", Data$parm.names)])
  gamma.sigma <- exp(parm[grepl("log.g.sigma", Data$parm.names)])
  delta.sigma <- exp(parm[grepl("log.d.sigma", Data$parm.names)])
  ### Parameters
  alpha <- parm[grepl("alpha", Data$parm.names)]
  beta <- parm[grepl("beta", Data$parm.names)]
  gamma <- parm[grepl("gamma", Data$parm.names)]
  delta <- matrix(parm[grepl("delta", Data$parm.names)],
    Data$J, Data$K)
  ### Log(Hyperprior Densities)
  beta.sigma.prior <- dhalfcauchy(beta.sigma, 25, log=TRUE)
  gamma.sigma.prior <- dhalfcauchy(gamma.sigma, 25, log=TRUE)
  delta.sigma.prior <- dhalfcauchy(delta.sigma, 25, log=TRUE)
```

```

### Log(Prior Densities)
alpha.prior <- dnorm(alpha, 0, 1000, log=TRUE)
beta.prior <- sum(dnorm(beta, 0, beta.sigma, log=TRUE))
gamma.prior <- sum(dnorm(gamma, 0, gamma.sigma, log=TRUE))
delta.prior <- sum(dnorm(delta, 0, delta.sigma, log=TRUE))
### Log-Likelihood
lambda <- exp(alpha + beta[Data$r] + gamma[Data$c] +
  diag(delta[Data$r,Data$c]))
LL <- sum(dpois(Data$y, lambda, log=TRUE))
### Log-Posterior
LP <- LL + alpha.prior + beta.prior + beta.sigma.prior +
  gamma.prior + gamma.sigma.prior + delta.prior +
  delta.sigma.prior
Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=c(LP, beta.sigma,
  gamma.sigma, delta.sigma), yhat=lambda, parm=parm)
return(Modelout)
}

```

20.4. Initial Values

```
Initial.Values <- c(0, rep(0,J), rep(0,K), rep(0,3), rep(0,J*K))
```

21. Covariance Separation Strategy

A Seemingly Unrelated Regression (SUR) model is used to provide an example of a flexible way to estimate covariance or precision matrices with the “separation strategy” decomposition of [Barnard, McCulloch, and Meng \(2000\)](#). For more information on SUR models, see section 69.

The most common way of specifying a covariance matrix, such as for the multivariate normal distribution, may be with the conjugate inverse Wishart distribution. Alternatively, the conjugate Wishart distribution is often used for a precision matrix. The Wishart and inverse Wishart distributions, however, do not always perform well, due to only one parameter for variability, and usually in the case of small sample sizes or when its dimension approaches the sample size. There are several alternatives. This example decomposes a covariance matrix into a standard deviation vector and a correlation matrix, each of which are easy to understand (as opposed to setting priors on eigenvalues). A precision matrix may be decomposed similarly, though the separated components are interpreted differently.

[Barnard *et al.* \(2000\)](#) prefer to update the covariance separation strategy with Gibbs sampling rather than Metropolis-Hastings, though the form presented here works well in testing with Adaptive MCMC.

21.1. Form

$$\mathbf{Y}_{t,j} \sim \mathcal{N}_J(\mu_{t,j}, \Sigma), \quad t = 1, \dots, T; \quad j = 1, \dots, J$$

$$\mu_{t,1} = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \mathbf{X}_{t-1,1} + \alpha_3 \mathbf{X}_{t-1,2}, \quad t = 2, \dots, T$$

$$\mu_{t,2} = \beta_1 + \beta_2 \mathbf{X}_{t-1,3} + \beta_3 \mathbf{X}_{t-1,4}, \quad t = 2, \dots, T$$

$$\Sigma = \mathbf{SRS}$$

$$\alpha_k \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000), \quad k = 1, \dots, K$$

$$\beta_k \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000), \quad k = 1, \dots, K$$

$$\mathbf{R}_{i,j} \sim \mathcal{N}(\rho_\mu, \rho_\sigma^2), \quad \mathbf{R}_{i,j} \in [-1, 1], \quad i = 1, \dots, J$$

$$\mathbf{S} = \sigma \mathbf{I}_J$$

$$\rho_\mu \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 2), \quad \in [-1, 1]$$

$$\rho_\sigma \sim \mathcal{HC}(25), \quad \in (0, 1000]$$

$$\sigma_j \sim \mathcal{N}(\sigma_\mu, \sigma_\sigma)$$

$$\sigma_\mu \sim \mathcal{HN}(1000), \quad \in (0, 1000]$$

$$\sigma_\sigma \sim \mathcal{HC}(25)$$

21.2. Data

```

T <- 20 #Time-periods
year <- c(1935,1936,1937,1938,1939,1940,1941,1942,1943,1944,1945,1946,
          1947,1948,1949,1950,1951,1952,1953,1954)
IG <- c(33.1,45.0,77.2,44.6,48.1,74.4,113.0,91.9,61.3,56.8,93.6,159.9,
        147.2,146.3,98.3,93.5,135.2,157.3,179.5,189.6)
VG <- c(1170.6,2015.8,2803.3,2039.7,2256.2,2132.2,1834.1,1588.0,1749.4,
        1687.2,2007.7,2208.3,1656.7,1604.4,1431.8,1610.5,1819.4,2079.7,
        2371.6,2759.9)
CG <- c(97.8,104.4,118.0,156.2,172.6,186.6,220.9,287.8,319.9,321.3,319.6,
        346.0,456.4,543.4,618.3,647.4,671.3,726.1,800.3,888.9)
IW <- c(12.93,25.90,35.05,22.89,18.84,28.57,48.51,43.34,37.02,37.81,
        39.27,53.46,55.56,49.56,32.04,32.24,54.38,71.78,90.08,68.60)
VW <- c(191.5,516.0,729.0,560.4,519.9,628.5,537.1,561.2,617.2,626.7,
        737.2,760.5,581.4,662.3,583.8,635.2,723.8,864.1,1193.5,1188.9)
CW <- c(1.8,0.8,7.4,18.1,23.5,26.5,36.2,60.8,84.4,91.2,92.4,86.0,111.1,
        130.6,141.8,136.7,129.7,145.5,174.8,213.5)
J <- 2 #Number of dependent variables
Y <- matrix(c(IG,IW), T, J)
R <- diag(J)
mon.names <- "LP"
parm.names <- as.parm.names(list(alpha=rep(0,3), beta=rep(0,3),
                                R=diag(J), rho.mu=0, rho.sigma=0, log.sigma=rep(0,J), sigma.mu=0,
                                log.sig.sigma=0), uppertri=c(0,0,1,0,0,0,0,0))
MyData <- list(J=J, T=T, Y=Y, CG=CG, CW=CW, IG=IG, IW=IW, VG=VG,
              VW=VW, mon.names=mon.names, parm.names=parm.names)

```

21.3. Model

```

Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Hyperparameters
  rho.mu <- interval(parm[grep("rho.mu", Data$parm.names)], -1, 1)
  parm[grep("rho.mu", Data$parm.names)] <- rho.mu
  rho.sigma <- interval(parm[grep("rho.sigma", Data$parm.names)],
    .Machine$double.eps, 1000)
  parm[grep("rho.sigma", Data$parm.names)] <- rho.sigma
  sigma.mu <- interval(parm[grep("sigma.mu", Data$parm.names)],
    .Machine$double.eps, 1000)
  parm[grep("sigma.mu", Data$parm.names)] <- sigma.mu
  sigma.sigma <- exp(parm[grep("log.sig.sigma", Data$parm.names)])
  ### Parameters
  alpha <- parm[1:3]
  beta <- parm[4:6]
  R <- as.parm.matrix(R, Data$J, parm, Data, a=-1, b=1)
  parm[grep("R", Data$parm.names)] <- upper.triangle(R, diag=TRUE)
  sigma <- exp(parm[grep("log.sigma", Data$parm.names)])
  S <- diag(Data$J); diag(S) <- sigma
  Sigma <- S %*% R %*% S
  ### Log(Hyperprior Densities)
  rho.mu.prior <- dtrunc(rho.mu, "norm", a=-1, b=1, mean=0, sd=2,
    log=TRUE)
  rho.sigma.prior <- dhalfcauchy(rho.sigma, 25, log=TRUE)
  sigma.mu.prior <- dhalfnorm(sigma.mu, 1000, log=TRUE)
  sigma.sigma.prior <- dhalfcauchy(sigma.sigma, 25, log=TRUE)
  ### Log(Prior Densities)
  alpha.prior <- sum(dnormv(alpha, 0, 1000, log=TRUE))
  beta.prior <- sum(dnormv(beta, 0, 1000, log=TRUE))
  R.prior <- sum(dtrunc(upper.triangle(R, diag=TRUE), "norm",
    a=-1, b=1, mean=rho.mu, sd=rho.sigma, log=TRUE))
  sigma.prior <- sum(dnorm(sigma, sigma.mu, sigma.sigma, log=TRUE))
  ### Log-Likelihood
  mu <- Data$Y
  mu[-1,1] <- alpha[1] + alpha[2]*Data$CG[-Data$T] +
    alpha[3]*Data$VG[-Data$T]
  mu[-1,2] <- beta[1] + beta[2]*Data$CW[-Data$T] +
    beta[3]*Data$VW[-Data$T]
  LL <- sum(dmvn(Data$Y[-1,], mu[-1,], Sigma, log=TRUE))
  ### Log-Posterior
  LP <- LL + alpha.prior + beta.prior + R.prior + rho.mu.prior +
    rho.sigma.prior + sigma.prior + sigma.mu.prior +
    sigma.sigma.prior
  Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=LP, yhat=mu, parm=parm)
  return(Modelout)
}

```

}

21.4. Initial Values

```
Initial.Values <- c(rep(0,3), rep(0,3), upper.triangle(R, diag=TRUE), rep(0,2),
rep(0,J), rep(1,2))
```

22. Discrete Choice, Conditional Logit

22.1. Form

$$\mathbf{y}_i \sim \mathcal{CAT}(\mathbf{p}_{i,1:J}), \quad i = 1, \dots, N, \quad j = 1, \dots, J$$

$$\mathbf{p}_{i,j} = \frac{\phi_{i,j}}{\sum_{j=1}^J \phi_{i,j}}$$

$$\phi = \exp(\mu)$$

$$\mu_{i,j} = \beta_{j,1:K} \mathbf{X}_{i,1:K} + \gamma \mathbf{Z}_{i,1:C} \in [-700, 700], \quad j = 1, \dots, (J-1)$$

$$\mu_{i,J} = \gamma \mathbf{Z}_{i,1:C}$$

$$\beta_{j,k} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000), \quad j = 1, \dots, (J-1)$$

$$\gamma_c \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000)$$

22.2. Data

```
y <- x01 <- x02 <- z01 <- z02 <- c(1:300)
y[1:100] <- 1
y[101:200] <- 2
y[201:300] <- 3
x01[1:100] <- rnorm(100, 25, 2.5)
x01[101:200] <- rnorm(100, 40, 4.0)
x01[201:300] <- rnorm(100, 35, 3.5)
x02[1:100] <- rnorm(100, 2.51, 0.25)
x02[101:200] <- rnorm(100, 2.01, 0.20)
x02[201:300] <- rnorm(100, 2.70, 0.27)
z01[1:100] <- 1
z01[101:200] <- 2
z01[201:300] <- 3
z02[1:100] <- 40
z02[101:200] <- 50
z02[201:300] <- 100
N <- length(y)
J <- 3 #Number of categories in y
K <- 3 #Number of individual attributes (including the intercept)
```

```

C <- 2 #Number of choice-based attributes (intercept is not included)
X <- matrix(c(rep(1,N),x01,x02),N,K) #Design matrix of individual attrib.
Z <- matrix(c(z01,z02),N,C) #Design matrix of choice-based attributes
mon.names <- "LP"
parm.names <- as.parm.names(list(beta=matrix(0,J-1,K), gamma=rep(0,C)))
MyData <- list(C=C, J=J, K=K, N=N, X=X, Z=Z, mon.names=mon.names,
               parm.names=parm.names, y=y)

```

22.3. Model

```

Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Parameters
  beta <- matrix(parm[grep("beta", Data$parm.names)], Data$J-1, Data$K)
  gamma <- parm[grep("gamma", Data$parm.names)]
  ### Log(Prior Densities)
  beta.prior <- sum(dnormv(beta, 0, 1000, log=TRUE))
  gamma.prior <- sum(dnormv(gamma, 0, 1000, log=TRUE))
  ### Log-Likelihood
  mu <- matrix(rep(tcrossprod(gamma, Data$Z), Data$J), Data$N, Data$J)
  mu[, -Data$J] <- mu[, -Data$J] + tcrossprod(Data$X, beta)
  mu <- interval(mu, -700, 700)
  phi <- exp(mu)
  p <- phi / rowSums(phi)
  LL <- sum(dcat(Data$y, p, log=TRUE))
  yrep <- max.col(p)
  ### Log-Posterior
  LP <- LL + beta.prior + gamma.prior
  Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=LP, yhat=yrep, parm=parm)
  return(Modelout)
}

```

22.4. Initial Values

```
Initial.Values <- c(rep(0,(J-1)*K), rep(0,C))
```

23. Discrete Choice, Mixed Logit

23.1. Form

$$\mathbf{y}_i \sim \mathcal{CAT}(\mathbf{p}_{i,1:J}), \quad i = 1, \dots, N$$

$$\mathbf{p}_{i,j} = \frac{\phi_{i,j}}{\sum_{j=1}^J \phi_{i,j}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi &= \exp(\mu) \\
\mu_{i,j} &= \beta_{j,1:K} \mathbf{X}_{i,1:K} + \gamma \mathbf{Z}_{i,1:C} \in [-700, 700], \quad j = 1, \dots, (J-1) \\
\mu_{i,J} &= \gamma \mathbf{Z}_{i,1:C} \\
\beta_{j,k} &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000), \quad j = 1, \dots, (J-1) \\
\gamma_c &\sim \mathcal{N}(\zeta_{\mu[c]}, \zeta_{\sigma[c]}^2) \\
\zeta_{\mu[c]} &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000) \\
\zeta_{\sigma[c]} &\sim \mathcal{HC}(25)
\end{aligned}$$

23.2. Data

```

y <- x01 <- x02 <- z01 <- z02 <- c(1:300)
y[1:100] <- 1
y[101:200] <- 2
y[201:300] <- 3
x01[1:100] <- rnorm(100, 25, 2.5)
x01[101:200] <- rnorm(100, 40, 4.0)
x01[201:300] <- rnorm(100, 35, 3.5)
x02[1:100] <- rnorm(100, 2.51, 0.25)
x02[101:200] <- rnorm(100, 2.01, 0.20)
x02[201:300] <- rnorm(100, 2.70, 0.27)
z01[1:100] <- 1
z01[101:200] <- 2
z01[201:300] <- 3
z02[1:100] <- 40
z02[101:200] <- 50
z02[201:300] <- 100
N <- length(y)
J <- 3 #Number of categories in y
K <- 3 #Number of individual attributes (including the intercept)
C <- 2 #Number of choice-based attributes (intercept is not included)
X <- matrix(c(rep(1,N),x01,x02),N,K) #Design matrix of individual attrib.
Z <- matrix(c(z01,z02),N,C) #Design matrix of choice-based attributes
mon.names <- c("LP", as.parm.names(list(zeta.sigma=rep(0,C))))
parm.names <- as.parm.names(list(beta=matrix(0,J-1,K), gamma=rep(0,C),
  zeta.mu=rep(0,C), log.zeta.sigma=rep(0,C)))
MyData <- list(C=C, J=J, K=K, N=N, X=X, Z=Z, mon.names=mon.names,
  parm.names=parm.names, y=y)

```

23.3. Model

```

Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Parameters
  beta <- matrix(parm[grep("beta", Data$parm.names)], Data$J-1, Data$K)

```

```

gamma <- parm[grep("gamma", Data$parm.names)]
zeta.mu <- parm[grep("zeta.mu", Data$parm.names)]
zeta.sigma <- exp(parm[grep("log.zeta.sigma", Data$parm.names)])
### Log(Prior Densities)
beta.prior <- sum(dnormv(beta, 0, 1000, log=TRUE))
gamma.prior <- sum(dnorm(gamma, matrix(zeta.mu, Data$N, Data$C,
  byrow=TRUE), matrix(zeta.sigma, Data$N, Data$C, byrow=TRUE),
log=TRUE))
zeta.mu.prior <- sum(dnormv(zeta.mu, 0, 1000, log=TRUE))
zeta.sigma.prior <- sum(dhalfcauchy(zeta.sigma, 25, log=TRUE))
### Log-Likelihood
mu <- matrix(rep(rowSums(gamma * Data$Z), Data$J), Data$N, Data$J)
mu[, -Data$J] <- tcrossprod(Data$X, beta) + gamma * Data$Z
mu <- interval(mu, -700, 700)
phi <- exp(mu)
p <- phi / rowSums(phi)
LL <- sum(dcat(Data$y, p, log=TRUE))
yrep <- max.col(p)
### Log-Posterior
LP <- LL + beta.prior + gamma.prior + zeta.mu.prior + zeta.sigma.prior
Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=c(LP, zeta.sigma),
  yhat=yrep, parm=parm)
return(Modelout)
}

```

23.4. Initial Values

```
Initial.Values <- c(rep(0, (J-1)*K), rep(0, N*C), rep(0, C), rep(0, C))
```

24. Discrete Choice, Multinomial Probit

24.1. Form

$$\mathbf{Z}_{i,1:J} \sim \mathcal{N}_J(\mu_{i,1:J}, \Sigma), \quad i = 1, \dots, N$$

$$\mathbf{Z}_{i,j} \in \begin{cases} [0,10] & \text{if } \mathbf{y}_i = j \\ [-10,0] & \end{cases}$$

$$\mu_{1:N,j} = \mathbf{X}\beta_{j,1:K} + \mathbf{W}\gamma[a, 1 : C]$$

$$\mathbf{a} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mathbf{y}_i < J \\ 2 & \end{cases}$$

$$\Sigma \sim \mathcal{IW}_{J+1}(\mathbf{S}^{-1}), \quad \mathbf{S} = \mathbf{I}_J, \quad \Sigma[1,1] = 1$$

$$\beta_{j,k} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000), \quad j = 1, \dots, (J-1), \quad k = 1, \dots, K$$

$$\beta_{J,k} = - \sum_{j=1}^{J-1} \beta_{j,k}$$

$$\gamma_{1,1:C} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000)$$

$$\gamma_{2,c} = -\gamma_{1,c}, \quad c = 1, \dots, C$$

$$\mathbf{Z}_{i,j} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000) \in [-10, 10]$$

24.2. Data

```

y <- x1 <- x2 <- w1 <- w2 <- c(1:30)
y[1:10] <- 1
y[11:20] <- 2
y[21:30] <- 3
x1[1:10] <- rnorm(10, 25, 2.5)
x1[11:20] <- rnorm(10, 40, 4.0)
x1[21:30] <- rnorm(10, 35, 3.5)
x2[1:10] <- rnorm(10, 2.51, 0.25)
x2[11:20] <- rnorm(10, 2.01, 0.20)
x2[21:30] <- rnorm(10, 2.70, 0.27)
w1[1:10] <- 10
w1[11:20] <- 4
w1[21:30] <- 1
w2[1:10] <- 40
w2[11:20] <- 50
w2[21:30] <- 100
N <- length(y)
J <- length(unique(y)) #Number of categories in y
K <- 3 #Number of columns to be in design matrix X
S <- diag(J)
X <- matrix(c(rep(1,N),x1,x2),N,K)
C <- 2 #Number of choice-based attributes
W <- matrix(c(w1,w2),N,C) #Design matrix of choice-based attributes
mon.names <- "LP"
sigma.temp <- as.parm.names(list(Sigma=diag(J)), uppertri=1)
parm.names <- c(sigma.temp[2:length(sigma.temp)],
  as.parm.names(list(beta=matrix(0,(J-1),K), gamma=rep(0,C),
    Z=matrix(0,N,J))))
MyData <- list(J=J, K=K, N=N, S=S, W=W, X=X, mon.names=mon.names,
  parm.names=parm.names, y=y)

```

24.3. Model

```

Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Parameters

```

```

beta <- matrix(parm[grep("beta", Data$parm.names)], Data$J-1, Data$K)
beta <- rbind(beta, colSums(beta)*-1) #Sum to zero constraint
gamma <- parm[grep("gamma", Data$parm.names)]
gamma <- rbind(gamma, gamma*-1) #Sum to zero constraint
Sigma <- as.parm.matrix(Sigma, Data$J, parm, Data, restrict=TRUE)
parm[grep("Sigma", Data$parm.names)] <- upper.triangle(Sigma,
  diag=TRUE)[-1]
Z <- matrix(parm[grep("Z", Data$parm.names)], Data$N, Data$J)
### Log(Prior Densities)
beta.prior <- sum(dnormv(beta, 0, 1000, log=TRUE))
gamma.prior <- sum(dnormv(gamma, 0, 1000, log=TRUE))
Sigma.prior <- dinvwishart(Sigma, Data$J+1, Data$S, log=TRUE)
Z.prior <- sum(dnormv(Z, 0, 1000, log=TRUE))
### Log-Likelihood
mu <- matrix(c(rep(tcrossprod(gamma[1,], Data$W),J),
  tcrossprod(gamma[2,], Data$W)),Data$N,Data$J)
mu <- mu + tcrossprod(Data$X, beta)
Y <- as.indicator.matrix(Data$y)
Z <- ifelse(Z > 10, 10, Z); Z <- ifelse({Y == 0} & {Z > 0}, 0, Z)
Z <- ifelse(Z < -10, -10, Z); Z <- ifelse({Y == 1} & {Z < 0}, 0, Z)
parm[grep("Z", Data$parm.names)] <- as.vector(Z)
LL <- sum(dmvn(Z, mu, Sigma, log=TRUE))
yrep <- max.col(Z)
#eta <- exp(mu)
#p <- eta / rowSums(eta)
### Log-Posterior
LP <- LL + beta.prior + gamma.prior + Sigma.prior + Z.prior
Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=LP, yhat=yrep, parm=parm)
return(Modelout)
}

```

24.4. Initial Values

```

Initial.Values <- c(upper.triangle(S, diag=TRUE)[-1],
  rep(0,(J-1)*K), rep(0,C), rep(0,N*J))

```

25. Distributed Lag, Koyck

This example applies Koyck or geometric distributed lags to $k = 1, \dots, K$ discrete events in covariate \mathbf{x} , transforming the covariate into a $N \times K$ matrix \mathbf{X} and creates a $N \times K$ lag matrix \mathbf{L} .

25.1. Form

$$\mathbf{y} \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}^2)$$

$$\mu_t = \alpha + \phi \mathbf{y}_{t-1} + \sum_{k=1}^K \mathbf{X}_{t,k} \beta \lambda^{\mathbf{L}[t,k]}, \quad k = 1, \dots, K, \quad t = 2, \dots, T$$

$$\mu_1 = \alpha + \sum_{k=1}^K \mathbf{X}_{1,k} \beta \lambda^{\mathbf{L}[1,k]}, \quad k = 1, \dots, K$$

$$\alpha \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000)$$

$$\beta \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000)$$

$$\lambda \sim \mathcal{U}(0, 1)$$

$$\phi \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000)$$

$$\sigma \sim \mathcal{HC}(25)$$

25.2. Data

```

y <- c(0.02, -0.51, -0.30, 1.46, -1.26, -2.15, -0.91, -0.53, -1.91,
      2.64, 1.64, 0.15, 1.46, 1.61, 1.96, -2.67, -0.19, -3.28,
      1.89, 0.91, -0.71, 0.74, -0.10, 3.20, -0.80, -5.25, 1.03,
      -0.40, -1.62, -0.80, 0.77, 0.17, -1.39, -1.28, 0.48, -1.02,
      0.09, -1.09, 0.86, 0.36, 1.51, -0.02, 0.47, 0.62, -1.36,
      1.12, 0.42, -4.39, -0.87, 0.05, -5.41, -7.38, -1.01, -1.70,
      0.64, 1.16, 0.87, 0.28, -1.69, -0.29, 0.13, -0.65, 0.83,
      0.62, 0.05, -0.14, 0.01, -0.36, -0.32, -0.80, -0.06, 0.24,
      0.23, -0.37, 0.00, -0.33, 0.21, -0.10, -0.10, -0.01, -0.40,
      -0.35, 0.48, -0.28, 0.08, 0.28, 0.23, 0.27, -0.35, -0.19,
      0.24, 0.17, -0.02, -0.23, 0.03, 0.02, -0.17, 0.04, -0.39,
      -0.12, 0.16, 0.17, 0.00, 0.18, 0.06, -0.36, 0.22, 0.14,
      -0.17, 0.10, -0.01, 0.00, -0.18, -0.02, 0.07, -0.06, 0.06,
      -0.05, -0.08, -0.07, 0.01, -0.06, 0.01, 0.01, -0.02, 0.01,
      0.01, 0.12, -0.03, 0.08, -0.10, 0.01, -0.03, -0.08, 0.04,
      -0.09, -0.08, 0.01, -0.05, 0.08, -0.14, 0.06, -0.11, 0.09,
      0.06, -0.12, -0.01, -0.05, -0.15, -0.05, -0.03, 0.04, 0.00,
      -0.12, 0.04, -0.06, -0.05, -0.07, -0.05, -0.14, -0.05, -0.01,
      -0.12, 0.05, 0.06, -0.10, 0.00, 0.01, 0.00, -0.08, 0.00,
      0.00, 0.07, -0.01, 0.00, 0.09, 0.33, 0.13, 0.42, 0.24,
      -0.36, 0.22, -0.09, -0.19, -0.10, -0.08, -0.07, 0.05, 0.07,
      0.07, 0.00, -0.04, -0.05, 0.03, 0.08, 0.26, 0.10, 0.08,
      0.09, -0.07, -0.33, 0.17, -0.03, 0.07, -0.04, -0.06, -0.06,
      0.07, -0.03, 0.00, 0.08, 0.27, 0.11, 0.11, 0.06, -0.11,
      -0.09, -0.21, 0.24, -0.12, 0.11, -0.02, -0.03, 0.02, -0.10,
      0.00, -0.04, 0.01, 0.02, -0.03, -0.10, -0.09, 0.17, 0.07,
      -0.05, -0.01, -0.05, 0.01, 0.00, -0.08, -0.05, -0.08, 0.07,
      0.06, -0.14, 0.02, 0.01, 0.04, 0.00, -0.13, -0.17)

x <- c(0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0,
      1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0,
      0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0,

```


25.4. Initial Values

```
Initial.Values <- c(rep(0,2), 0.5, 0, log(1))
```

26. Exponential Smoothing

26.1. Form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{y} &\sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2) \\ \mu_t &= \alpha \mathbf{y}_{t-1} + (1 - \alpha) \mu_{t-1}, \quad t = 2, \dots, T \\ \alpha &\sim \mathcal{U}(0, 1) \\ \sigma &\sim \mathcal{HC} \end{aligned}$$

26.2. Data

```
T <- 10
y <- rep(0,T)
y[1] <- 0
for (t in 2:T) {y[t] <- y[t-1] + rnorm(1,0,0.1)}
mon.names <- c("LP", "sigma")
parm.names <- c("alpha","log.sigma")
MyData <- list(T=T, mon.names=mon.names, parm.names=parm.names, y=y)
```

26.3. Model

```
Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Parameters
  alpha <- interval(parm[1], 0, 1); parm[1] <- alpha
  sigma <- exp(parm[2])
  ### Log(Prior Densities)
  alpha.prior <- dunif(alpha, 0, 1, log=TRUE)
  sigma.prior <- dhalfcauchy(sigma, 25, log=TRUE)
  ### Log-Likelihood
  mu <- y
  mu[-1] <- alpha*Data$y[-1]
  mu[-1] <- mu[-1] + (1 - alpha) * mu[-Data$T]
  LL <- sum(dnorm(Data$y[-1], mu[-Data$T], sigma, log=TRUE))
  ### Log-Posterior
  LP <- LL + alpha.prior + sigma.prior
  Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=c(LP,sigma),
    yhat=mu, parm=parm)
  return(Modelout)
```

```
}
```

26.4. Initial Values

```
Initial.Values <- c(0.5, log(1))
```

27. Factor Analysis, Approximate Dynamic

The Approximate Dynamic Factor Analysis (ADFA) model has many names, including the approximate factor model and approximate dynamic factor model. An ADFA is a Dynamic Factor Analysis (DFA) in which the factor scores of the dynamic factors are approximated with principal components. This is a combination of principal components and common factor analysis, in which the factor loadings of common factors are estimated from the data and factor scores are estimated from principal components. This is a two-stage model: principal components are estimated in the first stage and a decision is made regarding how many principal components to retain, and ADFA is estimated in the second stage. For more information on DFA, see section 29.

27.1. Form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Y}_{t,j} &\sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_{t,j}, \sigma_j^2), \quad t = 2, \dots, T, \quad j = 1, \dots, J \\ \mu_{t,j} &= \mathbf{F}_{t-1} \Lambda \\ \Lambda_{p,j} &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000), \quad p = 1, \dots, P, \quad j = 1, \dots, J \\ \sigma_j &\sim \mathcal{HC}(25), \quad j = 1, \dots, J \end{aligned}$$

27.2. Data

```
T <- 10 #Number of time-periods
J <- 20 #Number of variables
P <- 5 #Number of approximate dynamic factors
Lambda <- matrix(runif(J*P,-1,1), P, J)
Sigma <- matrix(runif(P*P), P, P); diag(Sigma) <- runif(P)*5
Sigma <- as.symmetric.matrix(Sigma); Sigma <- as.positive.definite(Sigma)
F <- rmvn(T, rep(0,P), Sigma)
Y <- tcrossprod(F, t(Lambda))
PCA <- prcomp(Y, scale=TRUE)
F <- PCA$x[,1:P]
mon.names <- c("LP", paste("ynew[", 1:J, "]", sep=""))
parm.names <- as.parm.names(list(Lambda=matrix(0,P,J), log.sigma=rep(0,J)))
MyData <- list(F=F, J=J, P=P, T=T, Y=Y, mon.names=mon.names,
               parm.names=parm.names)
```


27.3. Model

```

Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Parameters
  Lambda <- matrix(parm[1:(Data$P*Data$J)], Data$P, Data$J)
  sigma <- exp(parm[grep("log.sigma", Data$parm.names)])
  ### Log(Prior Densities)
  Lambda.prior <- sum(dnormv(Lambda, 0, 1000, log=TRUE))
  sigma.prior <- sum(dhalfcauchy(sigma, 25, log=TRUE))
  ### Log-Likelihood
  mu <- rbind(rep(0, Data$J), tcrossprod(F[-Data$T,], t(Lambda)))
  ynew <- tcrossprod(F[Data$T,], t(Lambda))
  LL <- sum(dnorm(Data$Y, mu, matrix(sigma, Data$T, Data$J, byrow=TRUE),
    log=TRUE))
  ### Log-Posterior
  LP <- LL + Lambda.prior + sigma.prior
  Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=c(LP,ynew), yhat=mu,
    parm=parm)
  return(Modelout)
}

```

27.4. Initial Values

```
Initial.Values <- c(rep(0,P*J), rep(1,J))
```

28. Factor Analysis, Confirmatory

Factor scores are in matrix \mathbf{F} , factor loadings for each variable are in vector λ , and \mathbf{f} is a vector that indicates which variable loads on which factor.

28.1. Form

$$\mathbf{Y}_{i,m} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_{i,m}, \sigma_m^2), \quad i = 1, \dots, N, \quad m = 1, \dots, M$$

$$\mu = \alpha^T + \mathbf{F}_{1:N,\mathbf{f}} \lambda^T$$

$$\mathbf{F}_{i,1:P} \sim \mathcal{N}_P(\gamma, \Omega^{-1}), \quad i = 1, \dots, N$$

$$\alpha_m \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000), \quad m = 1, \dots, M$$

$$\lambda_m \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000), \quad m = 1, \dots, M$$

$$\sigma_m \sim \mathcal{HC}(25), \quad m = 1, \dots, M$$

$$\Omega \sim \mathcal{W}_N(\mathbf{S}), \quad \mathbf{S} = \mathbf{I}_P$$

28.2. Data

```
data(swiss)
Y <- cbind(swiss$Agriculture, swiss$Examination, swiss$Education,
           swiss$Catholic, swiss$Infant.Mortality)
M <- ncol(Y) #Number of variables
N <- nrow(Y) #Number of records
P <- 3 #Number of factors
f <- c(1,3,2,2,1) #Indicator f for the factor for each variable m
gamma <- rep(0,P)
S <- diag(P)
mon.names <- "LP"
parm.names <- as.parm.names(list(F=matrix(0,N,P), lambda=rep(0,M),
                                Omega=diag(P), alpha=rep(0,M), log.sigma=rep(0,M)),
                             uppertri=c(0,0,1,0,0))
MyData <- list(M=M, N=N, P=P, S=S, Y=Y, f=f, gamma=gamma,
              mon.names=mon.names, parm.names=parm.names)
```

28.3. Model

```
Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Parameters
  alpha <- parm[grepl("alpha", Data$parm.names)]
  lambda <- parm[grepl("lambda", Data$parm.names)]
  sigma <- exp(parm[grepl("log.sigma", Data$parm.names)])
  F <- matrix(parm[grepl("F", Data$parm.names)], Data$N, Data$P)
  Omega <- as.parm.matrix(Omega, Data$P, parm, Data)
  parm[grepl("Omega", Data$parm.names)] <- upper.triangle(Omega,
    diag=TRUE)
  ### Log(Prior Densities)
  alpha.prior <- sum(dnormv(alpha, 0, 1000, log=TRUE))
  lambda.prior <- sum(dnormv(lambda, 0, 1000, log=TRUE))
  sigma.prior <- sum(dhalfcauchy(sigma, 25, log=TRUE))
  Omega.prior <- dwishart(Omega, Data$N, Data$S, log=TRUE)
  F.prior <- sum(dmvnp(F, Data$gamma, Omega, log=TRUE))
  ### Log-Likelihood
  mu <- matrix(alpha, Data$N, Data$M, byrow=TRUE) + F[,Data$f] *
    matrix(lambda, Data$N, Data$M, byrow=TRUE)
  LL <- sum(dnorm(Data$Y, mu, sigma, log=TRUE))
  ### Log-Posterior
  LP <- LL + alpha.prior + lambda.prior + sigma.prior + F.prior +
    Omega.prior
  Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=LP, yhat=mu, parm=parm)
  return(Modelout)
}
```

28.4. Initial Values

```
Initial.Values <- c(rep(0,N*P), rep(0,M), upper.triangle(S, diag=TRUE),
  rep(0,M), rep(0,M))
```

29. Factor Analysis, Dynamic

The factor scores in \mathbf{F} are dynamic with respect to time, and are estimated as in a state space model (SSM) or dynamic linear model (DLM) with constant variance in the state vector. For more information on SSMs, see section 76. For more information on exploratory factor analysis, see section 30.

29.1. Form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Y}_{t,j} &\sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_{t,j}, \sigma_j^2), \quad t = 2, \dots, T, \quad j = 1, \dots, J \\ \mu_{2:T} &= \mathbf{F}_{1:(T-1)} \Lambda \\ \mathbf{F}_{1,1:P} &\sim \mathcal{N}_P(0, \Omega^{-1}) \\ \mathbf{F}_{t,1:P} &\sim \mathcal{N}_P(\mathbf{F}_{t-1,1:P}, \Omega^{-1}), \quad t = 2, \dots, T \\ \Lambda_{p,j} &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000), \quad p = 1, \dots, P, \quad j = 1, \dots, J \\ \Omega &\sim \mathcal{W}_N(\mathbf{S}), \quad \mathbf{S} = \mathbf{I}_P \\ \sigma_j &\sim \mathcal{HC}(25), \quad j = 1, \dots, J \end{aligned}$$

29.2. Data

```
T <- 10 #Number of time-periods
J <- 20 #Number of time-series
P <- 3 #Number of dynamic factors
Lambda <- matrix(runif(J*P,-1,1), P, J)
Sigma <- matrix(runif(P*P), P, P); diag(Sigma) <- runif(P)*5
Sigma <- as.symmetric.matrix(Sigma); Sigma <- as.positive.definite(Sigma)
F <- rmvn(T, rep(0,P), Sigma)
Y <- tcrossprod(F, t(Lambda))
S <- diag(P)
mon.names <- c("LP", paste("ynew[", 1:J, "]", sep=""))
parm.names <- as.parm.names(list(F=matrix(0,T,P), Omega=diag(P),
  Lambda=matrix(0,P,J), log.sigma=rep(0,J)), uppertri=c(0,1,0,0))
MyData <- list(J=J, P=P, S=S, T=T, Y=Y, mon.names=mon.names,
  parm.names=parm.names)
Dyn <- matrix(".", T, P)
for (t in 1:T) {for (p in 1:P) {
  Dyn[t,p] <- paste("F[",t,",",p,"]", sep="")}}
```

29.3. Model

```

Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Parameters
  F <- matrix(parm[1:(Data$T*Data$P)], Data$T, Data$P)
  Omega <- as.parm.matrix(Omega, Data$P, parm, Data)
  parm[grep("Omega", Data$parm.names)] <- Omega[upper.tri(Omega,
    diag=TRUE)]
  Lambda <- matrix(parm[grep("Lambda", Data$parm.names)], Data$P, Data$J)
  sigma <- exp(parm[grep("log.sigma", Data$parm.names)])
  ### Log(Prior Densities)
  F.prior <- sum(dmvnp(F, rbind(rep(0, Data$P), F[-Data$T,]), Omega,
    log=TRUE))
  Omega.prior <- dwishart(Omega, Data$P+1, Data$S, log=TRUE)
  Lambda.prior <- sum(dnormv(Lambda, 0, 1000, log=TRUE))
  sigma.prior <- sum(dhalfcauchy(sigma, 25, log=TRUE))
  ### Log-Likelihood
  mu <- rbind(rep(0, Data$J), tcrossprod(F[-Data$T,], t(Lambda)))
  ynew <- tcrossprod(F[Data$T,], t(Lambda))
  LL <- sum(dnorm(Data$Y, mu, matrix(sigma, Data$T, Data$J, byrow=TRUE),
    log=TRUE))
  ### Log-Posterior
  LP <- LL + F.prior + Omega.prior + Lambda.prior + sigma.prior
  Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=c(LP,ynew), yhat=mu,
    parm=parm)
  return(Modelout)
}

```

29.4. Initial Values

```

Initial.Values <- c(rep(0,T*P), S[upper.tri(S, diag=TRUE)], rep(0,P*J),
  rep(0,J))

```

30. Factor Analysis, Exploratory

Factor scores are in matrix \mathbf{F} and factor loadings are in matrix Λ .

30.1. Form

$$\mathbf{Y}_{i,m} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_{i,m}, \sigma_m^2), \quad i = 1, \dots, N, \quad m = 1, \dots, M$$

$$\mu = \alpha^T + \mathbf{F}\Lambda$$

$$\mathbf{F}_{i,1:P} \sim \mathcal{N}_P(\gamma, \Omega^{-1}), \quad i = 1, \dots, N$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_m &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000), \quad m = 1, \dots, M \\
\gamma_p &= 0, \quad p = 1, \dots, P \\
\Lambda_{p,m} &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000), \quad p = 1, \dots, P, \quad m = 1, \dots, M \\
\Omega &\sim \mathcal{W}_N(\mathbf{S}), \quad \mathbf{S} = \mathbf{I}_P \\
\sigma_m &\sim \mathcal{HC}(25), \quad m = 1, \dots, M
\end{aligned}$$

30.2. Data

```

M <- 10 #Number of variables
N <- 20 #Number of records
P <- 3 #Number of factors
alpha <- runif(M)*10
Lambda <- matrix(runif(M*P,-1,1), P, M)
Sigma <- matrix(runif(P*P), P, P); diag(Sigma) <- 1 + runif(P)*5
Sigma <- as.symmetric.matrix(Sigma); Sigma <- as.positive.definite(Sigma)
F <- rmvn(N, rep(0,P), Sigma)
Y <- matrix(alpha, N, M, byrow=TRUE) + tcrossprod(F, t(Lambda))
gamma <- rep(0,P)
S <- diag(P)
mon.names <- "LP"
parm.names <- as.parm.names(list(F=matrix(0,N,P), Lambda=matrix(0,P,M),
  Omega=diag(P), alpha=rep(0,M), log.sigma=rep(0,M)),
  uppertri=c(0,0,1,0,0))
MyData <- list(M=M, N=N, P=P, S=S, Y=Y, gamma=gamma, mon.names=mon.names,
  parm.names=parm.names)

```

30.3. Model

```

Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Parameters
  F <- matrix(parm[grepl("F", Data$parm.names)], Data$N, Data$P)
  Lambda <- matrix(parm[grepl("Lambda", Data$parm.names)],
    Data$P, Data$M)
  Omega <- as.parm.matrix(Omega, Data$P, parm, Data)
  parm[grepl("Omega", Data$parm.names)] <- upper.triangle(Omega,
    diag=TRUE)
  alpha <- parm[grepl("alpha", Data$parm.names)]
  sigma <- exp(parm[grepl("log.sigma", Data$parm.names)])
  ### Log(Prior Densities)
  F.prior <- sum(dmvnp(F, Data$gamma, Omega, log=TRUE))
  Lambda.prior <- sum(dnormv(Lambda, 0, 1000, log=TRUE))
  Omega.prior <- dwishart(Omega, Data$N, Data$S, log=TRUE)
  alpha.prior <- sum(dnormv(alpha, 0, 1000, log=TRUE))
  sigma.prior <- sum(dhalfcauchy(sigma, 25, log=TRUE))
}

```

```

### Log-Likelihood
mu <- matrix(alpha, Data$N, Data$M, byrow=TRUE) +
  tcrossprod(F, t(Lambda))
LL <- sum(dnorm(Data$Y, mu, matrix(sigma, Data$N, Data$M,
  byrow=TRUE), log=TRUE))
### Log-Posterior
LP <- LL + F.prior + Lambda.prior + Omega.prior + alpha.prior +      sigma.prior
Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=LP, yhat=mu, parm=parm)
return(Modelout)
}

```

30.4. Initial Values

```

Initial.Values <- c(rep(0,N*P), rep(0,P*M), upper.triangle(S, diag=TRUE),
  rep(0,M), rep(0,M))

```

31. Factor Regression

This example of factor regression is constrained to the case where the number of factors is equal to the number of independent variables (IVs) less the intercept. The purpose of this form of factor regression is to orthogonalize the IVs with respect to \mathbf{y} , rather than variable reduction. This method is the combination of confirmatory factor analysis in section 28 and linear regression in section 40.

31.1. Form

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{y} &\sim \mathcal{N}(\nu, \sigma_{J+1}^2) \\
 \nu &= \mu\beta \\
 \mu_{i,1} &= 1 \\
 \mu_{i,j+1} &= \mu_{i,j}, \quad j = 1, \dots, J \\
 \mathbf{X}_{i,j} &\sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_{i,j}, \sigma_j^2), \quad i = 1, \dots, N, \quad j = 2, \dots, J \\
 \mu_{i,j} &= \alpha_j + \lambda_j \mathbf{F}_{i,j}, \quad i = 1, \dots, N, \quad j = 2, \dots, J \\
 \mathbf{F}_{i,1:J} &\sim \mathcal{N}_{J-1}(0, \Omega^{-1}), \quad i = 1, \dots, N \\
 \alpha_j &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000), \quad j = 1, \dots, (J-1) \\
 \beta_j &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000), \quad j = 1, \dots, J \\
 \lambda_j &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000), \quad j = 1, \dots, (J-1) \\
 \sigma_j &\sim \mathcal{HC}(25), \quad j = 1, \dots, (J+1) \\
 \Omega &\sim \mathcal{W}_N(\mathbf{S}), \quad \mathbf{S} = \mathbf{I}_J
 \end{aligned}$$

31.2. Data

```
data(demonsnacks)
N <- nrow(demonsnacks)
J <- 3
y <- log(demonsnacks$Calories)
X <- as.matrix(demonsnacks[,c(7,8,10)])
for (j in 1:J) {X[,j] <- CenterScale(X[,j])}
S <- diag(J)
mon.names <- "LP"
parm.names <- as.parm.names(list(alpha=rep(0,J), beta=rep(0,J+1),
  lambda=rep(0,J), log.sigma=rep(0,J+1), F=matrix(0,N,J),
  Omega=diag(J)), uppertri=c(0,0,0,0,0,1))
MyData <- list(J=J, N=N, S=S, X=X, mon.names=mon.names,
  parm.names=parm.names, y=y)
```

31.3. Model

```
Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Parameters
  alpha <- parm[grepl("alpha", Data$parm.names)]
  beta <- parm[grepl("beta", Data$parm.names)]
  lambda <- parm[grepl("lambda", Data$parm.names)]
  sigma <- exp(parm[grepl("log.sigma", Data$parm.names)])
  F <- matrix(parm[grepl("F", Data$parm.names)], Data$N, Data$J)
  Omega <- as.parm.matrix(Omega, Data$J, parm, Data)
  parm[grepl("Omega", Data$parm.names)] <- upper.triangle(Omega,
    diag=TRUE)
  ### Log(Prior Densities)
  alpha.prior <- sum(dnormv(alpha, 0, 1000, log=TRUE))
  beta.prior <- sum(dnormv(beta, 0, 1000, log=TRUE))
  lambda.prior <- sum(dnormv(lambda, 0, 1000, log=TRUE))
  sigma.prior <- sum(dhalfcauchy(sigma, 25, log=TRUE))
  Omega.prior <- dwishart(Omega, Data$N, Data$S, log=TRUE)
  F.prior <- sum(dmvnp(F, rep(0,Data$J), Omega, log=TRUE))
  ### Log-Likelihood
  mu <- matrix(alpha, Data$N, Data$J, byrow=TRUE) + F *
    matrix(lambda, Data$N, Data$J, byrow=TRUE)
  nu <- tcrossprod(beta, cbind(rep(1,Data$N),mu))
  LL <- sum(dnorm(Data$X, mu, matrix(sigma[1:Data$J], Data$N, Data$J,
    byrow=TRUE)), dnorm(Data$y, nu, sigma[Data$J+1], log=TRUE))
  ### Log-Posterior
  LP <- LL + alpha.prior + beta.prior + lambda.prior + sigma.prior +
    F.prior + Omega.prior
  Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=LP, yhat=nu, parm=parm)
```

```
return(Modelout)
}
```

31.4. Initial Values

```
Initial.Values <- c(rep(0,J), rep(0,J+1), rep(0,J), rep(0,J+1),
  rep(0,N*J), upper.triangle(S, diag=TRUE))
```

32. Gamma Regression

32.1. Form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{y} &\sim \mathcal{G}(\lambda\tau, \tau) \\ \lambda &= \exp(\mathbf{X}\beta) \\ \beta_j &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000), \quad j = 1, \dots, J \\ \tau &\sim \mathcal{HC}(25) \end{aligned}$$

32.2. Data

```
N <- 20
J <- 3
X <- matrix(runif(N*J,-2,2),N,J); X[,1] <- 1
beta <- runif(J,-2,2)
y <- round(exp(tcrossprod(X, t(beta)))) + 0.1 #Must be > 0
mon.names <- c("LP","sigma2")
parm.names <- as.parm.names(list(beta=rep(0,J), log.tau=0))
MyData <- list(J=J, N=N, X=X, mon.names=mon.names, parm.names=parm.names,
  y=y)
```

32.3. Model

```
Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Parameters
  beta <- parm[grep("beta", Data$parm.names)]
  tau <- exp(parm[grep("log.tau", Data$parm.names)])
  sigma2 <- 1/tau
  ### Log(Prior Densities)
  beta.prior <- sum(dnormv(beta, 0, 1000, log=TRUE))
  tau.prior <- dhalfcauchy(tau, 25, log=TRUE)
  ### Log-Likelihood
```



```

lambda <- exp(tcrossprod(Data$X, t(beta)))
LL <- sum(dgamma(Data$y, tau*lambda, tau, log=TRUE))
### Log-Posterior
LP <- LL + beta.prior + tau.prior
Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=c(LP,sigma2), yhat=lambda,
  parm=parm)
return(Modelout)
}

```

32.4. Initial Values

```
Initial.Values <- c(rep(0,J), 1)
```

33. GARCH(1,1)

33.1. Form

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{y}_t &\sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_t, \sigma_t^2), \quad t = 1, \dots, T \\
\mathbf{y}^{new} &\sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_{T+1}, \sigma_{new}^2) \\
\mu_t &= \alpha + \phi \mathbf{y}_{t-1}, \quad t = 1, \dots, (T+1) \\
\epsilon_t &= \mathbf{y}_t - \mu_t \\
\alpha &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000) \\
\phi &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000) \\
\sigma_{new}^2 &= \theta_1 + \theta_2 \epsilon_T^2 + \theta_3 \sigma_T^2 \\
\sigma_t^2 &= \theta_1 + \theta_2 \epsilon_{t-1}^2 + \theta_3 \sigma_{t-1}^2 \\
\theta_k &= \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-\theta_k)}, \quad k = 1, \dots, 3 \\
\theta_k &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000) \in [-10, 10], \quad k = 1, \dots, 3
\end{aligned}$$

33.2. Data

```

y <- c(0.02, -0.51, -0.30, 1.46, -1.26, -2.15, -0.91, -0.53, -1.91,
  2.64, 1.64, 0.15, 1.46, 1.61, 1.96, -2.67, -0.19, -3.28,
  1.89, 0.91, -0.71, 0.74, -0.10, 3.20, -0.80, -5.25, 1.03,
  -0.40, -1.62, -0.80, 0.77, 0.17, -1.39, -1.28, 0.48, -1.02,
  0.09, -1.09, 0.86, 0.36, 1.51, -0.02, 0.47, 0.62, -1.36,
  1.12, 0.42, -4.39, -0.87, 0.05, -5.41, -7.38, -1.01, -1.70,
  0.64, 1.16, 0.87, 0.28, -1.69, -0.29, 0.13, -0.65, 0.83,
  0.62, 0.05, -0.14, 0.01, -0.36, -0.32, -0.80, -0.06, 0.24,
  0.23, -0.37, 0.00, -0.33, 0.21, -0.10, -0.10, -0.01, -0.40,

```

```

-0.35, 0.48, -0.28, 0.08, 0.28, 0.23, 0.27, -0.35, -0.19,
0.24, 0.17, -0.02, -0.23, 0.03, 0.02, -0.17, 0.04, -0.39,
-0.12, 0.16, 0.17, 0.00, 0.18, 0.06, -0.36, 0.22, 0.14,
-0.17, 0.10, -0.01, 0.00, -0.18, -0.02, 0.07, -0.06, 0.06,
-0.05, -0.08, -0.07, 0.01, -0.06, 0.01, 0.01, -0.02, 0.01,
0.01, 0.12, -0.03, 0.08, -0.10, 0.01, -0.03, -0.08, 0.04,
-0.09, -0.08, 0.01, -0.05, 0.08, -0.14, 0.06, -0.11, 0.09,
0.06, -0.12, -0.01, -0.05, -0.15, -0.05, -0.03, 0.04, 0.00,
-0.12, 0.04, -0.06, -0.05, -0.07, -0.05, -0.14, -0.05, -0.01,
-0.12, 0.05, 0.06, -0.10, 0.00, 0.01, 0.00, -0.08, 0.00,
0.00, 0.07, -0.01, 0.00, 0.09, 0.33, 0.13, 0.42, 0.24,
-0.36, 0.22, -0.09, -0.19, -0.10, -0.08, -0.07, 0.05, 0.07,
0.07, 0.00, -0.04, -0.05, 0.03, 0.08, 0.26, 0.10, 0.08,
0.09, -0.07, -0.33, 0.17, -0.03, 0.07, -0.04, -0.06, -0.06,
0.07, -0.03, 0.00, 0.08, 0.27, 0.11, 0.11, 0.06, -0.11,
-0.09, -0.21, 0.24, -0.12, 0.11, -0.02, -0.03, 0.02, -0.10,
0.00, -0.04, 0.01, 0.02, -0.03, -0.10, -0.09, 0.17, 0.07,
-0.05, -0.01, -0.05, 0.01, 0.00, -0.08, -0.05, -0.08, 0.07,
0.06, -0.14, 0.02, 0.01, 0.04, 0.00, -0.13, -0.17)
T <- length(y)
mon.names <- c("LP", "ynew", "sigma2.new")
parm.names <- c("alpha", "phi", "logit.theta[1]", "logit.theta[2]",
  "logit.theta[3]")
MyData <- list(T=T, mon.names=mon.names, parm.names=parm.names, y=y)

```

33.3. Model

```

Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Parameters
  alpha <- parm[1]; phi <- parm[2]
  theta <- invlogit(interval(parm[grep("logit.theta",
    Data$parm.names)], -10, 10))
  parm[grep("logit.theta", Data$parm.names)] <- logit(theta)
  ### Log(Prior Densities)
  alpha.prior <- dnormv(alpha, 0, 1000, log=TRUE)
  phi.prior <- dnormv(phi, 0, 1000, log=TRUE)
  theta.prior <- sum(dnormv(theta, 0, 1000, log=TRUE))
  ### Log-Likelihood
  mu <- c(alpha, alpha + phi*Data$y[-Data$T])
  ynew <- alpha + phi*Data$y[Data$T]
  epsilon <- Data$y - mu
  sigma2 <- c(theta[1], theta[1] + theta[2]*epsilon[-Data$T]^2)
  sigma2[-1] <- sigma2[-1] + theta[3]*sigma2[-Data$T]
  sigma2.new <- theta[1] + theta[2]*epsilon[Data$T]^2 +
    theta[3]*sigma2[Data$T]
}

```

```

LL <- sum(dnormv(Data$y, mu, sigma2, log=TRUE))
### Log-Posterior
LP <- LL + alpha.prior + phi.prior + theta.prior
Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=c(LP, ynew, sigma2.new),
  yhat=mu, parm=parm)
return(Modelout)
}

```

33.4. Initial Values

```
Initial.Values <- c(rep(0,2), rep(0.4,3))
```

34. GARCH-M(1,1)

34.1. Form

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{y}_t &\sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_t, \sigma_t^2), \quad t = 1, \dots, T \\
\mathbf{y}^{new} &\sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_{T+1}, \sigma_{new}^2) \\
\mu_t &= \alpha + \phi \mathbf{y}_{t-1} + \delta \sigma_{t-1}^2, \quad t = 1, \dots, (T+1) \\
\epsilon_t &= \mathbf{y}_t - \mu_t \\
\alpha &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000) \\
\phi &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000) \\
\sigma_{new}^2 &= \omega + \theta_1 \epsilon_T^2 + \theta_2 \sigma_T^2 \\
\sigma_t^2 &= \omega + \theta_1 \epsilon_{t-1}^2 + \theta_2 \sigma_{t-1}^2 \\
\omega &\sim \mathcal{HC}(25) \\
\theta_k &\sim \mathcal{U}(0, 1), \quad k = 1, \dots, 2
\end{aligned}$$

34.2. Data

```

y <- c(0.02, -0.51, -0.30, 1.46, -1.26, -2.15, -0.91, -0.53, -1.91,
  2.64, 1.64, 0.15, 1.46, 1.61, 1.96, -2.67, -0.19, -3.28,
  1.89, 0.91, -0.71, 0.74, -0.10, 3.20, -0.80, -5.25, 1.03,
  -0.40, -1.62, -0.80, 0.77, 0.17, -1.39, -1.28, 0.48, -1.02,
  0.09, -1.09, 0.86, 0.36, 1.51, -0.02, 0.47, 0.62, -1.36,
  1.12, 0.42, -4.39, -0.87, 0.05, -5.41, -7.38, -1.01, -1.70,
  0.64, 1.16, 0.87, 0.28, -1.69, -0.29, 0.13, -0.65, 0.83,
  0.62, 0.05, -0.14, 0.01, -0.36, -0.32, -0.80, -0.06, 0.24,
  0.23, -0.37, 0.00, -0.33, 0.21, -0.10, -0.10, -0.01, -0.40,
  -0.35, 0.48, -0.28, 0.08, 0.28, 0.23, 0.27, -0.35, -0.19,
  0.24, 0.17, -0.02, -0.23, 0.03, 0.02, -0.17, 0.04, -0.39,

```

```

-0.12, 0.16, 0.17, 0.00, 0.18, 0.06, -0.36, 0.22, 0.14,
-0.17, 0.10, -0.01, 0.00, -0.18, -0.02, 0.07, -0.06, 0.06,
-0.05, -0.08, -0.07, 0.01, -0.06, 0.01, 0.01, -0.02, 0.01,
0.01, 0.12, -0.03, 0.08, -0.10, 0.01, -0.03, -0.08, 0.04,
-0.09, -0.08, 0.01, -0.05, 0.08, -0.14, 0.06, -0.11, 0.09,
0.06, -0.12, -0.01, -0.05, -0.15, -0.05, -0.03, 0.04, 0.00,
-0.12, 0.04, -0.06, -0.05, -0.07, -0.05, -0.14, -0.05, -0.01,
-0.12, 0.05, 0.06, -0.10, 0.00, 0.01, 0.00, -0.08, 0.00,
0.00, 0.07, -0.01, 0.00, 0.09, 0.33, 0.13, 0.42, 0.24,
-0.36, 0.22, -0.09, -0.19, -0.10, -0.08, -0.07, 0.05, 0.07,
0.07, 0.00, -0.04, -0.05, 0.03, 0.08, 0.26, 0.10, 0.08,
0.09, -0.07, -0.33, 0.17, -0.03, 0.07, -0.04, -0.06, -0.06,
0.07, -0.03, 0.00, 0.08, 0.27, 0.11, 0.11, 0.06, -0.11,
-0.09, -0.21, 0.24, -0.12, 0.11, -0.02, -0.03, 0.02, -0.10,
0.00, -0.04, 0.01, 0.02, -0.03, -0.10, -0.09, 0.17, 0.07,
-0.05, -0.01, -0.05, 0.01, 0.00, -0.08, -0.05, -0.08, 0.07,
0.06, -0.14, 0.02, 0.01, 0.04, 0.00, -0.13, -0.17)
T <- length(y)
mon.names <- c("LP", "ynew", "sigma2.new")
parm.names <- c("alpha", "phi", "delta", "log.omega", "theta[1]", "theta[2]")
MyData <- list(T=T, mon.names=mon.names, parm.names=parm.names, y=y)

```

34.3. Model

```

Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Parameters
  alpha <- parm[1]; phi <- parm[2]; delta <- parm[3]
  omega <- exp(parm[4])
  parm[5:6] <- theta <- interval(parm[5:6], 1e-10, 1-1e-5)
  ### Log(Prior Densities)
  alpha.prior <- dnormv(alpha, 0, 1000, log=TRUE)
  phi.prior <- dnormv(phi, 0, 1000, log=TRUE)
  delta.prior <- dnormv(delta, 0, 1000, log=TRUE)
  omega.prior <- dhalfcauchy(omega, 25, log=TRUE)
  theta.prior <- sum(dunif(theta, 0, 1, log=TRUE))
  ### Log-Likelihood
  mu <- c(alpha, alpha + phi*Data$y[-Data$T])
  epsilon <- Data$y - mu
  sigma2 <- c(omega, omega + theta[1]*epsilon[-Data$T]^2)
  sigma2[-1] <- sigma2[-1] + theta[2]*sigma2[-Data$T]
  sigma2.new <- omega + theta[1]*epsilon[Data$T]^2 +
    theta[2]*sigma2[Data$T]
  mu <- mu + delta*sigma2
  ynew <- alpha + phi*Data$y[Data$T] + delta*sigma2[Data$T]
  LL <- sum(dnormv(Data$y, mu, sigma2, log=TRUE))
}

```

```

### Log-Posterior
LP <- LL + alpha.prior + phi.prior + delta.prior + omega.prior +
  theta.prior
Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=c(LP, ynew, sigma2.new),
  yhat=mu, parm=parm)
return(Modelout)
}

```

34.4. Initial Values

```
Initial.Values <- c(rep(0,3), rep(0.3,3))
```

35. Geographically Weighted Regression

35.1. Form

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{y}_{i,k} &\sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_{i,k}, \tau_{i,k}^{-1}), \quad i = 1, \dots, N, \quad k = 1, \dots, N \\
 \mu_{i,1:N} &= \mathbf{X}\beta_{i,1:J} \\
 \tau &= \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \mathbf{w}\nu \\
 \mathbf{w} &= \frac{\exp(-0.5\mathbf{Z}^2)}{\mathbf{h}} \\
 \alpha &\sim \mathcal{U}(1.5, 100) \\
 \beta_{i,j} &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000), \quad i = 1, \dots, N, \quad j = 1, \dots, J \\
 \mathbf{h} &\sim \mathcal{N}(0.1, 1000) \in [0.1, \infty] \\
 \nu_{i,k} &\sim \mathcal{G}(\alpha, 2), \quad i = 1, \dots, N, \quad k = 1, \dots, N \\
 \sigma_i &\sim \mathcal{HC}(25), \quad i = 1, \dots, N
 \end{aligned}$$

35.2. Data

```

crime <- c(18.802, 32.388, 38.426, 0.178, 15.726, 30.627, 50.732,
  26.067, 48.585, 34.001, 36.869, 20.049, 19.146, 18.905, 27.823,
  16.241, 0.224, 30.516, 33.705, 40.970, 52.794, 41.968, 39.175,
  53.711, 25.962, 22.541, 26.645, 29.028, 36.664, 42.445, 56.920,
  61.299, 60.750, 68.892, 38.298, 54.839, 56.706, 62.275, 46.716,
  57.066, 54.522, 43.962, 40.074, 23.974, 17.677, 14.306, 19.101,
  16.531, 16.492)
income <- c(21.232, 4.477, 11.337, 8.438, 19.531, 15.956, 11.252,
  16.029, 9.873, 13.598, 9.798, 21.155, 18.942, 22.207, 18.950,
  29.833, 31.070, 17.586, 11.709, 8.085, 10.822, 9.918, 12.814,

```

```

11.107, 16.961, 18.796, 11.813, 14.135, 13.380, 17.017, 7.856,
8.461, 8.681, 13.906, 14.236, 7.625, 10.048, 7.467, 9.549,
9.963, 11.618, 13.185, 10.655, 14.948, 16.940, 18.739, 18.477,
18.324, 25.873)
housing <- c(44.567, 33.200, 37.125, 75.000, 80.467, 26.350, 23.225,
28.750, 18.000, 96.400, 41.750, 47.733, 40.300, 42.100, 42.500,
61.950, 81.267, 52.600, 30.450, 20.300, 34.100, 23.600, 27.000,
22.700, 33.500, 35.800, 26.800, 27.733, 25.700, 43.300, 22.850,
17.900, 32.500, 22.500, 53.200, 18.800, 19.900, 19.700, 41.700,
42.900, 30.600, 60.000, 19.975, 28.450, 31.800, 36.300, 39.600,
76.100, 44.333)
easting <- c(35.62, 36.50, 36.71, 33.36, 38.80, 39.82, 40.01, 43.75,
39.61, 47.61, 48.58, 49.61, 50.11, 51.24, 50.89, 48.44, 46.73,
43.44, 43.37, 41.13, 43.95, 44.10, 43.70, 41.04, 43.23, 42.67,
41.21, 39.32, 41.09, 38.3, 41.31, 39.36, 39.72, 38.29, 36.60,
37.60, 37.13, 37.85, 35.95, 35.72, 35.76, 36.15, 34.08, 30.32,
27.94, 27.27, 24.25, 25.47, 29.02)
northing <- c(42.38, 40.52, 38.71, 38.41, 44.07, 41.18, 38.00, 39.28,
34.91, 36.42, 34.46, 32.65, 29.91, 27.80, 25.24, 27.93, 31.91,
35.92, 33.46, 33.14, 31.61, 30.40, 29.18, 28.78, 27.31, 24.96,
25.90, 25.85, 27.49, 28.82, 30.90, 32.88, 30.64, 30.35, 32.09,
34.08, 36.12, 36.30, 36.40, 35.60, 34.66, 33.92, 30.42, 28.26,
29.85, 28.21, 26.69, 25.71, 26.58)
N <- length(crime)
J <- 3 #Number of predictors, including the intercept
X <- matrix(c(rep(1,N), income, housing),N,J)
D <- as.matrix(dist(cbind(northing,easting), diag=TRUE, upper=TRUE))
Z <- D / sd(as.vector(D))
y <- matrix(0,N,N); for (i in 1:N) {for (k in 1:N) {y[i,k] <- crime[k]}}
mon.names <- "LP"
parm.names <- as.parm.names(list(alpha=0, beta=matrix(0,N,J), log.h=0,
log.nu=matrix(0,N,N), log.sigma=rep(0,N)))
MyData <- list(J=J, N=N, X=X, Z=Z, latitude=northing, longitude=easting,
mon.names=mon.names, parm.names=parm.names, y=y)

```

35.3. Model

```

Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Parameters
  alpha <- interval(parm[1], 1.5, 100); parm[1] <- alpha
  beta <- matrix(parm[grep("beta", Data$parm.names)], Data$N, Data$J)
  h <- exp(parm[2+(N*J)]) + 0.1
  nu <- exp(matrix(parm[grep("log.nu", Data$parm.names)],
    Data$N, Data$N))
  sigma <- exp(parm[grep("log.sigma", Data$parm.names)])

```

```

#### Log(Prior Densities)
alpha.prior <- dunif(alpha, 1.5, 100, log=TRUE)
beta.prior <- sum(dnormv(beta, 0, 1000, log=TRUE))
h.prior <- dtrunc(h, "normv", a=0.1, b=Inf, mean=0.1, var=1000,
  log=TRUE)
nu.prior <- sum(dgamma(nu, alpha, 2, log=TRUE))
sigma.prior <- sum(dhalfcauchy(sigma, 25, log=TRUE))
#### Log-Likelihood
w <- exp(-0.5 * Data$Z^2) / h
tau <- (1/sigma^2) * w * nu
mu <- tcrossprod(Data$X, beta)
LL <- sum(dnormp(Data$y, mu, tau, log=TRUE))
#WSE <- w * nu * (Data$y - mu)^2; w.y <- w * nu * Data$y
#WMSE <- rowMeans(WSE); y.w <- rowSums(w.y) / rowSums(w)
#LAR2 <- 1 - WMSE / sd(y.w)^2
#### Log-Posterior
LP <- LL + alpha.prior + beta.prior + h.prior + nu.prior + sigma.prior
Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=LP, yhat=mu, parm=parm)
return(Modelout)
}

```

35.4. Initial Values

```

Initial.Values <- c(runif(1,1.5,100), rep(0,N*J), log(1), rep(0,N*N),
  log(rep(100,N)))

```

36. Inverse Gaussian Regression

36.1. Form

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{y} &\sim \mathcal{N}^{-1}(\mu, \lambda) \\
 \mu &= \exp(\mathbf{X}\beta) + C \\
 \beta_j &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000), \quad j = 1, \dots, J \\
 \lambda &\sim \mathcal{HC}(25)
 \end{aligned}$$

where C is a small constant, such as 1.0E-10.

36.2. Data

```

N <- 100
J <- 3 #Number of predictors, including the intercept
X <- matrix(1,N,J)
for (j in 2:J) {X[,j] <- rnorm(N,runif(1,-3,3),runif(1,0.1,1))}

```

```

beta.orig <- runif(J,-3,3)
e <- rnorm(N,0,0.1)
y <- exp(tcrossprod(X, t(beta.orig)) + e)
mon.names <- c("LP","lambda")
parm.names <- as.parm.names(list(beta=rep(0,J), log.lambda=0))
MyData <- list(J=J, X=X, mon.names=mon.names, parm.names=parm.names, y=y)

```

36.3. Model

```

Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Parameters
  beta <- parm[1:Data$J]
  lambda <- exp(parm[Data$J+1])
  ### Log(Prior Densities)
  beta.prior <- sum(dnormv(beta, 0, 1000, log=TRUE))
  lambda.prior <- dhalfcauchy(lambda, 25, log=TRUE)
  ### Log-Likelihood
  mu <- exp(tcrossprod(Data$X, t(beta))) + 1.0E-10
  LL <- sum(dinvgaussian(Data$y, mu, lambda, log=TRUE))
  ### Log-Posterior
  LP <- LL + beta.prior + lambda.prior
  Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=c(LP,lambda), yhat=mu,
    parm=parm)
  return(Modelout)
}

```

36.4. Initial Values

```
Initial.Values <- c(rep(0,J), log(1))
```

37. Kriging

This is an example of universal kriging of \mathbf{y} given \mathbf{X} , regression effects β , and spatial effects ζ . Euclidean distance between spatial coordinates (longitude and latitude) is used for each of $i = 1, \dots, N$ records of \mathbf{y} . An additional record is created from the same data-generating process to compare the accuracy of interpolation. For the spatial component, ϕ is the rate of spatial decay and κ is the scale. κ is often difficult to identify, so it is set to 1 (Gaussian), but may be allowed to vary up to 2 (Exponential). In practice, ϕ is also often difficult to identify. While Σ is spatial covariance, spatial correlation is $\rho = \exp(-\phi\mathbf{D})$. To extend this to a large data set, consider the predictive process kriging example in section 38.

37.1. Form

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{y} &\sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma_1^2) \\
\mu &= \mathbf{X}\beta + \zeta \\
\mathbf{y}^{new} &= \mathbf{X}\beta + \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{\rho_i}{\sum \rho} \zeta_i \right) \\
\rho &= \exp(-\phi \mathbf{D}^{new})^\kappa \\
\zeta &\sim \mathcal{N}_N(\zeta_\mu, \Sigma) \\
\Sigma &= \sigma_2^2 \exp(-\phi \mathbf{D})^\kappa \\
\beta_j &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000), \quad j = 1, \dots, 2 \\
\sigma_j &\sim \mathcal{HC}(25) \in [0.1, 10], \quad j = 1, \dots, 2 \\
\phi &\sim \mathcal{U}(1, 5) \\
\zeta_\mu &= 0 \\
\kappa &= 1
\end{aligned}$$

37.2. Data

```

N <- 20
longitude <- runif(N+1,0,100)
latitude <- runif(N+1,0,100)
D <- as.matrix(dist(cbind(longitude,latitude), diag=TRUE, upper=TRUE))
Sigma <- 10000 * exp(-1.5 * D)
zeta <- as.vector(apply(rmvn(1000, rep(0,N+1), Sigma), 2, mean))
beta <- c(50,2)
X <- matrix(runif((N+1)*2,-2,2),(N+1),2); X[,1] <- 1
mu <- as.vector(tcrossprod(X, t(beta)))
y <- mu + zeta
longitude.new <- longitude[N+1]; latitude.new <- latitude[N+1]
Xnew <- X[N+1,]; ynew <- y[N+1]
longitude <- longitude[1:N]; latitude <- latitude[1:N]
X <- X[1:N,]; y <- y[1:N]
D <- as.matrix(dist(cbind(longitude,latitude), diag=TRUE, upper=TRUE))
D.new <- sqrt((longitude - longitude.new)^2 + (latitude - latitude.new)^2)
mon.names <- c("LP", "ynew")
parm.names <- as.parm.names(list(zeta=rep(0,N), beta=rep(0,2),
  sigma=rep(0,2), phi=0))
MyData <- list(D=D, D.new=D.new, N=N, X=X, Xnew=Xnew, latitude=latitude,
  longitude=longitude, mon.names=mon.names, parm.names=parm.names,
  y=y)

```

37.3. Model

```

Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Parameters
  beta <- parm[grepl("beta", Data$parm.names)]
  zeta <- parm[grepl("zeta", Data$parm.names)]
  kappa <- 1
  sigma <- interval(parm[grepl("sigma", Data$parm.names)], 0.1, 10)
  phi <- interval(parm[grepl("phi", Data$parm.names)], 1, 5)
  parm[grepl("phi", Data$parm.names)] <- phi
  Sigma <- sigma[2]*sigma[2] * exp(-phi * Data$D)^kappa
  ### Log(Prior Densities)
  beta.prior <- sum(dnorm(beta, 0, 1000, log=TRUE))
  zeta.prior <- dmvn(zeta, rep(0, Data$N), Sigma, log=TRUE)
  sigma.prior <- sum(dhalfcauchy(sigma - 1, 25, log=TRUE))
  phi.prior <- dunif(phi, 1, 5, log=TRUE)
  ### Interpolation
  rho <- exp(-phi * Data$D.new)^kappa
  ynew <- sum(beta * Data$Xnew) + sum(rho / sum(rho) * zeta)
  ### Log-Likelihood
  mu <- tcrossprod(Data$X, t(beta)) + zeta
  LL <- sum(dnorm(Data$y, mu, sigma[1], log=TRUE))
  ### Log-Posterior
  LP <- LL + beta.prior + zeta.prior + sigma.prior + phi.prior
  Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=c(LP,ynew),
    yhat=mu, parm=parm)
  return(Modelout)
}

```

37.4. Initial Values

```
Initial.Values <- c(rep(0,N), rep(0,2), rep(1,2), 1)
```

38. Kriging, Predictive Process

The first K of N records in \mathbf{y} are used as knots for the parent process, and the predictive process involves records $(K + 1), \dots, N$. For more information on kriging, see section [37](#).

38.1. Form

$$\mathbf{y} \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_1^2)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1:K} = \mathbf{X}_{1:K,1:J}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\zeta}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_{(K+1):N} &= \mathbf{X}_{(K+1):N,1:J} \beta + \sum_{p=1}^{N-K} \frac{\lambda_{p,1:K}}{\sum_{q=1}^{N-K} \lambda_{q,1:K}} \zeta^T \\
\lambda &= \exp(-\phi \mathbf{D}_P)^\kappa \\
\mathbf{y}^{new} &= \mathbf{X} \beta + \sum_{k=1}^K \left(\frac{\rho_k}{\sum \rho} \zeta_k \right) \\
\rho &= \exp(-\phi \mathbf{D}^{new})^\kappa \\
\zeta &\sim \mathcal{N}_K(0, \Sigma) \\
\Sigma &= \sigma_2^2 \exp(-\phi \mathbf{D})^\kappa \\
\beta_j &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000), \quad j = 1, \dots, 2 \\
\sigma_j &\sim \mathcal{HC}(25), \quad j = 1, \dots, 2 \\
\phi &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000) \in [0, \infty] \\
\kappa &= 1
\end{aligned}$$

38.2. Data

```

N <- 100
K <- 30 #Number of knots
longitude <- runif(N+1,0,100)
latitude <- runif(N+1,0,100)
D <- as.matrix(dist(cbind(longitude,latitude), diag=TRUE, upper=TRUE))
Sigma <- 10000 * exp(-1.5 * D)
zeta <- as.vector(apply(rmvn(1000, rep(0,N+1), Sigma), 2, mean))
beta <- c(50,2)
X <- matrix(runif((N+1)*2,-2,2),(N+1),2); X[,1] <- 1
mu <- as.vector(tcrossprod(X, t(beta)))
y <- mu + zeta
longitude.new <- longitude[N+1]; latitude.new <- latitude[N+1]
Xnew <- X[N+1,]; ynew <- y[N+1]
longitude <- longitude[1:N]; latitude <- latitude[1:N]
X <- X[1:N,]; y <- y[1:N]
D <- as.matrix(dist(cbind(longitude[1:K],latitude[1:K]), diag=TRUE,
  upper=TRUE))
D.P <- matrix(0, N-K, K)
for (i in (K+1):N) {
  D.P[K+1-i,] <- sqrt((longitude[1:K] - longitude[i])^2 +
    (latitude[1:K] - latitude[i])^2)}
D.new <- sqrt((longitude[1:K] - longitude.new)^2 +
  (latitude[1:K] - latitude.new)^2)
mon.names <- c("LP","sigma[1]","sigma[2]","ynew")
parm.names <- as.parm.names(list(zeta=rep(0,K), beta=rep(0,2),
  sigma=rep(0,2), log.phi=0))

```

```
MyData <- list(D=D, D.new=D.new, D.P=D.P, K=K, N=N, X=X, Xnew=Xnew,
  latitude=latitude, longitude=longitude,
  mon.names=mon.names, parm.names=parm.names, y=y)
```

38.3. Model

```
Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Parameters
  beta <- parm[grep("beta", Data$parm.names)]
  zeta <- parm[grep("zeta", Data$parm.names)]
  kappa <- 1
  sigma <- interval(parm[grep("sigma", Data$parm.names)], 0, Inf)
  parm[grep("sigma", Data$parm.names)] <- sigma
  phi <- exp(parm[grep("log.phi", Data$parm.names)])
  Sigma <- sigma[2]*sigma[2] * exp(-phi * Data$D)^kappa
  ### Log(Prior Densities)
  beta.prior <- sum(dnormv(beta, 0, 1000, log=TRUE))
  zeta.prior <- dmvn(zeta, rep(0, Data$K), Sigma, log=TRUE)
  sigma.prior <- sum(dhalfcauchy(sigma, 25, log=TRUE))
  phi.prior <- dunif(phi, 1, 5, log=TRUE)
  ### Interpolation
  rho <- exp(-phi * Data$D.new)^kappa
  ynew <- sum(beta * Data$Xnew) + sum(rho / sum(rho) * zeta)
  ### Log-Likelihood
  mu <- tcrossprod(Data$X, t(beta))
  mu[1:Data$K] <- mu[1:Data$K] + zeta
  lambda <- exp(-phi * Data$D.P)^kappa
  mu[(Data$K+1):Data$N] <- mu[(Data$K+1):Data$N] +
    rowSums(lambda / rowSums(lambda) *
      matrix(zeta, Data$N - Data$K, Data$K, byrow=TRUE))
  LL <- sum(dnorm(Data$y, mu, sigma[1], log=TRUE))
  ### Log-Posterior
  LP <- LL + beta.prior + zeta.prior + sigma.prior + phi.prior
  Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=c(LP,sigma,ynew),
    yhat=mu, parm=parm)
  return(Modelout)
}
```

38.4. Initial Values

```
Initial.Values <- c(rep(0,K), c(mean(y), 0), rep(0,2), log(1))
```

39. Laplace Regression

This linear regression specifies that \mathbf{y} is Laplace-distributed, where it is usually Gaussian or normally-distributed. It has been claimed that it should be surprising that the normal distribution became the standard, when the Laplace distribution usually fits better and has wider tails (Kotz, Kozubowski, and Podgorski 2001). Another popular alternative is to use the t-distribution (see Robust Regression in section 68), though it is more computationally expensive to estimate, because it has three parameters. The Laplace distribution has only two parameters, location and scale like the normal distribution, and is computationally easier to fit. This example could be taken one step further, and the parameter vector β could be Laplace-distributed. Laplace's Demon recommends that users experiment with replacing the normal distribution with the Laplace distribution.

39.1. Form

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{y} &\sim \mathcal{L}(\mu, \sigma^2) \\ \mu &= \mathbf{X}\beta \\ \beta_j &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000), \quad j = 1, \dots, J \\ \sigma &\sim \mathcal{HC}(25)\end{aligned}$$

39.2. Data

```
N <- 10000
J <- 5
X <- matrix(1,N,J)
for (j in 2:J) {X[,j] <- rnorm(N,runif(1,-3,3),runif(1,0.1,1))}
beta <- runif(J,-3,3)
e <- rlaplace(N,0,0.1)
y <- tcrossprod(X, t(beta)) + e
mon.names <- c("LP", "sigma")
parm.names <- as.parm.names(list(beta=rep(0,J), log.sigma=0))
MyData <- list(J=J, X=X, mon.names=mon.names, parm.names=parm.names, y=y)
```

39.3. Model

```
Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Parameters
  beta <- parm[1:Data$J]
  sigma <- exp(parm[Data$J+1])
  ### Log(Prior Densities)
  beta.prior <- sum(dnormv(beta, 0, 1000, log=TRUE))
  sigma.prior <- dhalfcauchy(sigma, 25, log=TRUE)
  ### Log-Likelihood
  mu <- tcrossprod(Data$X, t(beta))
  LL <- sum(dlaplace(Data$y, mu, sigma, log=TRUE))
}
```

```

### Log-Posterior
LP <- LL + beta.prior + sigma.prior
Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=c(LP, sigma), yhat=mu,
  parm=parm)
return(Modelout)
}

```

39.4. Initial Values

```
Initial.Values <- c(rep(0,J), log(1))
```

40. Linear Regression

40.1. Form

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{y} &\sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \sigma^2) \\
 \boldsymbol{\mu} &= \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} \\
 \beta_j &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000), \quad j = 1, \dots, J \\
 \sigma &\sim \mathcal{HC}(25)
 \end{aligned}$$

40.2. Data

```

N <- 10000
J <- 5
X <- matrix(1,N,J)
for (j in 2:J) {X[,j] <- rnorm(N,runif(1,-3,3),runif(1,0.1,1))}
beta <- runif(J,-3,3)
e <- rnorm(N,0,0.1)
y <- tcrossprod(X, t(beta)) + e
mon.names <- c("LP", "sigma")
parm.names <- as.parm.names(list(beta=rep(0,J), log.sigma=0))
MyData <- list(J=J, X=X, mon.names=mon.names, parm.names=parm.names, y=y)

```

40.3. Model

```

Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Parameters
  beta <- parm[1:Data$J]
  sigma <- exp(parm[Data$J+1])
  ### Log(Prior Densities)

```

```

beta.prior <- sum(dnormv(beta, 0, 1000, log=TRUE))
sigma.prior <- dgamma(sigma, 25, log=TRUE)
### Log-Likelihood
mu <- tcrossprod(Data$X, t(beta))
LL <- sum(dnorm(Data$y, mu, sigma, log=TRUE))
### Log-Posterior
LP <- LL + beta.prior + sigma.prior
Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=c(LP, sigma), yhat=mu,
  parm=parm)
return(Modelout)
}

```

40.4. Initial Values

```
Initial.Values <- c(rep(0,J), log(1))
```

41. Linear Regression, Frequentist

By eliminating prior probabilities, a frequentist linear regression example is presented. Although frequentism is not endorsed here, the purpose of this example is to illustrate how the **LaplaceDemon** package can be used for Bayesian or frequentist inference.

41.1. Form

$$\mathbf{y} \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \sigma^2)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\mu} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$$

41.2. Data

```

N <- 10000
J <- 5
X <- matrix(1,N,J)
for (j in 2:J) {X[,j] <- rnorm(N,runif(1,-3,3),runif(1,0.1,1))}
beta <- runif(J,-3,3)
e <- rnorm(N,0,0.1)
y <- tcrossprod(X, t(beta)) + e
mon.names <- c("LL", "sigma")
parm.names <- as.parm.names(list(beta=rep(0,J), log.sigma=0))
MyData <- list(J=J, X=X, mon.names=mon.names, parm.names=parm.names, y=y)

```

41.3. Model

```

Model <- function(parm, Data)
{

```

```

### Parameters
beta <- parm[1:Data$J]
sigma <- exp(parm[Data$J+1])
### Log-Likelihood
mu <- tcrossprod(Data$X, t(beta))
LL <- sum(dnorm(Data$y, mu, sigma, log=TRUE))
Modelout <- list(LP=LL, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=c(LL, sigma), yhat=mu,
  parm=parm)
return(Modelout)
}

```

41.4. Initial Values

```
Initial.Values <- c(rep(0,J), log(1))
```

42. Linear Regression, Hierarchical Bayesian

42.1. Form

$$\mathbf{y} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$$

$$\mu = \mathbf{X}\beta$$

$$\beta_j \sim \mathcal{N}(\gamma, \delta), \quad j = 1, \dots, J$$

$$\gamma \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000)$$

$$\delta \sim \mathcal{HC}(25)$$

$$\sigma \sim \mathcal{HC}(\tau)$$

$$\tau \sim \mathcal{HC}(25)$$

42.2. Data

```

data(demonsnacks)
y <- log(demonsnacks$Calories)
X <- cbind(1, as.matrix(demonsnacks[,c(7,8,10)]))
J <- ncol(X)
for (j in 2:J) {X[,j] <- CenterScale(X[,j])}
mon.names <- c("LP", "delta", "sigma", "tau")
parm.names <- as.parm.names(list(beta=rep(0,J), gamma=0, log.delta=0,
log.sigma=0, log.tau=0))
MyData <- list(J=J, X=X, mon.names=mon.names, parm.names=parm.names, y=y)

```


42.3. Model

```

Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Hyperparameters
  gamma <- parm[Data$J+1]
  delta <- exp(parm[Data$J+2])
  tau <- exp(parm[Data$J+4])
  ### Parameters
  beta <- parm[1:Data$J]
  sigma <- exp(parm[Data$J+3])
  ### Log(Hyperprior Densities)
  gamma.prior <- dnorm(gamma, 0, 1000, log=TRUE)
  delta.prior <- dhalfcauchy(delta, 25, log=TRUE)
  tau.prior <- dhalfcauchy(tau, 25, log=TRUE)
  ### Log(Prior Densities)
  beta.prior <- sum(dnormv(beta, gamma, delta, log=TRUE))
  sigma.prior <- dhalfcauchy(sigma, tau, log=TRUE)
  ### Log-Likelihood
  mu <- tcrossprod(Data$X, t(beta))
  LL <- sum(dnorm(Data$y, mu, sigma, log=TRUE))
  ### Log-Posterior
  LP <- LL + beta.prior + gamma.prior + delta.prior + sigma.prior +
    tau.prior
  Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=c(LP,delta,sigma,tau),
    yhat=mu, parm=parm)
  return(Modelout)
}

```

42.4. Initial Values

```
Initial.Values <- c(rep(0,J), 0, rep(1,3))
```

43. Linear Regression, Multilevel

43.1. Form

$$\mathbf{y} \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \sigma^2)$$

$$\mu_i = \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{m}[i],1:J}$$

$$\beta_{g,1:J} \sim \mathcal{N}_J(\gamma, \Omega^{-1}), \quad g = 1, \dots, G$$

$$\Omega \sim \mathcal{W}_{J+1}(\mathbf{S}), \quad \mathbf{S} = \mathbf{I}_J$$

$$\gamma_j \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000), \quad j = 1, \dots, J$$

$$\sigma \sim \mathcal{HC}(25)$$

where \mathbf{m} is a vector of length N , and each element indicates the multilevel group ($g = 1, \dots, G$) for the associated record.

43.2. Data

```

N <- 30
J <- 2 ### Number of predictors (including intercept)
G <- 2 ### Number of Multilevel Groups
X <- matrix(rnorm(N,0,1),N,J); X[,1] <- 1
Sigma <- matrix(runif(J*J,-1,1),J,J)
diag(Sigma) <- runif(J,1,5)
Sigma <- as.possible.definite(Sigma)
gamma <- runif(J,-1,1)
beta <- matrix(NA,G,J)
for (g in 1:G) {beta[g,] <- rmvn(1, gamma, Sigma)}
m <- rcat(N, rep(1,G)) ### Multilevel group indicator
y <- rowSums(beta[m,] * X) + rnorm(N,0,0.1)
S <- diag(J)
mon.names <- c("LP","sigma")
parm.names <- as.parm.names(list(beta=matrix(0,G,J), log.sigma=0,
  gamma=rep(0,J), Omega=S), uppertri=c(0,0,0,1))
MyData <- list(G=G, J=J, N=N, S=S, X=X, m=m, mon.names=mon.names,
  parm.names=parm.names, y=y)

```

43.3. Model

```

Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Parameters
  beta <- matrix(parm[1:(Data$G * Data$J)], Data$G, Data$J)
  gamma <- parm[grepl("gamma", Data$parm.names)]
  sigma <- exp(parm[grepl("log.sigma", Data$parm.names)])
  Omega <- as.parm.matrix(Omega, Data$J, parm, Data)
  parm[grepl("Omega", Data$parm.names)] <- upper.triangle(Omega,
    diag=TRUE)
  ### Log(Prior Densities)
  Omega.prior <- dwishart(Omega, Data$J+1, Data$S, log=TRUE)
  beta.prior <- sum(dmvnp(beta, gamma, Omega, log=TRUE))
  gamma.prior <- sum(dnormv(gamma, 0, 100, log=TRUE))
  sigma.prior <- dhalfcauchy(sigma, 25, log=TRUE)
  ### Log-Likelihood
  mu <- rowSums(beta[Data$m,] * Data$X)
  LL <- sum(dnorm(Data$y, mu, sigma, log=TRUE))
  ### Log-Posterior
  LP <- LL + Omega.prior + beta.prior + gamma.prior + sigma.prior
}

```

```

Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=c(LP,sigma),
  yhat=mu, parm=parm)
return(Modelout)
}

```

43.4. Initial.Values

```

Initial.Values <- c(rep(0,G*J), log(1), rep(0,J),
  upper.triangle(S, diag=TRUE))

```

44. Linear Regression with Full Missingness

With ‘full missingness’, there are missing values for both the dependent variable (DV) and at least one independent variable (IV). Regarding initial values, only missing values for the IVs need to be specified. The ‘full likelihood’ approach to full missingness is excellent as long as the model is identifiable. When it is not identifiable, imputation may be done in a previous stage.

44.1. Form

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{y} &\sim \mathcal{N}(\nu, \sigma_J^2) \\
 \nu &= \mathbf{X}\beta \\
 \mu_{j,j} &= (\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{A})\Gamma_{j,j-1}, \quad j = 2, \dots, J \\
 \mathbf{A}_{i,j} &= \begin{cases} \alpha_k & \text{if } \mathbf{X}_{i,j} = \text{NA} \\ \mathbf{X}_{i,j} & \end{cases} \\
 i &= 1, \dots, N, \quad j = 1, \dots, J, \quad k = 1, \dots, K \\
 \alpha_k &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000), \quad k = 1, \dots, K \\
 \beta_j &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000), \quad j = 1, \dots, J \\
 \Gamma_{j,l} &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000), \quad j = 1, \dots, (J-1), \quad l = 1, \dots, (J-1) \\
 \sigma_j &\sim \mathcal{HC}(25), \quad j = 1, \dots, J
 \end{aligned}$$

Missing values for IVs are in vector α . These parameter values are inserted into design matrix \mathbf{X} via matrix \mathbf{A} , which indicates the position of missing values. Matrix Γ contains parameters to predict the IVs as additive, linear functions of other IVs. Vector β contains parameters to predict \mathbf{y} .

44.2. Data

```

N <- 100
J <- 5
X <- matrix(runif(N*J,-2,2),N,J); X[,1] <- 1 #Design matrix X
M <- matrix(round(runif(N*J)-0.45),N,J); M[,1] <- 0 #Missing indicators

```

```

X <- ifelse(M == 1, NA, X) #Simulated X gets missings according to M
beta.orig <- runif(J,-2,2)
y <- as.vector(tcrossprod(X, t(beta.orig)) + rnorm(N,0,0.1))
y[sample(1:N, round(N*.05))] <- NA
m <- ifelse(is.na(y), 1, 0) #Missing indicator for vector y
mon.names <- "LP"
parm.names <- as.parm.names(list(alpha=rep(0,length(which(is.na(X))))),
  beta=rep(0,J), Gamma=matrix(0,J-1,J-1), log.sigma=rep(0,J))
MyData <- list(J=J, M=M, N=N, X=X, m=m, mon.names=mon.names,
  parm.names=parm.names, y=y)

```

44.3. Model

```

Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Parameters
  alpha <- parm[grep("alpha", Data$parm.names)]
  beta <- parm[grep("beta", Data$parm.names)]
  Gamma <- matrix(parm[grep("Gamma", Data$parm.names)], Data$J-1,
    Data$J-1)
  sigma <- exp(parm[grep("log.sigma", Data$parm.names)])
  ### Log(Prior Densities)
  alpha.prior <- sum(dnormv(alpha, 0, 1000, log=TRUE))
  beta.prior <- sum(dnormv(beta, 0, 1000, log=TRUE))
  Gamma.prior <- sum(dnormv(Gamma, 0, 1000, log=TRUE))
  sigma.prior <- sum(dhalfcauchy(sigma, 25, log=TRUE))
  ### Log-Likelihood
  A <- rep(0,Data$N*Data$J)
  A[which(is.na(Data$X))] <- alpha
  mu <- X.imputed <- ifelse(is.na(Data$X), 0, Data$X) +
    matrix(A, Data$N, Data$J)
  for (j in 2:Data$J) {mu[,j] <- tcrossprod(X.imputed[,-j],
    t(Gamma[, (j-1)]))}
  nu <- tcrossprod(X.imputed, t(beta))
  y.imputed <- ifelse(is.na(Data$y), nu, Data$y)
  LL <- sum((1-Data$M[, -1]) * dnorm(X.imputed[, -1], mu[, -1],
    matrix(sigma[1:(Data$J-1)], Data$N, Data$J-1), log=TRUE),
    (1-Data$m) * dnorm(y.imputed, nu, sigma[Data$J], log=TRUE))
  ### Log-Posterior
  LP <- LL + alpha.prior + beta.prior + Gamma.prior + sigma.prior
  Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=LP, yhat=nu, parm=parm)
  return(Modelout)
}

```

44.4. Initial Values

```
Initial.Values <- c(rep(0,length(which(is.na(X)))), rep(0,J),
  rep(0,(J-1)^2), rep(1,J))
```

45. Linear Regression with Missing Response

Initial values do not need to be specified for missing values in this response, \mathbf{y} . Instead, at each iteration, missing values in \mathbf{y} are replaced with their estimate in μ .

45.1. Form

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{y} &\sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2) \\ \mu &= \mathbf{X}\beta \\ \beta_j &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000), \quad j = 1, \dots, J \\ \sigma &\sim \mathcal{HC}(25)\end{aligned}$$

45.2. Data

```
data(demonsnacks)
y <- log(demonsnacks$Calories)
y[sample(1:N, round(N*0.05))] <- NA
M <- ifelse(is.na(y), 1, 0)
X <- cbind(1, as.matrix(demonsnacks[,c(7,8,10)]))
J <- ncol(X)
for (j in 2:J) {X[,j] <- CenterScale(X[,j])}
mon.names <- c("LP", "sigma")
parm.names <- as.parm.names(list(beta=rep(0,J), log.sigma=0))
MyData <- list(J=J, M=M, X=X, mon.names=mon.names, parm.names=parm.names, y=y)
```

45.3. Model

```
Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Parameters
  beta <- parm[1:Data$J]
  sigma <- exp(parm[Data$J+1])
  ### Log(Prior Densities)
  beta.prior <- sum(dnormv(beta, 0, 1000, log=TRUE))
  sigma.prior <- dgamma(sigma, 25, log=TRUE)
  ### Log-Likelihood
  mu <- tcrossprod(Data$X, t(beta))
  y.imputed <- ifelse(is.na(Data$y), mu, Data$y)
  LL <- sum((1-Data$M) * dnorm(y.imputed, mu, sigma, log=TRUE))
}
```

```

### Log-Posterior
LP <- LL + beta.prior + sigma.prior
Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=c(LP,sigma),
  yhat=mu, parm=parm)
return(Modelout)
}

```

45.4. Initial Values

```
Initial.Values <- c(rep(0,J), log(1))
```

46. LSTAR

This is a Logistic Smooth-Threshold Autoregression (LSTAR), and is specified with a transition function that includes γ as the shape parameter, \mathbf{y} as the transition variable, θ as the location parameter, and d as the delay parameter.

46.1. Form

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{y}_t &\sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_t, \sigma^2), \quad t = 1, \dots, T \\
 \mu_t &= \pi_t(\alpha_1 + \phi_1 \mathbf{y}_{t-1}) + (1 - \pi_t)(\alpha_2 + \phi_2 \mathbf{y}_{t-1}), \quad t = 2, \dots, T \\
 \pi_t &= \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-(\gamma(\mathbf{y}_{t-d} - \theta)))} \\
 \alpha_j &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000) \in [\mathbf{y}_{min}, \mathbf{y}_{max}], \quad j = 1, \dots, 2 \\
 \phi_j &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000), \quad j = 1, \dots, 2 \\
 \gamma &\sim \mathcal{HC}(25) \\
 \theta &\sim \mathcal{U}(\mathbf{y}_{min}, \mathbf{y}_{max}) \\
 \pi_1 &\sim \mathcal{U}(0.001, 0.999) \\
 \sigma &\sim \mathcal{HC}(25)
 \end{aligned}$$

46.2. Data

```

y <- c(26.73, 26.75, 26.24, 25.94, 27.40, 26.14, 23.99, 23.08, 22.55,
  20.64, 23.28, 24.92, 25.07, 26.53, 28.14, 30.10, 27.43, 27.24,
  23.96, 25.85, 26.76, 26.05, 26.79, 26.69, 29.89, 29.09, 23.84,
  24.87, 24.47, 22.85, 22.05, 22.82, 22.99, 21.60, 20.32, 20.80,
  19.78, 19.87, 18.78, 19.64, 20.00, 21.51, 21.49, 21.96, 22.58,
  21.22, 22.34, 22.76, 18.37, 17.50, 17.55, 12.14, 4.76, 3.75,
  2.05, 2.69, 3.85, 4.72, 5.00, 3.31, 3.02, 3.15, 2.50,
  3.33, 3.95, 4.00, 3.86, 3.87, 3.51, 3.19, 2.39, 2.33,
  2.57, 2.80, 2.43, 2.43, 2.10, 2.31, 2.21, 2.11, 2.10,

```

```

1.70, 1.35, 1.83, 1.55, 1.63, 1.91, 2.14, 2.41, 2.06,
1.87, 2.11, 2.28, 2.26, 2.03, 2.06, 2.08, 1.91, 1.95,
1.56, 1.44, 1.60, 1.77, 1.77, 1.95, 2.01, 1.65, 1.87,
2.01, 1.84, 1.94, 1.93, 1.93, 1.75, 1.73, 1.80, 1.74,
1.80, 1.75, 1.67, 1.60, 1.61, 1.55, 1.56, 1.57, 1.55,
1.56, 1.57, 1.69, 1.66, 1.74, 1.64, 1.65, 1.62, 1.54,
1.58, 1.49, 1.41, 1.42, 1.37, 1.45, 1.31, 1.37, 1.26,
1.35, 1.41, 1.29, 1.28, 1.23, 1.08, 1.03, 1.00, 1.04,
1.04, 0.92, 0.96, 0.90, 0.85, 0.78, 0.73, 0.59, 0.54,
0.53, 0.41, 0.46, 0.52, 0.42, 0.42, 0.43, 0.43, 0.35,
0.35, 0.35, 0.42, 0.41, 0.41, 0.50, 0.83, 0.96, 1.38,
1.62, 1.26, 1.48, 1.39, 1.20, 1.10, 1.02, 0.95, 1.00,
1.07, 1.14, 1.14, 1.10, 1.05, 1.08, 1.16, 1.42, 1.52,
1.60, 1.69, 1.62, 1.29, 1.46, 1.43, 1.50, 1.46, 1.40,
1.34, 1.41, 1.38, 1.38, 1.46, 1.73, 1.84, 1.95, 2.01,
1.90, 1.81, 1.60, 1.84, 1.72, 1.83, 1.81, 1.78, 1.80,
1.70, 1.70, 1.66, 1.67, 1.69, 1.66, 1.56, 1.47, 1.64,
1.71, 1.66, 1.65, 1.60, 1.61, 1.61, 1.53, 1.48, 1.40,
1.47, 1.53, 1.39, 1.41, 1.42, 1.46, 1.46, 1.33, 1.16)
T <- length(y)
mon.names <- c("LP", "sigma", "ynew", paste("pi[", 1:T, "]", sep=""),
               "pi.new")
parm.names <- as.parm.names(list(alpha=rep(0,2), phi=rep(0,2), log.gamma=0,
                                   theta=0, pi=0, log.sigma=0))
MyData <- list(T=T, mon.names=mon.names, parm.names=parm.names, y=y)

```

46.3. Model

```

Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Parameters
  parm[1:2] <- alpha <- interval(parm[1:2], min(Data$y), max(Data$y))
  parm[3:4] <- phi <- interval(parm[3:4], -1, 1)
  gamma <- exp(parm[5])
  parm[6] <- theta <- interval(parm[6], min(Data$y), max(Data$y))
  pi <- interval(parm[7], 0.001, 0.999); parm[7] <- pi
  sigma <- exp(parm[8])
  ### Log(Prior Densities)
  alpha.prior <- sum(dnormv(alpha, 0, 1000, log=TRUE))
  phi.prior <- sum(dnorm(phi, 0, 1000, log=TRUE))
  gamma.prior <- dhalfcauchy(gamma, 25, log=TRUE)
  theta.prior <- dunif(theta, min(Data$y), max(Data$y), log=TRUE)
  pi.prior <- dunif(pi, 0.001, 0.999, log=TRUE)
  sigma.prior <- dhalfcauchy(sigma, 25, log=TRUE)
  ### Log-Likelihood
  pi <- c(pi, 1 / (1 + exp(-(gamma*(Data$y[-Data$T]-theta)))))
}

```

```

pi.new <- 1 / (1 + exp(-(gamma*(Data$y[Data$T]-theta))))
mu <- pi * c(alpha[1], alpha[1] + phi[1]*Data$y[-Data$T]) +
  (1-pi) * c(alpha[2], alpha[2] + phi[2]*Data$y[-Data$T])
ynew <- pi.new * (alpha[1] + phi[1]*Data$y[Data$T]) +
  (1-pi.new) * (alpha[2] + phi[2]*Data$y[Data$T])
LL <- sum(dnorm(Data$y, mu, sigma, log=TRUE))
### Log-Posterior
LP <- LL + alpha.prior + phi.prior + gamma.prior + theta.prior +
  pi.prior + sigma.prior
Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=c(LP,sigma,ynew,pi,pi.new),
  yhat=mu, parm=parm)
return(Modelout)
}

```

46.4. Initial Values

```
Initial.Values <- c(rep(min(y),4), log(1), mean(y), 0.5, log(1))
```

47. MANCOVA

Since this is a multivariate extension of ANCOVA, please see the ANCOVA example in section 1 for a univariate introduction.

47.1. Form

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{Y}_{i,1:J} &\sim \mathcal{N}_K(\mu_{i,1:J}, \Sigma), \quad i = 1, \dots, N \\
\mu_{i,k} &= \alpha_k + \beta_{k,\mathbf{X}[i,1]} + \gamma_{k,\mathbf{X}[i,1]} + \mathbf{X}_{1:N,3:(C+J)} \delta_{k,1:C} \\
\epsilon_{i,k} &= \mathbf{Y}_{i,k} - \mu_{i,k} \\
\alpha_k &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000), \quad k = 1, \dots, K \\
\beta_{k,l} &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_1^2), \quad l = 1, \dots, (L-1) \\
\beta_{1:K,L} &= - \sum_{l=1}^{L-1} \beta_{1:K,l} \\
\gamma_{k,m} &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_2^2), \quad m = 1, \dots, (M-1) \\
\gamma_{1:K,M} &= - \sum_{m=1}^{M-1} \beta_{1:K,m} \\
\delta_{k,c} &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000) \\
\Omega &\sim \mathcal{W}_{K+1}(\mathbf{S}), \quad \mathbf{S} = \mathbf{I}_K \\
\Sigma &= \Omega^{-1}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\sigma_{1:J} \sim \mathcal{HC}(25)$$

47.2. Data

```

C <- 2 #Number of covariates
J <- 2 #Number of factors (treatments)
K <- 3 #Number of endogenous (dependent) variables
L <- 4 #Number of levels in factor (treatment) 1
M <- 5 #Number of levels in factor (treatment) 2
N <- 100
X <- matrix(cbind(round(runif(N, 0.5, L+0.49)),round(runif(N,0.5,M+0.49)),
  runif(C*N,0,1)), N, J + C)
alpha <- runif(K,-1,1)
beta <- matrix(runif(K*L,-2,2), K, L)
beta[,L] <- -rowSums(beta[, -L])
gamma <- matrix(runif(K*M,-2,2), K, M)
gamma[,M] <- -rowSums(gamma[, -M])
delta <- matrix(runif(K*C), K, C)
Y <- matrix(NA,N,K)
for (k in 1:K) {
  Y[,k] <- alpha[k] + beta[k,X[,1]] + gamma[k,X[,2]] +
    tcrossprod(delta[k,], X[, -c(1,2)]) + rnorm(1,0,0.1)}
S <- diag(K)
mon.names <- c("LP", "s.o.beta", "s.o.gamma", "s.o.epsilon",
  as.parm.names(list(s.beta=rep(0,K), s.gamma=rep(0,K),
    s.epsilon=rep(0,K))))
parm.names <- as.parm.names(list(alpha=rep(0,K), beta=matrix(0,K,(L-1)),
  gamma=matrix(0,K,(M-1)), delta=matrix(0,K,C), Omega=diag(K),
  log.sigma=rep(0,2)), uppertri=c(0,0,0,0,1,0))
MyData <- list(C=C, J=J, K=K, L=L, M=M, N=N, S=S, X=X, Y=Y,
  mon.names=mon.names, parm.names=parm.names)

```

47.3. Model

```

Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Parameters
  alpha <- parm[grep("alpha", Data$parm.names)]
  beta <- matrix(c(parm[grep("beta", Data$parm.names)], rep(0,Data$K)),
    Data$K, Data$L)
  beta[,Data$L] <- -rowSums(beta[, -Data$L])
  gamma <- matrix(c(parm[grep("gamma", Data$parm.names)],
    rep(0,Data$K)), Data$K, Data$M)
  gamma[,Data$M] <- -rowSums(gamma[, -Data$M])
  delta <- matrix(parm[grep("delta", Data$parm.names)], Data$K, Data$C)
  Omega <- as.parm.matrix(Omega, Data$K, parm, Data)

```

```

parm[grep("Omega", Data$parm.names)] <- upper.triangle(Omega,
  diag=TRUE)
sigma <- exp(parm[grep("log.sigma", Data$parm.names)])
### Log(Prior Densities)
alpha.prior <- sum(dnormv(alpha, 0, 1000, log=TRUE))
beta.prior <- sum(dnorm(beta, 0, sigma[1], log=TRUE))
gamma.prior <- sum(dnorm(gamma, 0, sigma[2], log=TRUE))
delta.prior <- sum(dnormv(delta, 0, 1000, log=TRUE))
Omega.prior <- dwishart(Omega, Data$K+1, Data$S, log=TRUE)
sigma.prior <- sum(dhalfcauchy(sigma, 25, log=TRUE))
### Log-Likelihood
mu <- matrix(0, Data$N, Data$K)
for (k in 1:Data$K) {
  mu[,k] <- alpha[k] + beta[k, Data$X[,1]] + gamma[k, Data$X[,2]] +
    tcrossprod(Data$X[, -c(1,2)], t(delta[k,]))}
LL <- sum(dmvnp(Data$Y, mu, Omega, log=TRUE))
### Variance Components, Omnibus
s.o.beta <- sd(as.vector(beta))
s.o.gamma <- sd(as.vector(gamma))
s.o.epsilon <- sd(as.vector(Data$Y - mu))
### Variance Components, Univariate
s.beta <- apply(beta, 1, sd)
s.gamma <- apply(gamma, 1, sd)
s.epsilon <- apply(Data$Y - mu, 2, sd)
### Log-Posterior
LP <- LL + alpha.prior + beta.prior + gamma.prior + delta.prior +
  Omega.prior + sigma.prior
Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=c(LP, s.o.beta, s.o.gamma,
  s.o.epsilon, s.beta, s.gamma, s.epsilon), yhat=mu, parm=parm)
return(Modelout)
}

```

47.4. Initial Values

```

Initial.Values <- c(rep(0,K), rep(0,K*(L-1)), rep(0,K*(M-1)),
  rep(0,C*K), upper.triangle(S, diag=TRUE), rep(0,2))

```

48. MANOVA

Since this is a multivariate extension of ANOVA, please see the two-way ANOVA example in section 3 for a univariate introduction.

48.1. Form

$$\mathbf{Y}_{i,1:J} \sim \mathcal{N}_K(\mu_{i,1:J}, \Omega^{-1}), \quad i = 1, \dots, N$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_{i,k} &= \alpha_k + \beta_{k,\mathbf{X}[i,1]} + \gamma_{k,\mathbf{X}[i,1]} \\
\epsilon_{i,k} &= \mathbf{Y}_{i,k} - \mu_{i,k} \\
\alpha_k &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000), \quad k = 1, \dots, K \\
\beta_{k,l} &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_1^2), \quad l = 1, \dots, (L-1) \\
\beta_{1:K,L} &= - \sum_{l=1}^{L-1} \beta_{1:K,l} \\
\gamma_{k,m} &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_2^2), \quad m = 1, \dots, (M-1) \\
\gamma_{1:K,M} &= - \sum_{m=1}^{M-1} \beta_{1:K,m} \\
\Omega &\sim \mathcal{W}_{K+1}(\mathbf{S}), \quad \mathbf{S} = \mathbf{I}_K \\
\sigma_{1:J} &\sim \mathcal{HC}(25)
\end{aligned}$$

48.2. Data

```

J <- 2 #Number of factors (treatments)
K <- 3 #Number of endogenous (dependent) variables
L <- 4 #Number of levels in factor (treatment) 1
M <- 5 #Number of levels in factor (treatment) 2
N <- 100
X <- matrix(cbind(round(runif(N, 0.5, L+0.49)),round(runif(N,0.5,M+0.49))),
            N, J)
alpha <- runif(K,-1,1)
beta <- matrix(runif(K*L,-2,2), K, L)
beta[,L] <- -rowSums(beta[, -L])
gamma <- matrix(runif(K*M,-2,2), K, M)
gamma[,M] <- -rowSums(gamma[, -M])
Y <- matrix(NA,N,K)
for (k in 1:K) {
  Y[,k] <- alpha[k] + beta[k,X[,1]] + gamma[k,X[,2]] + rnorm(1,0,0.1)}
S <- diag(K)
mon.names <- c("LP", "s.o.beta", "s.o.gamma", "s.o.epsilon",
  as.parm.names(list(s.beta=rep(0,K), s.gamma=rep(0,K),
    s.epsilon=rep(0,K))))
parm.names <- as.parm.names(list(alpha=rep(0,K), beta=matrix(0,K,(L-1)),
  gamma=matrix(0,K,(M-1)), Omega=diag(K), log.sigma=rep(0,2)),
  uppertri=c(0,0,0,1,0))
MyData <- list(J=J, K=K, L=L, M=M, N=N, S=S, X=X, Y=Y,
  mon.names=mon.names, parm.names=parm.names)

```

48.3. Model

```

Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Parameters
  alpha <- parm[grep("alpha", Data$parm.names)]
  beta <- matrix(c(parm[grep("beta", Data$parm.names)], rep(0,Data$K)),
    Data$K, Data$L)
  beta[,Data$L] <- -rowSums(beta[,Data$L])
  gamma <- matrix(c(parm[grep("gamma", Data$parm.names)],
    rep(0,Data$K)), Data$K, Data$M)
  gamma[,Data$M] <- -rowSums(gamma[,Data$M])
  Omega <- as.parm.matrix(Omega, Data$K, parm, Data)
  parm[grep("Omega", Data$parm.names)] <- upper.triangle(Omega,
    diag=TRUE)
  sigma <- exp(parm[grep("log.sigma", Data$parm.names)])
  ### Log(Prior Densities)
  alpha.prior <- sum(dnorm(alpha, 0, 1000, log=TRUE))
  beta.prior <- sum(dnorm(beta, 0, sigma[1], log=TRUE))
  gamma.prior <- sum(dnorm(gamma, 0, sigma[2], log=TRUE))
  Omega.prior <- dwishart(Omega, Data$K+1, Data$S, log=TRUE)
  sigma.prior <- sum(dhalfcauchy(sigma, 25, log=TRUE))
  ### Log-Likelihood
  mu <- matrix(0,Data$N,Data$K)
  for (k in 1:Data$K) {
    mu[,k] <- alpha[k] + beta[k,Data$X[,1]] + gamma[k,Data$X[,2]]
  }
  LL <- sum(dmvnp(Data$Y, mu, Omega, log=TRUE))
  ### Variance Components, Omnibus
  s.o.beta <- sd(as.vector(beta))
  s.o.gamma <- sd(as.vector(gamma))
  s.o.epsilon <- sd(as.vector(Data$Y - mu))
  ### Variance Components, Univariate
  s.beta <- apply(beta,1,sd)
  s.gamma <- apply(gamma,1,sd)
  s.epsilon <- apply(Data$Y - mu,2,sd)
  ### Log-Posterior
  LP <- LL + alpha.prior + beta.prior + gamma.prior + Omega.prior +
    sigma.prior
  Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=c(LP, s.o.beta, s.o.gamma,
    s.o.epsilon, s.beta, s.gamma, s.epsilon), yhat=mu, parm=parm)
  return(Modelout)
}

```

48.4. Initial Values

```

Initial.Values <- c(rep(0,K), rep(0,K*(L-1)), rep(0,K*(M-1)),

```

```
upper.triangle(S, diag=TRUE), rep(0,2))
```

49. Mixture Model, Finite

This finite mixture model (FMM) imposes a multilevel structure on each of the J regression effects in β , so that mixture components share a common residual standard deviation, ν_j . Identifiability is gained at the expense of some shrinkage.

49.1. Form

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{y} &\sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_{1:N,m}, \sigma^2) \\
\mu_{1:N,m} &= \mathbf{X}\beta_{m,1:J}, \quad m = 1, \dots, M \\
\beta_{m,j} &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, \nu_j^2), \quad j = 1, \dots, J \\
\nu_j &\sim \mathcal{HC}(25) \\
\sigma &\sim \mathcal{HC}(25) \\
\pi_{1:M} &\sim \mathcal{D}(\alpha_{1:M}) \\
\pi_m &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{i,m}}{\sum \delta} \\
\mathbf{p}_{i,m} &= \frac{\delta_{i,m}}{\sum_{m=1}^M \delta_{i,m}} \\
\delta_{i,m} &= \exp(\mathbf{X}\delta_{i,m}), \quad m = 1, \dots, (M-1) \\
\delta_{1:N,M} &= 1 \\
\delta_{i,m} &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000) \in [-10, 10], \quad m = 1, \dots, (M-1) \\
\alpha_m &= 1
\end{aligned}$$

49.2. Data

```

M <- 2 #Number of mixtures
alpha <- rep(1,M) #Prior probability of mixing probabilities
data(demonsnacks)
N <- nrow(demonsnacks)
y <- log(demonsnacks$Calories)
X <- cbind(1, as.matrix(demonsnacks[,c(7,8,10)]))
J <- ncol(X)
for (j in 2:J) {X[,j] <- CenterScale(X[,j])}
mon.names <- c("LP", as.parm.names(list(pi=rep(0,M), sigma=0)))
parm.names <- as.parm.names(list(beta=matrix(0,M,J), log.nu=rep(0,J),
  log.delta=matrix(0,N,M-1), log.sigma=0))
MyData <- list(J=J, M=M, N=N, X=X, alpha=alpha, mon.names=mon.names,
```

```
parm.names=parm.names, y=y)
```

49.3. Model

```
Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Parameters
  beta <- matrix(parm[grepl("beta", Data$parm.names)], Data$M, Data$J)
  delta <- interval(parm[grepl("log.delta", Data$parm.names)], -10, 10)
  parm[grepl("log.delta", Data$parm.names)] <- delta
  delta <- matrix(c(exp(delta), rep(1, Data$N)), Data$N, Data$M)
  pi <- colSums(delta) / sum(delta)
  nu <- exp(parm[grepl("log.nu", Data$parm.names)])
  sigma <- exp(parm[grepl("log.sigma", Data$parm.names)])
  ### Log(Prior Densities)
  beta.prior <- sum(dnorm(beta, 0, matrix(rep(nu, Data$M), Data$M,
    Data$J, byrow=TRUE), log=TRUE))
  delta.prior <- sum(dtrunc(delta, "norm", a=exp(-10), b=exp(10),
    mean=log(1/Data$M), sd=sqrt(1000), log=TRUE))
  pi.prior <- ddirichlet(pi, Data$alpha, log=TRUE)
  nu.prior <- sum(dhalfcauchy(nu, 25, log=TRUE))
  sigma.prior <- sum(dhalfcauchy(sigma, 25, log=TRUE))
  ### Log-Likelihood
  p <- delta / rowSums(delta)
  mu <- tcrossprod(Data$X, beta)
  p <- max.col(p)
  mu <- diag(mu[,p])
  LL <- sum(dnorm(Data$y, mu, sigma, log=TRUE))
  ### Log-Posterior
  LP <- LL + beta.prior + delta.prior + pi.prior + nu.prior +
    sigma.prior
  Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=c(LP,pi,sigma),
    yhat=mu, parm=parm)
  return(Modelout)
}
```

49.4. Initial Values

```
Initial.Values <- c(runif(M*J), rep(0,J), runif(N*(M-1),-1,1), 0)
```

50. Mixture Model, Infinite

This infinite mixture model (IMM) imposes a multilevel structure on each of the J regression effects in β , so that mixture components share a common residual standard deviation, ν_j . The infinite number of mixture components is truncated to a finite number, and the user specifies

the maximum number to explore, M , where M is discrete, greater than one, and less than the number of records, N . A truncated stick-breaking process within a truncated Dirichlet process defines the nonparametric mixture component selection.

50.1. Form

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{y} &\sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_{1:N,m}, \sigma^2) \\
\mu_{1:N,m} &= \mathbf{X}\beta_{m,1:J}, \quad m = 1, \dots, M \\
\beta_{m,j} &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, \nu_j^2), \quad j = 1, \dots, J \\
\nu_j &\sim \mathcal{HC}(25) \\
\sigma &\sim \mathcal{HC}(25) \\
\theta_i &\sim \mathcal{CAT}(\pi_{i,1:C}) \\
\pi &\sim \text{Stick}(\gamma) \\
\mathbf{p}_{i,m} &= \frac{\delta_{i,m}}{\sum_{m=1}^M \delta_{i,m}} \\
\delta_{i,m} &= \exp(\mathbf{X}\delta_{i,m}), \quad m = 1, \dots, (M-1) \\
\delta_{1:N,M} &= 1 \\
\delta_{i,m} &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000) \in [-10, 10], \quad m = 1, \dots, (M-1) \\
\alpha &\sim \mathcal{HC}(25) \\
\iota &\sim \mathcal{HC}(25) \\
\gamma &\sim \mathcal{G}(\alpha, \iota)
\end{aligned}$$

50.2. Data

```

M <- 3 #Maximum number of mixtures to explore
data(demonsnacks)
N <- nrow(demonsnacks)
y <- log(demonsnacks$Calories)
X <- cbind(1, as.matrix(demonsnacks[,c(7,8,10)]))
J <- ncol(X)
for (j in 2:J) {X[,j] <- CenterScale(X[,j])}
mon.names <- c("LP", as.parm.names(list(pi=rep(0,M), sigma=0)))
parm.names <- as.parm.names(list(beta=matrix(0,M,J), log.nu=rep(0,J),
  log.delta=matrix(0,N,M-1), log.sigma=0, lambda=rep(0,M-1),
  log.alpha=0, log.iota=0, log.gamma=0))
MyData <- list(J=J, M=M, N=N, X=X, mon.names=mon.names,
  parm.names=parm.names, y=y)

```

50.3. Model

```

Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Hyperhyperparameters
  alpha <- exp(parm[grepl("log.alpha", Data$parm.names)])
  iota <- exp(parm[grepl("log.iota", Data$parm.names)])
  ### Hyperparameters
  gamma <- exp(parm[grepl("log.gamma", Data$parm.names)])
  nu <- exp(parm[grepl("log.nu", Data$parm.names)])
  ### Parameters
  beta <- matrix(parm[grepl("beta", Data$parm.names)], Data$M, Data$J)
  delta <- interval(parm[grepl("log.delta", Data$parm.names)], -10, 10)
  parm[grepl("log.delta", Data$parm.names)] <- delta
  delta <- matrix(c(exp(delta), rep(1, Data$N)), Data$N, Data$M)
  lambda <- interval(parm[grepl("lambda", Data$parm.names)], 1e-5, 1-1e-5)
  pi <- as.vector(Stick(lambda))
  sigma <- exp(parm[grepl("log.sigma", Data$parm.names)])
  p <- delta / rowSums(delta)
  theta <- max.col(p)
  ### Log(Hyperhyperprior Densities)
  alpha.prior <- dhalfcauchy(alpha, 25, log=TRUE)
  iota.prior <- dhalfcauchy(iota, 25, log=TRUE)
  ### Log(Hyperprior Densities)
  gamma.prior <- dgamma(gamma, alpha, iota, log=TRUE)
  nu.prior <- sum(dhalfcauchy(nu, 25, log=TRUE))
  ### Log(Prior Densities)
  beta.prior <- sum(dnorm(beta, 0, matrix(rep(nu, Data$M), Data$M,
    Data$J, byrow=TRUE), log=TRUE))
  delta.prior <- sum(dtrunc(delta, "norm", a=exp(-10), b=exp(10),
    mean=log(1/Data$M), sd=sqrt(1000), log=TRUE))
  pi.prior <- dStick(pi, gamma, log=TRUE)
  sigma.prior <- sum(dhalfcauchy(sigma, 25, log=TRUE))
  theta.prior <- sum(dcat(theta, pi, log=TRUE))
  ### Log-Likelihood
  mu <- tcrossprod(Data$X, beta)
  mu <- diag(mu[,theta])
  LL <- sum(dnorm(Data$y, mu, sigma, log=TRUE))
  ### Log-Posterior
  LP <- LL + beta.prior + delta.prior + pi.prior + nu.prior +
    sigma.prior + alpha.prior + iota.prior + gamma.prior
  Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=c(LP,pi,sigma),
    yhat=mu, parm=parm)
  return(Modelout)
}

```


50.4. Initial Values

```
Initial.Values <- c(runif(M*J), rep(0,J), runif(N*(M-1),-1,1), 0,
  rbeta(M-1,1,2), rep(0,3))
```

51. Mixture Model, Poisson-Gamma

51.1. Form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{y} &\sim \mathcal{P}(\lambda) \\ \lambda &\sim \mathcal{G}(\alpha\mu, \alpha) \\ \mu &= \exp(\mathbf{X}\beta) \\ \alpha &\sim \mathcal{HC}(25) \\ \beta_j &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000), \quad j = 1, \dots, J \end{aligned}$$

51.2. Data

```
N <- 20
J <- 3
X <- matrix(runif(N*J,-2,2),N,J); X[,1] <- 1
beta <- runif(J,-2,2)
y <- round(exp(tcrossprod(X, t(beta))))
mon.names <- "LP"
parm.names <- as.parm.names(list(log.alpha=0, beta=rep(0,J),
  log.lambda=rep(0,N)))
MyData <- list(J=J, X=X, mon.names=mon.names, parm.names=parm.names, y=y)
```

51.3. Model

```
Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Hyperparameters
  alpha <- exp(parm[grep("log.alpha", Data$parm.names)])
  ### Parameters
  beta <- parm[grep("beta", Data$parm.names)]
  lambda <- exp(parm[grep("log.lambda", Data$parm.names)])
  mu <- exp(tcrossprod(Data$X, t(beta)))
  ### Log(Hyperprior Densities)
  alpha.prior <- dhalfcauchy(alpha, 25, log=TRUE)
  ### Log(Prior Densities)
  beta.prior <- sum(dnormv(beta, 0, 1000, log=TRUE))
  lambda.prior <- sum(dgamma(lambda, alpha*mu, alpha, log=TRUE))
}
```

```

### Log-Likelihood
LL <- sum(dpois(Data$y, lambda, log=TRUE))
### Log-Posterior
LP <- LL + alpha.prior + beta.prior + lambda.prior
Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=LP, yhat=lambda, parm=parm)
return(Modelout)
}

```

51.4. Initial Values

```
Initial.Values <- c(0, rep(0,J), rep(0,N))
```

52. Multinomial Logit

52.1. Form

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{y}_i &\sim \mathcal{CAT}(\mathbf{p}_{i,1:J}), \quad i = 1, \dots, N \\
 \mathbf{p}_{i,j} &= \frac{\phi_{i,j}}{\sum_{j=1}^J \phi_{i,j}}, \quad \sum_{j=1}^J \mathbf{p}_{i,j} = 1 \\
 \phi &= \exp(\mu) \\
 \mu_{i,J} &= 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, N \\
 \mu_{i,j} &= \mathbf{X}_{i,1:K} \beta_{j,1:K} \in [-700, 700], \quad j = 1, \dots, (J-1) \\
 \beta_{j,k} &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000), \quad j = 1, \dots, (J-1), \quad k = 1, \dots, K
 \end{aligned}$$

52.2. Data

```

y <- x01 <- x02 <- c(1:300)
y[1:100] <- 1
y[101:200] <- 2
y[201:300] <- 3
x01[1:100] <- rnorm(100, 25, 2.5)
x01[101:200] <- rnorm(100, 40, 4.0)
x01[201:300] <- rnorm(100, 35, 3.5)
x02[1:100] <- rnorm(100, 2.51, 0.25)
x02[101:200] <- rnorm(100, 2.01, 0.20)
x02[201:300] <- rnorm(100, 2.70, 0.27)
N <- length(y)
J <- 3 #Number of categories in y
K <- 3 #Number of predictors (including the intercept)
X <- matrix(c(rep(1,N),x01,x02),N,K)
mon.names <- "LP"

```

```

parm.names <- as.parm.names(list(beta=matrix(0,J-1,K)))
MyData <- list(J=J, K=K, N=N, X=X, mon.names=mon.names,
  parm.names=parm.names, y=y)

```

52.3. Model

```

Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Parameters
  beta <- matrix(parm, Data$J-1, Data$K)
  ### Log(Prior Densities)
  beta.prior <- sum(dnormv(beta, 0, 1000, log=TRUE))
  ### Log-Likelihood
  mu <- matrix(0,Data$N,Data$J)
  mu[,-Data$J] <- tcrossprod(Data$X, beta)
  mu <- interval(mu, -700, 700)
  phi <- exp(mu)
  p <- phi / rowSums(phi)
  LL <- sum(dcat(Data$y, p, log=TRUE))
  yrep <- max.col(p)
  ### Log-Posterior
  LP <- LL + beta.prior
  Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=LP, yhat=yrep, parm=parm)
  return(Modelout)
}

```

52.4. Initial Values

```
Initial.Values <- c(rep(0,(J-1)*K))
```

53. Multinomial Logit, Nested

53.1. Form

$$y_i \sim \mathcal{CAT}(\mathbf{P}_{i,1:J}), \quad i = 1, \dots, N$$

$$\mathbf{P}_{1:N,1} = \frac{\mathbf{R}}{\mathbf{R} + \exp(\alpha \mathbf{I})}$$

$$\mathbf{P}_{1:N,2} = \frac{(1 - \mathbf{P}_{1:N,1})\mathbf{S}_{1:N,1}}{\mathbf{V}}$$

$$\mathbf{P}_{1:N,3} = \frac{(1 - \mathbf{P}_{1:N,1})\mathbf{S}_{1:N,2}}{\mathbf{V}}$$

$$\mathbf{R}_{1:N} = \exp(\mu_{1:N,1})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{S}_{1:N,1:2} &= \exp(\mu_{1:N,2:3}) \\
\mathbf{I} &= \log(\mathbf{V}) \\
\mathbf{V}_i &= \sum_{k=1}^K \mathbf{S}_{i,k}, \quad i = 1, \dots, N \\
\mu_{1:N,1} &= \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\iota} \in [-700, 700] \\
\mu_{1:N,2} &= \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}_{2,1:K} \in [-700, 700] \\
\boldsymbol{\iota} &= \alpha\boldsymbol{\beta}_{1,1:K} \\
\alpha &\sim \mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}\mathcal{P}(1) \in [0, 2] \\
\beta_{j,k} &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000), \quad j = 1, \dots, (J-1) \quad k = 1, \dots, K
\end{aligned}$$

where there are $J = 3$ categories of \mathbf{y} , $K = 3$ predictors, \mathbf{R} is the non-nested alternative, \mathbf{S} is the nested alternative, \mathbf{V} is the observed utility in the nest, α is effectively 1 - correlation and has a truncated exponential distribution, and $\boldsymbol{\iota}$ is a vector of regression effects for the isolated alternative after α is taken into account. The third alternative is the reference category.

53.2. Data

```

y <- x01 <- x02 <- c(1:300)
y[1:100] <- 1
y[101:200] <- 2
y[201:300] <- 3
x01[1:100] <- rnorm(100, 25, 2.5)
x01[101:200] <- rnorm(100, 40, 4.0)
x01[201:300] <- rnorm(100, 35, 3.5)
x02[1:100] <- rnorm(100, 2.51, 0.25)
x02[101:200] <- rnorm(100, 2.01, 0.20)
x02[201:300] <- rnorm(100, 2.70, 0.27)
N <- length(y)
J <- 3 #Number of categories in y
K <- 3 #Number of predictors (including the intercept)
X <- matrix(c(rep(1,N),x01,x02),N,K)
mon.names <- c("LP", as.parm.names(list(iota=rep(0,K))))
parm.names <- as.parm.names(list(alpha=0, beta=matrix(0,J-1,K)))
MyData <- list(J=J, K=K, N=N, X=X, mon.names=mon.names,
               parm.names=parm.names, y=y)

```

53.3. Model

```

Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Hyperparameters
  alpha.rate <- 1
  ### Parameters
  alpha <- interval(parm[1],0,2); parm[1] <- alpha

```

```

beta <- matrix(parm[grepl("beta", Data$parm.names)], Data$J-1, Data$K)
### Log(Prior Densities)
alpha.prior <- dtrunc(alpha, "exp", a=0, b=2, rate=alpha.rate,
  log=TRUE)
beta.prior <- sum(dnormv(beta, 0, 1000, log=TRUE))
### Log-Likelihood
mu <- P <- matrix(0, Data$N, Data$J)
iota <- alpha * beta[1,]
mu[,1] <- tcrossprod(Data$X, t(iota))
mu[,2] <- tcrossprod(Data$X, t(beta[2,]))
mu <- interval(mu, -700, 700)
R <- exp(mu[,1])
S <- exp(mu[,2])
V <- rowSums(S)
I <- log(V)
P[,1] <- R / (R + exp(alpha*I))
P[,2] <- (1 - P[,1]) * S[,1] / V
P[,3] <- (1 - P[,1]) * S[,2] / V
LL <- sum(dcat(Data$y, P, log=TRUE))
yrep <- max.col(P)
### Log-Posterior
LP <- LL + alpha.prior + beta.prior
Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=c(LP,iota), yhat=yrep,
  parm=parm)
return(Modelout)
}

```

53.4. Initial Values

```
Initial.Values <- c(0.5, rep(0.1, (J-1)*K))
```

54. Multinomial Probit

In this form of MNP, the β parameters are sum-to-zero constraints in the reference category, and covariance matrix Σ includes all J categories of \mathbf{y} .

54.1. Form

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{Z}_{i,1:J} &\sim \mathcal{N}_J(\mu_{i,1:J}, \Sigma), \quad i = 1, \dots, N \\
 \mathbf{Z}_{i,j} &\in \begin{cases} [0,10] & \text{if } \mathbf{y}_i = j \\ [-10,0] & \end{cases} \\
 \mu_{1:N,j} &= \mathbf{X}\beta_{j,1:K} \\
 \Sigma &\sim \mathcal{IW}_{J+1}(\mathbf{S}^{-1}), \quad \mathbf{S} = \mathbf{I}_J, \quad \Sigma[1,1] = 1
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\beta_{j,k} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000), \quad j = 1, \dots, (J-1), \quad k = 1, \dots, K$$

$$\beta_{J,k} = - \sum_{j=1}^{J-1} \beta_{j,k}$$

$$\mathbf{Z}_{i,j} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000) \in [-10, 10]$$

54.2. Data

```

y <- x1 <- x2 <- c(1:30)
y[1:10] <- 1
y[11:20] <- 2
y[21:30] <- 3
x1[1:10] <- rnorm(10, 25, 2.5)
x1[11:20] <- rnorm(10, 40, 4.0)
x1[21:30] <- rnorm(10, 35, 3.5)
x2[1:10] <- rnorm(10, 2.51, 0.25)
x2[11:20] <- rnorm(10, 2.01, 0.20)
x2[21:30] <- rnorm(10, 2.70, 0.27)
N <- length(y)
J <- 3 #Number of categories in y
K <- 3 #Number of columns to be in design matrix X
S <- diag(J)
X <- matrix(c(rep(1,N),x1,x2),N,K)
mon.names <- "LP"
sigma.temp <- as.parm.names(list(Sigma=diag(J)), uppertri=1)
parm.names <- c(sigma.temp[2:length(sigma.temp)],
  as.parm.names(list(beta=matrix(0,(J-1),K), Z=matrix(0,N,J))))
MyData <- list(J=J, K=K, N=N, S=S, X=X, mon.names=mon.names,
  parm.names=parm.names, y=y)

```

54.3. Model

```

Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Parameters
  beta <- matrix(parm[grepl("beta", Data$parm.names)], Data$J-1, Data$K)
  beta <- rbind(beta, colSums(beta)*-1) #Sum to zero constraint
  Sigma <- as.parm.matrix(Sigma, Data$J, parm, Data, restrict=TRUE)
  parm[grepl("Sigma", Data$parm.names)] <- upper.triangle(Sigma,
    diag=TRUE)[-1]
  Z <- matrix(parm[grepl("Z", Data$parm.names)], Data$N, Data$J)
  ### Log(Prior Densities)
  beta.prior <- sum(dnormv(beta, 0, 1000, log=TRUE))
  Sigma.prior <- dinvwishart(Sigma, Data$J+1, Data$S, log=TRUE)
  Z.prior <- sum(dnormv(Z, 0, 1000, log=TRUE))
}

```

```

### Log-Likelihood
mu <- tcrossprod(Data$X, beta)
Y <- as.indicator.matrix(Data$y)
Z <- ifelse(Z > 10, 10, Z); Z <- ifelse({Y == 0} & {Z > 0}, 0, Z)
Z <- ifelse(Z < -10, -10, Z); Z <- ifelse({Y == 1} & {Z < 0}, 0, Z)
parm[grep("Z", Data$parm.names)] <- as.vector(Z)
LL <- sum(dmvn(Z, mu, Sigma, log=TRUE))
yrep <- max.col(Z)
#eta <- exp(mu)
#p <- eta / rowSums(eta)
### Log-Posterior
LP <- LL + beta.prior + Sigma.prior + Z.prior
Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=LP, yhat=yrep, parm=parm)
return(Modelout)
}

```

54.4. Initial Values

```

Initial.Values <- c(rep(0, length(upper.triangle(S, diag=TRUE)) - 1),
  rep(0, (J-1)*K), rep(0, N*K))

```

55. Multivariate Binary Probit

55.1. Form

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{Z}_{i,1:J} &\sim \mathcal{N}_J(\mu_{i,1:J}, \Sigma), \quad i = 1, \dots, N \\
\mathbf{Z}_{i,j} &\in \begin{cases} [0, 10] & \text{if } \mathbf{y}_i = j \\ [-10, 0] & \end{cases} \\
\mu_{1:N,j} &= \mathbf{X} \beta_{j,1:K} \\
\Sigma &\sim \mathcal{IW}_{J+1}(\mathbf{S}^{-1}), \quad \mathbf{S} = \mathbf{I}_J, \quad \Sigma[1, 1] = 1 \\
\beta_{j,k} &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000), \quad j = 1, \dots, (J-1), \quad k = 1, \dots, K \\
\beta_{J,k} &= - \sum_{j=1}^{J-1} \beta_{j,k} \\
\mathbf{Z}_{i,j} &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000) \in [-10, 10]
\end{aligned}$$

55.2. Data

```

N <- 30
J <- 3 #Number of binary dependent variables
K <- 3 #Number of columns to be in design matrix X

```

```

Y <- matrix(round(runif(N*J)),N,J)
X <- matrix(1,N, K)
for (k in 2:K) {X[,k] <- rnorm(N, runif(1,-3,3), runif(1,0.1,3))}
S <- diag(J)
mon.names <- "LP"
sigma.temp <- as.parm.names(list(Sigma=diag(J)), uppertri=1)
parm.names <- c(sigma.temp[2:length(sigma.temp)],
  as.parm.names(list(beta=matrix(0,(J-1),K), Z=matrix(0,N,J))))
MyData <- list(J=J, K=K, N=N, S=S, X=X, Y=Y, mon.names=mon.names,
  parm.names=parm.names)

```

55.3. Model

```

Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Parameters
  beta <- matrix(parm[grepl("beta", Data$parm.names)], Data$J-1, Data$K)
  beta <- rbind(beta, colSums(beta)*-1) #Sum to zero constraint
  Sigma <- as.parm.matrix(Sigma, Data$J, parm, Data, restrict=TRUE)
  parm[grepl("Sigma", Data$parm.names)] <- upper.triangle(Sigma,
    diag=TRUE)[-1]
  Z <- matrix(parm[grepl("Z", Data$parm.names)], Data$N, Data$J)
  ### Log(Prior Densities)
  beta.prior <- sum(dnormv(beta, 0, 1000, log=TRUE))
  Sigma.prior <- dinvwishart(Sigma, Data$J+1, Data$S, log=TRUE)
  Z.prior <- sum(dnormv(Z, 0, 1000, log=TRUE))
  ### Log-Likelihood
  mu <- tcrossprod(Data$X, beta)
  Z <- ifelse(Z > 10, 10, Z)
  Z <- ifelse({Data$Y == 0} & {Z > 0}, 0, Z)
  Z <- ifelse(Z < -10, -10, Z)
  Z <- ifelse({Data$Y == 1} & {Z < 0}, 0, Z)
  parm[grepl("Z", Data$parm.names)] <- as.vector(Z)
  LL <- sum(dmvn(Z, mu, Sigma, log=TRUE))
  yrep <- ifelse(Z >= 0, 1, 0)
  ### Log-Posterior
  LP <- LL + beta.prior + Sigma.prior + Z.prior
  Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=LP, yhat=yrep, parm=parm)
  return(Modelout)
}

```

55.4. Initial Values

```

Initial.Values <- c(rep(0, length(upper.triangle(S, diag=TRUE)) - 1),
  rep(0,(J-1)*K), rep(0,N*J))

```


56. Multivariate Laplace Regression

56.1. Form

$$\mathbf{Y}_{i,k} \sim \mathcal{L}_K(\mu_{i,k}, \Sigma), \quad i = 1, \dots, N; \quad k = 1, \dots, K$$

$$\mu_{i,k} = \mathbf{X}_{1:N,k} \beta_{k,1:J}$$

$$\Sigma = \Omega^{-1}$$

$$\Omega \sim \mathcal{W}_{K+1}(\mathbf{S}), \quad \mathbf{S} = \mathbf{I}_K$$

$$\beta_{k,j} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000), \quad j = 1, \dots, J$$

56.2. Data

```

N <- 100
J <- 6 #Number of columns in design matrix
K <- 3 #Number of DVs
X <- matrix(runif(N*J), N, J); X[,1] <- 1
Y <- mu <- tcrossprod(X, matrix(rnorm(J*K), K, J))
Sigma <- matrix(runif(K*K), K, K); diag(Sigma) <- runif(K, 1, K)
Sigma <- as.symmetric.matrix(Sigma)
for (i in 1:N) {Y[i,] <- colMeans(rmvn(1000, mu[i,], Sigma))}
S <- diag(K)
mon.names <- "LP"
parm.names <- as.parm.names(list(beta=matrix(0, K, J), Omega=diag(K)),
  uppertri=c(0,1))
MyData <- list(J=J, K=K, N=N, S=S, X=X, Y=Y, mon.names=mon.names,
  parm.names=parm.names)

```

56.3. Model

```

Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Parameters
  beta <- matrix(parm[grep("beta", Data$parm.names)], Data$K, Data$J)
  Omega <- as.parm.matrix(Omega, Data$K, parm, Data)
  parm[grep("Omega", Data$parm.names)] <- upper.triangle(Omega,
    diag=TRUE)
  Sigma <- as.inverse(Omega)
  ### Log(Prior Densities)
  beta.prior <- sum(dnormv(beta, 0, 1000, log=TRUE))
  Omega.prior <- dwishart(Omega, Data$K+1, Data$S, log=TRUE)
  ### Log-Likelihood
  mu <- tcrossprod(Data$X, beta)
  LL <- sum(dmv1(Data$Y, mu, Sigma, log=TRUE))
}

```

```

### Log-Posterior
LP <- LL + beta.prior + Omega.prior
Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=LP, yhat=mu, parm=parm)
return(Modelout)
}

```

56.4. Initial Values

```
Initial.Values <- c(rep(0,J*K), upper.triangle(S, diag=TRUE))
```

57. Multivariate Regression

57.1. Form

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{Y}_{i,k} &\sim \mathcal{N}_K(\mu_{i,k}, \Omega^{-1}), \quad i = 1, \dots, N; \quad k = 1, \dots, K \\
 \mu_{i,k} &= \mathbf{X}_{1:N,k} \beta_{k,1:J} \\
 \Omega &\sim \mathcal{W}_{K+1}(\mathbf{S}), \quad \mathbf{S} = \mathbf{I}_K \\
 \beta_{k,j} &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000), \quad j = 1, \dots, J
 \end{aligned}$$

57.2. Data

```

N <- 100
J <- 6 #Number of columns in design matrix
K <- 3 #Number of DVs
X <- matrix(runif(N*J),N,J); X[,1] <- 1
Y <- mu <- tcrossprod(X, matrix(rnorm(J*K),K,J))
Sigma <- matrix(runif(K*K),K,K); diag(Sigma) <- runif(K,1,K)
Sigma <- as.symmetric.matrix(Sigma)
for (i in 1:N) {Y[i,] <- colMeans(rmvn(1000, mu[i,], Sigma))}
S <- diag(K)
mon.names <- "LP"
parm.names <- as.parm.names(list(beta=matrix(0,K,J), Omega=diag(K)),
  uppertri=c(0,1))
MyData <- list(J=J, K=K, N=N, S=S, X=X, Y=Y, mon.names=mon.names,
  parm.names=parm.names)

```

57.3. Model

```

Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Parameters

```

```

beta <- matrix(parm[grep("beta", Data$parm.names)], Data$K, Data$J)
Omega <- as.parm.matrix(Omega, Data$K, parm, Data)
parm[grep("Omega", Data$parm.names)] <- upper.triangle(Omega,
  diag=TRUE)
### Log(Prior Densities)
beta.prior <- sum(dnormv(beta, 0, 1000, log=TRUE))
Omega.prior <- dwishart(Omega, Data$K+1, Data$S, log=TRUE)
### Log-Likelihood
mu <- tcrossprod(Data$X, beta)
LL <- sum(dmvnp(Data$Y, mu, Omega, log=TRUE))
### Log-Posterior
LP <- LL + beta.prior + Omega.prior
Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=LP, yhat=mu, parm=parm)
return(Modelout)
}

```

57.4. Initial Values

```
Initial.Values <- c(rep(0,J*K), upper.triangle(S, diag=TRUE))
```

58. Negative Binomial Regression

This example was contributed by Jim Robison-Cox.

58.1. Form

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{y} &\sim \mathcal{NB}(\mu, \kappa) \\
 p &= \frac{\kappa}{\kappa + \mu} \\
 \mu &= \exp(\mathbf{X}\beta) \\
 \beta_j &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000), \quad j = 1, \dots, J \\
 \kappa &\sim \mathcal{HC}(25) \in (0, \infty]
 \end{aligned}$$

58.2. Data

```

N <- 100
J <- 5 #Number of predictors, including the intercept
kappa.orig <- 2
beta.orig <- runif(J,-2,2)
X <- matrix(runif(J*N,-2, 2), N, J); X[,1] <- 1
mu <- exp(tcrossprod(X, t(beta.orig)) + rnorm(N))
p <- kappa.orig / (kappa.orig + mu)
y <- rnbinom(N, size=kappa.orig, mu=mu)

```

```

mon.names <- "LP"
parm.names <- as.parm.names(list(beta=rep(0,J), kappa=0))
MyData <- list(J=J, X=X, mon.names=mon.names, parm.names=parm.names, y=y)

```

58.3. Model

```

Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Parameters
  beta <- parm[1:Data$J]
  parm[Data$J + 1] <- kappa <- interval(parm[Data$J + 1],
    .Machine$double.xmin, Inf)
  mu <- exp(tcrossprod(Data$X, t(beta)))
  #p <- kappa / (kappa + mu)
  ### Log(Prior Densities)
  beta.prior <- sum(dnormv(beta, 0, 1000, log=TRUE))
  kappa.prior <- dhalfcauchy(kappa, 25, log=TRUE)
  ### Log-Likelihood
  LL <- sum(dnbinom(Data$y, mu=mu, size=kappa, log=TRUE))
  ### Log-Posterior
  LP <- LL + beta.prior + kappa.prior
  Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=LP, yhat=mu, parm=parm)
  return(Modelout)
}

```

58.4. Initial Values

```
Initial.Values <- c(rep(0,J), 1)
```

59. Normal, Multilevel

This is Gelman's school example (Gelman, Carlin, Stern, and Rubin 2004). Note that **LaplacesDemon** is slower to converge than WinBUGS through the **R2WinBUGS** package (Gelman 2011), an R package on CRAN. This example is very sensitive to the prior distributions. The recommended, default, half-Cauchy priors with scale 25 on scale parameters overwhelms the likelihood, so uniform priors are used.

59.1. Form

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{y}_j &\sim \mathcal{N}(\theta_j, \sigma_j^2), \quad j = 1, \dots, J \\
 \theta_j &\sim \mathcal{N}(\theta_\mu, \theta_\sigma^2) \\
 \theta_\mu &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000000) \\
 \theta_{\sigma[j]} &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\sigma \sim \mathcal{U}(0, 1000)$$

59.2. Data

```
J <- 8
y <- c(28.4, 7.9, -2.8, 6.8, -0.6, 0.6, 18.0, 12.2)
sd <- c(14.9, 10.2, 16.3, 11.0, 9.4, 11.4, 10.4, 17.6)
mon.names <- "LP"
parm.names <- as.parm.names(list(theta=rep(0,J), theta.mu=0,
  theta.sigma=0))
MyData <- list(J=J, mon.names=mon.names, parm.names=parm.names, sd=sd, y=y)
```

59.3. Model

```
Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Hyperparameters
  theta.mu <- parm[Data$J+1]
  theta.sigma <- interval(parm[Data$J+2], .Machine$double.eps, Inf)
  parm[Data$J+2] <- theta.sigma
  ### Parameters
  theta <- parm[1:Data$J]
  ### Log(Hyperprior Densities)
  theta.mu.prior <- dnormp(theta.mu, 0, 1.0E-6, log=TRUE)
  theta.sigma.prior <- dunif(theta.sigma, 0, 1000, log=TRUE)
  ### Log(Prior Densities)
  theta.prior <- sum(dnorm(theta, theta.mu, theta.sigma, log=TRUE))
  sigma.prior <- sum(dunif(Data$sd, 0, 1000, log=TRUE))
  ### Log-Likelihood
  LL <- sum(dnorm(Data$y, theta, Data$sd, log=TRUE))
  ### Log-Posterior
  LP <- LL + theta.prior + theta.mu.prior + theta.sigma.prior +
    sigma.prior
  Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=LP, yhat=theta, parm=parm)
  return(Modelout)
}
```

59.4. Initial Values

```
Initial.Values <- c(rep(mean(y),J), mean(y), 1)
```

60. Ordinal Logit

60.1. Form

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{y}_i &\sim \mathcal{CAT}(P_{i,1:J}) \\
 P_{,J} &= 1 - Q_{,(J-1)} \\
 P_{,j} &= Q_{,j} - Q_{,(j-1)}, \quad j = 2, \dots, (J-1) \\
 P_{,1} &= Q_{,1} \\
 Q &= \frac{1}{1 + \exp(\mu)} \\
 \mu_{,j} &= \delta_j + \mathbf{X}\beta, \quad \in [-5, 5] \\
 \beta_k &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000), \quad k = 1, \dots, K \\
 \delta_j &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000) \in [(j-1), j], \quad j = 1, \dots, (J-1)
 \end{aligned}$$

60.2. Data

```

data(demonsnacks)
N <- nrow(demonsnacks)
J <- 3 #Number of categories in y
K <- ncol(demonsnacks) #Number of columns in design matrix X
y <- log(demonsnacks$Calories)
y <- ifelse(y < 4.5669, 1, ifelse(y > 5.5268, 3, 2)) #Discretize
X <- cbind(1, as.matrix(demonsnacks[,c(1,3:10)]))
for (k in 2:K) {X[,k] <- CenterScale(X[,k])}
mon.names <- "LP"
parm.names <- as.parm.names(list(beta=rep(0,K), delta=rep(0,J-1)))
MyData <- list(J=J, K=K, N=N, X=X, mon.names=mon.names,
parm.names=parm.names, y=y)

```

60.3. Model

```

Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Parameters
  beta <- parm[1:Data$K]
  delta <- parm[-(1:Data$K)]
  delta[-1] <- ifelse(delta[-1] < delta[-length(delta)],
    delta[-length(delta)], delta[-1])
  parm[-(1:Data$K)] <- delta
  ### Log(Prior Densities)
  beta.prior <- sum(dnormv(beta, 0, 1000, log=TRUE))
  delta.prior <- sum(dnormv(delta, 0, 1000, log=TRUE))
  ### Log-Likelihood
  mu <- matrix(delta, Data$N, Data$J-1, byrow=TRUE) +

```

```

      matrix(tcrossprod(Data$X, t(beta)), Data$N, Data$J-1)
mu <- interval(mu, -5, 5)
P <- Q <- invlogit(mu)
P[, -1] <- Q[, -1] - Q[, -(Data$J-1)]
P <- cbind(P, 1 - Q[, (Data$J-1)])
LL <- sum(dcat(Data$y, P, log=TRUE))
yrep <- max.col(P)
### Log-Posterior
LP <- LL + beta.prior + delta.prior
Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=LP, yhat=yrep, parm=parm)
return(Modelout)
}

```

60.4. Initial Values

```
Initial.Values <- c(rep(0,K), seq(from=-1, to=1, len=(J-1)))
```

61. Ordinal Probit

61.1. Form

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{y}_i &\sim \mathcal{CAT}(P_{i,1:J}) \\
 P_{i,J} &= 1 - Q_{i,(J-1)} \\
 P_{i,j} &= Q_{i,j} - Q_{i,(j-1)}, \quad j = 2, \dots, (J-1) \\
 P_{i,1} &= Q_{i,1} \\
 Q &= \phi(\mu) \\
 \mu_{i,j} &= \delta_j + \mathbf{X}_i \beta, \quad \in [-5, 5] \\
 \beta_k &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000), \quad k = 1, \dots, K \\
 \delta_j &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000) \in [(j-1), j], \quad j = 1, \dots, (J-1)
 \end{aligned}$$

61.2. Data

```

data(demonsnacks)
N <- nrow(demonsnacks)
J <- 3 #Number of categories in y
K <- ncol(demonsnacks) #Number of columns in design matrix X
y <- log(demonsnacks$Calories)
y <- ifelse(y < 4.5669, 1, ifelse(y > 5.5268, 3, 2)) #Discretize
X <- cbind(1, as.matrix(demonsnacks[,c(1,3:10)]))
for (k in 2:K) {X[,k] <- CenterScale(X[,k])}

```

```

mon.names <- "LP"
parm.names <- as.parm.names(list(beta=rep(0,K), delta=rep(0,J-1)))
MyData <- list(J=J, K=K, N=N, X=X, mon.names=mon.names,
parm.names=parm.names, y=y)

```

61.3. Model

```

Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Parameters
  beta <- parm[1:Data$K]
  delta <- parm[-(1:Data$K)]
  delta[-1] <- ifelse(delta[-1] < delta[-length(delta)],
    delta[-length(delta)], delta[-1])
  parm[-(1:Data$K)] <- delta
  ### Log(Prior Densities)
  beta.prior <- sum(dnormv(beta, 0, 1000, log=TRUE))
  delta.prior <- sum(dnormv(delta, 0, 1000, log=TRUE))
  ### Log-Likelihood
  mu <- matrix(delta, Data$N, Data$J-1, byrow=TRUE) +
    matrix(tcrossprod(Data$X, t(beta)), Data$N, Data$J-1)
  mu <- interval(mu, -5, 5)
  P <- Q <- pnorm(mu)
  P[, -1] <- Q[, -1] - Q[, -(Data$J-1)]
  P <- cbind(P, 1 - Q[, (Data$J-1)])
  LL <- sum(dcat(Data$y, P, log=TRUE))
  yrep <- max.col(P)
  ### Log-Posterior
  LP <- LL + beta.prior + delta.prior
  Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=LP, yhat=yrep, parm=parm)
  return(Modelout)
}

```

61.4. Initial Values

```

Initial.Values <- c(rep(0,K), seq(from=-1, to=1, len=(J-1)))

```

62. Panel, Autoregressive Poisson

62.1. Form

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{Y} &\sim \mathcal{P}(\Lambda) \\
 \Lambda_{1:N,1} &= \exp(\alpha + \beta \mathbf{x})
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Lambda_{1:N,t} = \exp(\alpha + \beta \mathbf{x} + \rho \log(\mathbf{Y}_{1:N,t-1})), \quad t = 2, \dots, T$$

$$\alpha_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\alpha_\mu, \alpha_\sigma^2), \quad i = 1, \dots, N$$

$$\alpha_\mu \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000)$$

$$\alpha_\sigma \sim \mathcal{HC}(25)$$

$$\beta \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000)$$

$$\rho \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000)$$

62.2. Data

```

N <- 10
T <- 10
alpha <- rnorm(N,2,0.5)
rho <- 0.5
beta <- 0.5
x <- runif(N,0,1)
Y <- matrix(NA,N,T)
Y[,1] <- exp(alpha + beta*x)
for (t in 2:T) {Y[,t] <- exp(alpha + beta*x + rho*log(Y[,t-1]))}
Y <- round(Y)
mon.names <- c("LP","alpha.sigma")
parm.names <- as.parm.names(list(alpha=rep(0,N), alpha.mu=0,
  log.alpha.sigma=0, beta=0, rho=0))
MyData <- list(N=N, T=T, Y=Y, mon.names=mon.names, parm.names=parm.names,
  x=x)

```

62.3. Model

```

Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Hyperparameters
  alpha.mu <- parm[Data$N+1]
  alpha.sigma <- exp(parm[Data$N+2])
  ### Parameters
  alpha <- parm[1:Data$N]
  beta <- parm[grep("beta", Data$parm.names)]
  rho <- parm[grep("rho", Data$parm.names)]
  ### Log(Hyperprior Densities)
  alpha.mu.prior <- dnorm(alpha.mu, 0, 1000, log=TRUE)
  alpha.sigma.prior <- dhalfcauchy(alpha.sigma, 25, log=TRUE)
  ### Log(Prior Densities)
  alpha.prior <- sum(dnorm(alpha, alpha.mu, alpha.sigma, log=TRUE))
  beta.prior <- dnorm(beta, 0, 1000, log=TRUE)
  rho.prior <- dnorm(rho, 0, 1000, log=TRUE)
}

```

```

### Log-Likelihood
Lambda <- Data$Y
Lambda[,1] <- exp(alpha + beta*x)
Lambda[,2:Data$T] <- exp(alpha + beta*Data$x +
  rho*log(Data$Y[,1:(Data$T-1)]))
LL <- sum(dpois(Data$Y, Lambda, log=TRUE))
### Log-Posterior
LP <- LL + alpha.prior + alpha.mu.prior + alpha.sigma.prior +
  beta.prior + rho.prior
Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=c(LP,alpha.sigma),
  yhat=Lambda, parm=parm)
return(Modelout)
}

```

62.4. Initial Values

```
Initial.Values <- c(rep(0,N), 0, log(1), 0, 0)
```

63. Penalized Spline Regression

This example is adapted from [Crainiceanu, Ruppert, and Wand \(2005\)](#). The user specifies the degree D of polynomials and the number K of knots. Regression effects β regard the polynomial in design matrix \mathbf{X} , and γ regard the splines in design matrix \mathbf{S} .

63.1. Form

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{y} &\sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma_1^2) \\
 \mu &= \mathbf{X}\beta + \mathbf{S}\gamma \\
 \mathbf{S}_{i,k} &= \begin{cases} (\mathbf{x}_i - k)^D & \text{if } \mathbf{S}_{i,k} > 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\
 \mathbf{X}_{i,d} &= \mathbf{x}_i^{d-1}, \quad d = 2, \dots, (D+1) \\
 \mathbf{X}_{i,1} &= 1 \\
 \beta_d &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000), \quad d = 1, \dots, (D+1) \\
 \gamma_k &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_2^2), \quad k = 1, \dots, K \\
 \sigma_j &\sim \mathcal{HC}(25), \quad j = 1, \dots, 2
 \end{aligned}$$

63.2. Data

```

N <- 100
x <- 1:N
y <- sin(2*pi*x/N) + runif(N,-1,1)

```

```

K <- 10 #Number of knots
D <- 2 #Degree of polynomial
x <- CenterScale(x)
k <- as.vector(quantile(x, probs=(1:K / (K+1))))
X <- cbind(rep(1,N), matrix(x, N, D)^matrix(1:D, N, D, byrow=TRUE))
S <- matrix(x, N, K) - matrix(k, N, K, byrow=TRUE)
S <- ifelse(S > 0, S, 0); S <- S^D
mon.names <- "LP"
parm.names <- as.parm.names(list(beta=rep(0,D+1), gamma=rep(0,K),
  log.sigma=rep(0,2)))
MyData <- list(N=N, S=S, X=X, mon.names=mon.names, parm.names=parm.names,
  y=y)

```

63.3. Model

```

Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Parameters
  beta <- parm[grepl("beta", Data$parm.names)]
  gamma <- parm[grepl("gamma", Data$parm.names)]
  sigma <- exp(parm[grepl("log.sigma", Data$parm.names)])
  ### Log(Prior Densities)
  beta.prior <- sum(dnormv(beta, 0, 1000, log=TRUE))
  gamma.prior <- sum(dnorm(gamma, 0, sigma[2], log=TRUE))
  sigma.prior <- sum(dhalfcauchy(sigma, 25, log=TRUE))
  ### Log-Likelihood
  mu <- tcrossprod(Data$X, t(beta)) + tcrossprod(Data$S, t(gamma))
  LL <- sum(dnorm(Data$y, mu, sigma[1], log=TRUE))
  ### Log-Posterior
  LP <- LL + beta.prior + gamma.prior + sigma.prior
  Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=LP, yhat=mu, parm=parm)
  return(Modelout)
}

```

63.4. Initial Values

```
Initial.Values <- c(rep(0,D+1), rep(0,K), c(1,1))
```

64. Poisson Regression

64.1. Form

$$\mathbf{y} \sim \mathcal{P}(\lambda)$$

$$\lambda = \exp(\mathbf{X}\beta)$$

$$\beta_j \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000), \quad j = 1, \dots, J$$

64.2. Data

```

N <- 10000
J <- 5
X <- matrix(runif(N*J,-2,2),N,J); X[,1] <- 1
beta <- runif(J,-2,2)
y <- round(exp(tcrossprod(X, t(beta))))
mon.names <- "LP"
parm.names <- as.parm.names(list(beta=rep(0,J)))
MyData <- list(J=J, X=X, mon.names=mon.names, parm.names=parm.names, y=y)

```

64.3. Model

```

Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Parameters
  beta <- parm[1:Data$J]
  ### Log(Prior Densities)
  beta.prior <- sum(dnormv(beta, 0, 1000, log=TRUE))
  ### Log-Likelihood
  lambda <- exp(tcrossprod(Data$X, t(beta)))
  LL <- sum(dpois(Data$y, lambda, log=TRUE))
  ### Log-Posterior
  LP <- LL + beta.prior
  Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=LP,
    yhat=lambda, parm=parm)
  return(Modelout)
}

```

64.4. Initial Values

```
Initial.Values <- rep(0,J)
```

65. Polynomial Regression

In this univariate example, the degree of the polynomial is specified as D . For a more robust extension to estimating nonlinear relationships between \mathbf{y} and \mathbf{x} , see penalized spline regression in section [63](#).

65.1. Form

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{y} &\sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2) \\
 \mu &= \mathbf{X}\beta \\
 \mathbf{X}_{i,d} &= \mathbf{x}_i^{d-1}, \quad d = 1, \dots, (D+1) \\
 \mathbf{X}_{i,1} &= 1 \\
 \beta_d &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000), \quad d = 1, \dots, (D+1) \\
 \sigma &\sim \mathcal{HC}(25)
 \end{aligned}$$

65.2. Data

```

data(demonsnacks)
N <- nrow(demonsnacks)
D <- 2 #Degree of polynomial
y <- log(demonsnacks$Calories)
x <- demonsnacks[,7]
mon.names <- "LP"
parm.names <- as.parm.names(list(beta=rep(0,D+1), log.sigma=0))
MyData <- list(D=D, N=N, mon.names=mon.names, parm.names=parm.names, x=x,
               y=y)

```

65.3. Model

```

Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Parameters
  beta <- parm[grepl("beta", Data$parm.names)]
  sigma <- exp(parm[grepl("log.sigma", Data$parm.names)])
  ### Log(Prior Densities)
  beta.prior <- sum(dnormv(beta, 0, 1000, log=TRUE))
  sigma.prior <- dhalfcauchy(sigma, 25, log=TRUE)
  ### Log-Likelihood
  X <- matrix(Data$x, Data$N, Data$D)
  for (d in 2:Data$D) {X[,d] <- X[,d]^d}
  X <- cbind(1,X)
  mu <- tcrossprod(X, t(beta))
  LL <- sum(dnorm(Data$y, mu, sigma, log=TRUE))
  ### Log-Posterior
  LP <- LL + beta.prior + sigma.prior
  Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=LP, yhat=mu, parm=parm)
  return(Modelout)
}

```

65.4. Initial Values

```
Initial.Values <- c(rep(0,D+1), log(1))
```

66. Proportional Hazards Regression, Weibull

Although the dependent variable is usually denoted as **t** in survival analysis, it is denoted here as **y** so Laplace's Demon recognizes it as a dependent variable for posterior predictive checks. This example does not support censoring, but it will be included soon.

66.1. Form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{y}_i &\sim \mathcal{WELB}(\gamma, \mu_i), \quad i = 1, \dots, N \\ \mu &= \exp(\mathbf{X}\beta) \\ \beta_j &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000), \quad j = 1, \dots, J \\ \gamma &\sim \mathcal{G}(1, 0.001) \end{aligned}$$

66.2. Data

```
N <- 50
J <- 5
X <- matrix(runif(N*J,-2,2),N,J); X[,1] <- 1
beta <- c(1,runif(J-1,-1,1))
y <- round(exp(tcrossprod(X, t(beta)))) + 1 # Undefined at zero
mon.names <- c("LP","gamma")
parm.names <- as.parm.names(list(beta=rep(0,J), log.gamma=0))
MyData <- list(J=J, N=N, X=X, mon.names=mon.names, parm.names=parm.names,
  y=y)
```

66.3. Model

```
Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Parameters
  beta <- parm[1:Data$J]
  gamma <- exp(parm[Data$J+1])
  ### Log(Prior Densities)
  beta.prior <- sum(dnormv(beta, 0, 1000, log=TRUE))
  gamma.prior <- dgamma(gamma, 1, 1.0E-3, log=TRUE)
  ### Log-Likelihood
  mu <- exp(tcrossprod(Data$X, t(beta)))
  LL <- sum(dweibull(Data$y, gamma, mu, log=TRUE))
  ### Log-Posterior
  LP <- LL + beta.prior + gamma.prior
```

```

Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=c(LP, gamma),
  yhat=mu, parm=parm)
return(Modelout)
}

```

66.4. Initial Values

```
Initial.Values <- c(rep(0,J), log(1))
```

67. Revision, Normal

This example provides both an analytic solution and numerical approximation of the revision of a normal distribution. Given a normal prior distribution (α) and data distribution (β), the posterior (γ) is the revised normal distribution. This is an introductory example of Bayesian inference, and allows the user to experiment numerical approximation, such as with MCMC in `LaplacesDemon`. Note that, regardless of the data sample size N in this example, Laplace Approximation is inappropriate due to asymptotics since the data (β) is perceived by the algorithm as a single datum rather than a collection of data. MCMC, on the other hand, is biased only by the effective number of samples taken of the posterior.

Analytic Solution

```

prior.mu <- 0
prior.sigma <- 10
N <- 10
data.mu <- 1
data.sigma <- 2
posterior.mu <- (prior.sigma^-2 * prior.mu + N * data.sigma^-2 * data.mu) /
  (prior.sigma^-2 + N * data.sigma^-2)
posterior.sigma <- sqrt(1/(prior.sigma^-2 + data.sigma^-2))
posterior.mu
posterior.sigma

```

67.1. Form

$$\begin{aligned}
 \alpha &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 10) \\
 \beta &\sim \mathcal{N}(1, 2) \\
 \gamma &= \frac{\alpha_{\sigma}^{-2}\alpha + N\beta_{\sigma}^{-2}\beta}{\alpha_{\sigma}^{-2} + N\beta_{\sigma}^{-2}}
 \end{aligned}$$

67.2. Data

```

N <- 10
mon.names <- c("LP", "gamma")
parm.names <- c("alpha", "beta")

```

```
MyData <- list(N=N, mon.names=mon.names, parm.names=parm.names)
```

67.3. Model

```
Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Hyperparameters
  alpha.mu <- 0
  alpha.sigma <- 10
  beta.mu <- 1
  beta.sigma <- 2
  ### Parameters
  alpha <- parm[1]
  beta <- parm[2]
  ### Log(Prior Densities)
  alpha.prior <- dnorm(alpha, alpha.mu, alpha.sigma, log=TRUE)
  ### Log-Likelihood Density
  LL <- dnorm(beta, beta.mu, beta.sigma, log=TRUE)
  ### Posterior
  gamma <- (alpha.sigma^-2 * alpha + N * beta.sigma^-2 * beta) /
    (alpha.sigma^-2 + N * beta.sigma^-2)
  ### Log(Posterior Density)
  LP <- LL + alpha.prior
  Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=c(LP,gamma), yhat=LL,
    parm=parm)
  return(Modelout)
}
```

67.4. Initial Values

```
Initial.Values <- c(0,0)
```

68. Robust Regression

By replacing the normal distribution with the Student t distribution, linear regression is often called robust regression. As an alternative approach to robust regression, consider Laplace regression (see section 39).

68.1. Form

$$\mathbf{y} \sim t(\mu, \sigma^2, \nu)$$

$$\mu = \mathbf{X}\beta$$

$$\beta_j \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000), \quad j = 1, \dots, J$$

$$\sigma \sim \mathcal{HC}(25)$$

$$\nu \sim \mathcal{HC}(25)$$

68.2. Data

```

N <- 10000
J <- 5
X <- matrix(1,N,J)
for (j in 2:J) {X[,j] <- rnorm(N,runif(1,-3,3),runif(1,0.1,1))}
beta <- runif(J,-3,3)
e <- rnorm(N,0,0.1)
y <- tcrossprod(X, t(beta)) + e
mon.names <- c("LP", "sigma", "nu")
parm.names <- as.parm.names(list(beta=rep(0,J), log.sigma=0, log.nu=0))
MyData <- list(J=J, X=X, mon.names=mon.names, parm.names=parm.names, y=y)

```

68.3. Model

```

Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Parameters
  beta <- parm[1:Data$J]
  sigma <- exp(parm[Data$J+1])
  nu <- exp(parm[Data$J+2])
  ### Log(Prior Densities)
  beta.prior <- sum(dnormv(beta, 0, 1000, log=TRUE))
  sigma.prior <- dhalfcauchy(sigma, 25, log=TRUE)
  nu.prior <- dhalfcauchy(nu, 25, log=TRUE)
  ### Log-Likelihood
  mu <- tcrossprod(Data$X, t(beta))
  LL <- sum(dst(Data$y, mu, sigma, nu, log=TRUE))
  ### Log-Posterior
  LP <- LL + beta.prior + sigma.prior + nu.prior
  Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=c(LP,sigma,nu), yhat=mu,
    parm=parm)
  return(Modelout)
}

```

68.4. Initial Values

```
Initial.Values <- c(rep(0,J), log(1), log(2))
```

69. Seemingly Unrelated Regression (SUR)

The following data was used by Zellner (1962) when introducing the Seemingly Unrelated Regression methodology. This model uses the conjugate Wishart distribution for precision in a multivariate normal distribution. See section 21 for a non-Wishart alternative that is more flexible and converges much faster.

69.1. Form

$$\mathbf{Y}_{t,k} \sim \mathcal{N}_K(\mu_{t,k}, \Omega^{-1}), \quad t = 1, \dots, T; \quad k = 1, \dots, K$$

$$\mu_{1,t} = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \mathbf{X}_{t-1,1} + \alpha_3 \mathbf{X}_{t-1,2}, \quad t = 2, \dots, T$$

$$\mu_{2,t} = \beta_1 + \beta_2 \mathbf{X}_{t-1,3} + \beta_3 \mathbf{X}_{t-1,4}, \quad t = 2, \dots, T$$

$$\Omega \sim \mathcal{W}_{K+1}(\mathbf{S}), \quad \mathbf{S} = \mathbf{I}_K$$

$$\alpha_j \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000), \quad j = 1, \dots, J$$

$$\beta_j \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000), \quad j = 1, \dots, J$$

where J=3, K=2, and T=20.

69.2. Data

```
T <- 20 #Time-periods
year <- c(1935,1936,1937,1938,1939,1940,1941,1942,1943,1944,1945,1946,
          1947,1948,1949,1950,1951,1952,1953,1954)
IG <- c(33.1,45.0,77.2,44.6,48.1,74.4,113.0,91.9,61.3,56.8,93.6,159.9,
        147.2,146.3,98.3,93.5,135.2,157.3,179.5,189.6)
VG <- c(1170.6,2015.8,2803.3,2039.7,2256.2,2132.2,1834.1,1588.0,1749.4,
        1687.2,2007.7,2208.3,1656.7,1604.4,1431.8,1610.5,1819.4,2079.7,
        2371.6,2759.9)
CG <- c(97.8,104.4,118.0,156.2,172.6,186.6,220.9,287.8,319.9,321.3,319.6,
        346.0,456.4,543.4,618.3,647.4,671.3,726.1,800.3,888.9)
IW <- c(12.93,25.90,35.05,22.89,18.84,28.57,48.51,43.34,37.02,37.81,
        39.27,53.46,55.56,49.56,32.04,32.24,54.38,71.78,90.08,68.60)
VW <- c(191.5,516.0,729.0,560.4,519.9,628.5,537.1,561.2,617.2,626.7,
        737.2,760.5,581.4,662.3,583.8,635.2,723.8,864.1,1193.5,1188.9)
CW <- c(1.8,0.8,7.4,18.1,23.5,26.5,36.2,60.8,84.4,91.2,92.4,86.0,111.1,
        130.6,141.8,136.7,129.7,145.5,174.8,213.5)
J <- 2 #Number of dependent variables
Y <- matrix(c(IG,IW), T, J)
S <- diag(J)
mon.names <- "LP"
parm.names <- as.parm.names(list(alpha=rep(0,3), beta=rep(0,3),
                                Omega=diag(2)), uppertri=c(0,0,1))
MyData <- list(J=J, S=S, T=T, Y=Y, CG=CG, CW=CW, IG=IG, IW=IW, VG=VG,
               VW=VW, mon.names=mon.names, parm.names=parm.names)
```

69.3. Model

```
Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Parameters
  alpha <- parm[1:3]
  beta <- parm[4:6]
  Omega <- as.parm.matrix(Omega, Data$J, parm, Data)
  parm[grep("Omega", Data$parm.names)] <- upper.triangle(Omega,
    diag=TRUE)
  ### Log(Prior Densities)
  alpha.prior <- sum(dnormv(alpha, 0, 1000, log=TRUE))
  beta.prior <- sum(dnormv(beta, 0, 1000, log=TRUE))
  Omega.prior <- dwishart(Omega, Data$J+1, Data$S, log=TRUE)
  ### Log-Likelihood
  mu <- Data$Y
  mu[-1,1] <- alpha[1] + alpha[2]*Data$CG[-Data$T] +
    alpha[3]*Data$VG[-Data$T]
  mu[-1,2] <- beta[1] + beta[2]*Data$CW[-Data$T] +
    beta[3]*Data$VW[-Data$T]
  LL <- sum(dmvnp(Data$Y[-1,], mu[-1,], Omega, log=TRUE))
  ### Log-Posterior
  LP <- LL + alpha.prior + beta.prior + Omega.prior
  Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=LP, yhat=mu, parm=parm)
  return(Modelout)
}
```

69.4. Initial Values

```
Initial.Values <- c(rep(0,3), rep(0,3), upper.triangle(S, diag=TRUE))
```

70. Simultaneous Equations

This example of simultaneous equations uses Klein's Model I ([Kleine 1950](#)) regarding economic fluctuations in the United States in 1920-1941 ($N=22$). Usually, this example is modeled with 3-stage least squares (3SLS), excluding the uncertainty from multiple stages. By constraining each element in the instrumental variables matrix $\nu \in [-10, 10]$, this example estimates the model without resorting to stages. The dependent variable is matrix \mathbf{Y} , in which $\mathbf{Y}_{1,1:N}$ is \mathbf{C} or Consumption, $\mathbf{Y}_{2,1:N}$ is \mathbf{I} or Investment, and $\mathbf{Y}_{3,1:N}$ is \mathbf{Wp} or Private Wages. Here is a data dictionary:

```
A = Time Trend measured as years from 1931
C = Consumption
G = Government Nonwage Spending
I = Investment
K = Capital Stock
P = Private (Corporate) Profits
```

T = Indirect Business Taxes Plus Neg Exports
 Wg = Government Wage Bill
 Wp = Private Wages
 X = Equilibrium Demand (GNP)

See [Kleine \(1950\)](#) for more information.

70.1. Form

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{Y} &\sim \mathcal{N}_3(\mu, \Omega^{-1}) \\
 \mu_{1,1} &= \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \nu_{1,1} + \alpha_4 \nu_{2,1} \\
 \mu_{1,i} &= \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \nu_{1,i} + \alpha_3 \mathbf{P}_{i-1} + \alpha_4 \nu_{2,i}, \quad i = 2, \dots, N \\
 \mu_{2,1} &= \beta_1 + \beta_2 \nu_{1,1} + \beta_4 \mathbf{K}_1 \\
 \mu_{2,i} &= \beta_1 + \beta_2 \nu_{1,i} + \beta_3 \mathbf{P}_{i-1} + \beta_4 \mathbf{K}_i, \quad i = 2, \dots, N \\
 \mu_{3,1} &= \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 \nu_{3,1} + \gamma_4 \mathbf{A}_1 \\
 \mu_{3,i} &= \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 \nu_{3,i} + \gamma_3 \mathbf{X}_{i-1} + \gamma_4 \mathbf{A}_i, \quad i = 2, \dots, N \\
 \mathbf{Z}_{j,i} &\sim \mathcal{N}(\nu_{j,i}, \sigma_j^2), \quad j = 1, \dots, 3 \\
 \nu_{j,1} &= \pi_{j,1} + \pi_{j,3} \mathbf{K}_1 + \pi_{j,5} \mathbf{A}_1 + \pi_{j,6} \mathbf{T}_1 + \pi_{j,7} \mathbf{G}_1, \quad j = 1, \dots, 3 \\
 \nu_{j,i} &= \pi_{j,1} + \pi_{j,2} \mathbf{P}_{i-1} + \pi_{j,3} \mathbf{K}_i + \pi_{j,4} \mathbf{X}_{i-1} + \pi_{j,5} \mathbf{A}_i + \pi_{j,6} \mathbf{T}_i + \pi_{j,7} \mathbf{G}_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, N, \quad j = 1, \dots, 3 \\
 \alpha_j &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000), \quad j = 1, \dots, 4 \\
 \beta_j &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000), \quad j = 1, \dots, 4 \\
 \gamma_j &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000), \quad j = 1, \dots, 4 \\
 \pi_{j,i} &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000) \in [-10, 10], \quad j = 1, \dots, 3, \quad i = 1, \dots, N \\
 \sigma_j &\sim \mathcal{HC}(25), \quad j = 1, \dots, 3 \\
 \Omega &\sim \mathcal{W}_4(\mathbf{S}), \quad \mathbf{S} = \mathbf{I}_3
 \end{aligned}$$

70.2. Data

```

N <- 22
A <- c(-11,-10,-9,-8,-7,-6,-5,-4,-3,-2,-1,0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10)
C <- c(39.8,41.9,45,49.2,50.6,52.6,55.1,56.2,57.3,57.8,55,50.9,45.6,46.5,
      48.7,51.3,57.7,58.7,57.5,61.6,65,69.7)
G <- c(2.4,3.9,3.2,2.8,3.5,3.3,3.3,3.3,4,4.2,4.1,5.2,5.9,4.9,3.7,4,4.4,2.9,4.3,
      5.3,6.6,7.4,13.8)
I <- c(2.7,-0.2,1.9,5.2,3,5.1,5.6,4.2,3,5.1,1,-3.4,-6.2,-5.1,-3,-1.3,2.1,2,
      -1.9,1.3,3.3,4.9)
K <- c(180.1,182.8,182.6,184.5,189.7,192.7,197.8,203.4,207.6,210.6,215.7,
      216.7,213.3,207.1,202,199,197.7,199.8,201.8,199.9,201.2,204.5)
P <- c(12.7,12.4,16.9,18.4,19.4,20.1,19.6,19.8,21.1,21.7,15.6,11.4,7,11.2,
      12.3,14,17.6,17.3,15.3,19,21.1,23.5)

```

```

T <- c(3.4,7.7,3.9,4.7,3.8,5.5,7,6.7,4.2,4,7.7,7.5,8.3,5.4,6.8,7.2,8.3,6.7,
      7.4,8.9,9.6,11.6)
Wg <- c(2.2,2.7,2.9,2.9,3.1,3.2,3.3,3.6,3.7,4,4.2,4.8,5.3,5.6,6,6.1,7.4,
      6.7,7.7,7.8,8,8.5)
Wp <- c(28.8,25.5,29.3,34.1,33.9,35.4,37.4,37.9,39.2,41.3,37.9,34.5,29,28.5,
      30.6,33.2,36.8,41,38.2,41.6,45,53.3)
X <- c(44.9,45.6,50.1,57.2,57.1,61,64,64.4,64.5,67,61.2,53.4,44.3,45.1,
      49.7,54.4,62.7,65,60.9,69.5,75.7,88.4)
year <- c(1920,1921,1922,1923,1924,1925,1926,1927,1928,1929,1930,1931,1932,
      1933,1934,1935,1936,1937,1938,1939,1940,1941)
Y <- matrix(c(C,I,Wp),3,N, byrow=TRUE)
Z <- matrix(c(P, Wp+Wg, X), 3, N, byrow=TRUE)
S <- diag(nrow(Y))
mon.names <- "LP"
parm.names <- as.parm.names(list(alpha=rep(0,4), beta=rep(0,4),
      gamma=rep(0,4), pi=matrix(0,3,7), log.sigma=rep(0,3),
      Omega=diag(3)), uppertri=c(0,0,0,0,0,1))
MyData <- list(A=A, C=C, G=G, I=I, K=K, N=N, P=P, S=S, T=T, Wg=Wg, Wp=Wp,
      X=X, Y=Y, Z=Z, mon.names=mon.names, parm.names=parm.names)

```

70.3. Model

```

Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Parameters
  alpha <- parm[1:4]; beta <- parm[5:8]; gamma <- parm[9:12]
  pi <- matrix(interval(parm[grep("pi", Data$parm.names)],-10,10), 3, 7)
  parm[grep("pi", Data$parm.names)] <- as.vector(pi)
  sigma <- exp(parm[grep("log.sigma", Data$parm.names)])
  Omega <- as.parm.matrix(Omega, nrow(Data$S), parm, Data)
  parm[grep("Omega", Data$parm.names)] <- upper.triangle(Omega,
    diag=TRUE)
  ### Log(Prior Densities)
  alpha.prior <- sum(dnormv(alpha, 0, 1000, log=TRUE))
  beta.prior <- sum(dnormv(beta, 0, 1000, log=TRUE))
  gamma.prior <- sum(dnormv(gamma, 0, 1000, log=TRUE))
  pi.prior <- sum(dnormv(pi, 0, 1000, log=TRUE))
  sigma.prior <- sum(dhalfcauchy(sigma, 25, log=TRUE))
  Omega.prior <- dwishart(Omega, nrow(Data$S)+1, Data$S, log=TRUE)
  ### Log-Likelihood
  mu <- nu <- matrix(0,3,Data$N)
  for (i in 1:3) {
    nu[i,1] <- pi[i,1] + pi[i,3]*Data$K[1] + pi[i,5]*Data$A[1] +
      pi[i,6]*Data$T[1] + pi[i,7]*Data$G[1]
    nu[i,-1] <- pi[i,1] + pi[i,2]*Data$P[-Data$N] +
      pi[i,3]*Data$K[-1] + pi[i,4]*Data$X[-Data$N] +

```

```

      pi[i,5]*Data$A[-1] + pi[i,6]*Data$T[-1] +
      pi[i,7]*Data$G[-1]}
LL <- sum(dnorm(Data$Z, nu, matrix(sigma, 3, Data$N), log=TRUE))
mu[1,1] <- alpha[1] + alpha[2]*nu[1,1] + alpha[4]*nu[2,1]
mu[1,-1] <- alpha[1] + alpha[2]*nu[1,-1] +
      alpha[3]*Data$P[-Data$N] + alpha[4]*nu[2,-1]
mu[2,1] <- beta[1] + beta[2]*nu[1,1] + beta[4]*Data$K[1]
mu[2,-1] <- beta[1] + beta[2]*nu[1,-1] +
      beta[3]*Data$P[-Data$N] + beta[4]*Data$K[-1]
mu[3,1] <- gamma[1] + gamma[2]*nu[3,1] + gamma[4]*Data$A[1]
mu[3,-1] <- gamma[1] + gamma[2]*nu[3,-1] +
      gamma[3]*Data$X[-Data$N] + gamma[4]*Data$A[-1]
LL <- LL + sum(dmvnp(t(Data$Y), t(mu), Omega, log=TRUE))
### Log-Posterior
LP <- LL + alpha.prior + beta.prior + gamma.prior + pi.prior +
      sigma.prior + Omega.prior
Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=LP, yhat=mu, parm=parm)
return(Modelout)
}

```

70.4. Initial Values

```

Initial.Values <- c(rep(0,4), rep(0,4), rep(0,4), rep(0,3*7), rep(0,3),
      upper.triangle(S, diag=TRUE))

```

71. Space-Time, Dynamic

This approach to space-time or spatiotemporal modeling applies kriging to a stationary spatial component for points in space $s = 1, \dots, S$ first at time $t = 1$, where space is continuous and time is discrete. Vector ζ contains these spatial effects. Next, SSM (State Space Model) or DLM (Dynamic Linear Model) components are applied to the spatial parameters (ϕ , κ , and λ) and regression effects (β). These parameters are allowed to vary dynamically with time $t = 2, \dots, T$, and the resulting spatial process is estimated for each of these time-periods. When time is discrete, a dynamic space-time process can be applied. The matrix Θ contains the dynamically varying stationary spatial effects, or space-time effects. Spatial coordinates are given in longitude and latitude for $s = 1, \dots, S$ points in space and measurements are taken across discrete time-periods $t = 1, \dots, T$ for $\mathbf{Y}_{s,t}$. The dependent variable is also a function of design matrix \mathbf{X} (which may also be dynamic, but is static in this example) and dynamic regression effects matrix $\beta_{1:J,1:T}$. For more information on kriging, see section 37. For more information on SSMs or DLMs, see section 76. To extend this to a large spatial data set, consider incorporating the predictive process kriging example in section 38.

71.1. Form

$$\mathbf{Y}_{s,t} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_{s,t}, \sigma_1^2), \quad s = 1, \dots, S, \quad t = 1, \dots, T$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_{s,t} &= \mathbf{X}_{s,1:J} \beta_{1:J,t} + \Theta_{s,t} \\
\Theta_{s,t} &= \frac{\Sigma_{s,s,t}}{\sum_{r=1}^S \Sigma_{r,s,t}} \Theta_{s,t-1}, \quad s = 1, \dots, S, \quad t = 2, \dots, T \\
\Theta_{s,1} &= \zeta_s \\
\zeta &\sim \mathcal{N}_S(0, \Sigma_{1:S,1:S,1}) \\
\Sigma_{1:S,1:S,t} &= \lambda_t^2 \exp(-\phi_t \mathbf{D})^{\kappa[t]} \\
\sigma_1 &\sim \mathcal{HC}(25) \\
\beta_{j,1} &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000), \quad j = 1, \dots, 2 \\
\beta_{1,t} &\sim \mathcal{N}(\beta_{1,t-1}, \sigma_2^2), \quad t = 2, \dots, T \\
\beta_{2,t} &\sim \mathcal{N}(\beta_{2,t-1}, \sigma_3^2), \quad t = 2, \dots, T \\
\phi_1 &\sim \mathcal{HN}(1000) \\
\phi_t &\sim \mathcal{N}(\phi_{t-1}, \sigma_4^2) \in [0, \infty], \quad t = 2, \dots, T \\
\kappa_1 &\sim \mathcal{HN}(1000) \\
\kappa_t &\sim \mathcal{N}(\kappa_{t-1}, \sigma_5^2) \in [0, \infty], \quad t = 2, \dots, T \\
\lambda_1 &\sim \mathcal{HN}(1000) \\
\lambda_t &\sim \mathcal{N}(\lambda_{t-1}, \sigma_6^2) \in [0, \infty], \quad t = 2, \dots, T
\end{aligned}$$

71.2. Data

```

S <- 20
T <- 10
longitude <- runif(S,0,100)
latitude <- runif(S,0,100)
D <- as.matrix(dist(cbind(longitude,latitude), diag=TRUE, upper=TRUE))
beta <- matrix(c(50,2), 2, T)
phi <- rep(1,T); kappa <- rep(1.5,T); lambda <- rep(10000,T)
for (t in 2:T) {
  beta[1,t-1] <- beta[1,t-1] + rnorm(1,0,1)
  beta[2,t-1] <- beta[2,t-1] + rnorm(1,0,0.1)
  phi[t] <- phi[t-1] + rnorm(1,0,0.1)
  if(phi[t] < 0.001) phi[t] <- 0.001
  kappa[t] <- kappa[t-1] + rnorm(1,0,0.1)
  lambda[t] <- lambda[t-1] + rnorm(1,0,1000)}
Sigma <- array(0, dim=c(S,S,T))
for (t in 1:T) {
  Sigma[, ,t] <- lambda[t] * exp(-phi[t] * D)^kappa[t]}
zeta <- as.vector(apply(rmvn(1000, rep(0,S), Sigma[, ,1]), 2, mean))
Theta <- matrix(zeta,S,T)
for (t in 2:T) {for (s in 1:S) {
  Theta[,t] <- sum(Sigma[,s,t] / sum(Sigma[,s,t]) * Theta[,t-1])}}

```

```

X <- matrix(runif(S*2,-2,2),S,2); X[,1] <- 1
mu <- tcrossprod(X, t(beta))
Y <- mu + Theta + matrix(rnorm(S*T,0,0.1),S,T)
mon.names <- c("LP", as.parm.names(list(sigma=rep(0,6))))
parm.names <- as.parm.names(list(zeta=rep(0,S), beta=matrix(0,2,T),
  log.phi=rep(0,T), log.kappa=rep(0,T), log.lambda=rep(0,T),
  log.sigma=rep(0,6)))
MyData <- list(D=D, S=S, T=T, X=X, Y=Y, latitude=latitude, longitude=longitude,
  mon.names=mon.names, parm.names=parm.names)

```

71.3. Model

```

Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Parameters
  beta <- matrix(parm[grepl("beta", Data$parm.names)], 2, Data$T)
  zeta <- parm[grepl("zeta", Data$parm.names)]
  phi <- exp(parm[grepl("log.phi", Data$parm.names)])
  kappa <- exp(parm[grepl("log.kappa", Data$parm.names)])
  lambda <- exp(parm[grepl("log.lambda", Data$parm.names)])
  sigma <- exp(parm[grepl("log.sigma", Data$parm.names)])
  Sigma <- array(0, dim=c(Data$S, Data$S, Data$T))
  for (t in 1:Data$T) {
    Sigma[, ,t] <- lambda[t]^2 * exp(-phi[t] * Data$D)^kappa[t]}
  ### Log(Prior Densities)
  beta.prior <- sum(dnormv(beta[,1], 0, 1000, log=TRUE),
    dnorm(beta[,2], beta[,1], matrix(sigma[2:3], 2,
    Data$T-1), log=TRUE))
  zeta.prior <- dmvn(zeta, rep(0,Data$S), Sigma[, , 1], log=TRUE)
  phi.prior <- sum(dhalfnorm(phi[1], sqrt(1000), log=TRUE),
    dtrunc(phi[-1], "norm", a=0, b=Inf, mean=phi[-Data$T],
    sd=sigma[4], log=TRUE))
  kappa.prior <- sum(dhalfnorm(kappa[1], sqrt(1000), log=TRUE),
    dtrunc(kappa[-1], "norm", a=0, b=Inf, mean=kappa[-Data$T],
    sd=sigma[5], log=TRUE))
  lambda.prior <- sum(dhalfnorm(lambda[1], sqrt(1000), log=TRUE),
    dtrunc(lambda[-1], "norm", a=0, b=Inf, mean=lambda[-Data$T],
    sd=sigma[6], log=TRUE))
  sigma.prior <- sum(dhalfcauchy(sigma, 25, log=TRUE))
  ### Log-Likelihood
  mu <- tcrossprod(Data$X, t(beta))
  Theta <- matrix(zeta, Data$S, Data$T)
  for (t in 2:Data$T) {
    for (s in 1:Data$S) {
      Theta[s,t] <- Sigma[s,s,t] / sum(Sigma[,s,t]) * Theta[s,t-1]}}
  mu <- mu + Theta

```



```

LL <- sum(dnorm(Data$Y, mu, sigma[1], log=TRUE))
### Log-Posterior
LP <- LL + beta.prior + zeta.prior + sum(phi.prior) +
      sum(kappa.prior) + sum(lambda.prior) + sigma.prior
Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=c(LP,sigma),
                 yhat=mu, parm=parm)
return(Modelout)
}

```

71.4. Initial Values

```

Initial.Values <- c(rep(0,S), rep(c(mean(Y),0),T), log(rep(1,T)),
                    log(rep(1,T)), rep(1,T), log(rep(1,6)))

```

72. Space-Time, Nonseparable

This approach to space-time or spatiotemporal modeling applies kriging both to the stationary spatial and temporal components, where space is continuous and time is discrete. Matrix Ξ contains the space-time effects. Spatial coordinates are given in longitude and latitude for $s = 1, \dots, S$ points in space and measurements are taken across time-periods $t = 1, \dots, T$ for $\mathbf{Y}_{s,t}$. The dependent variable is also a function of design matrix \mathbf{X} and regression effects vector β . For more information on kriging, see section 37. This example uses a nonseparable, stationary covariance function in which space and time are separable only when $\psi = 0$. To extend this to a large space-time data set, consider incorporating the predictive process kriging example in section 38.

72.1. Form

$$\mathbf{Y}_{s,t} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_{s,t}, \sigma_1^2), \quad s = 1, \dots, S, \quad t = 1, \dots, T$$

$$\mu = \mathbf{X}\beta + \Xi$$

$$\Xi \sim \mathcal{N}_{ST}(\Xi_\mu, \Sigma)$$

$$\Sigma = \sigma_2^2 \exp \left(-\frac{\mathbf{D}_S^\kappa}{\phi_1} - \frac{\mathbf{D}_T^\lambda}{\phi_2} - \psi \frac{\mathbf{D}_S^\kappa}{\phi_1} \frac{\mathbf{D}_T^\lambda}{\phi_2} \right)$$

$$\beta_j \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000), \quad j = 1, \dots, J$$

$$\phi_k \sim \mathcal{U}(1, 5), \quad k = 1, \dots, 2$$

$$\sigma_k \sim \mathcal{HC}(25), \quad k = 1, \dots, 2$$

$$\psi \sim \mathcal{HC}(25)$$

$$\Xi_\mu = 0$$

$$\kappa = 1, \quad \lambda = 1$$

72.2. Data

```

S <- 10
T <- 5
longitude <- runif(S,0,100)
latitude <- runif(S,0,100)
D.S <- as.matrix(dist(cbind(rep(longitude,T),rep(latitude,T)), diag=TRUE,
  upper=TRUE))
D.T <- as.matrix(dist(cbind(rep(1:T,each=S),rep(1:T,each=S)), diag=TRUE,
  upper=TRUE))
Sigma <- 10000 * exp(-D.S/3 - D.T/2 - 0.2*(D.S/3)*(D.T/2))
Xi <- as.vector(apply(rmvn(1000, rep(0,S*T), Sigma), 2, mean))
Xi <- matrix(Xi,S,T)
beta <- c(50,2)
X <- matrix(runif(S*2,-2,2),S,2); X[,1] <- 1
mu <- as.vector(tcrossprod(X, t(beta)))
Y <- mu + Xi
mon.names <- c("LP","psi","sigma[1]","sigma[2]")
parm.names <- as.parm.names(list(Xi=matrix(0,S,T), beta=rep(0,2),
  phi=rep(0,2), log.sigma=rep(0,2), log.psi=0))
MyData <- list(D.S=D.S, D.T=D.T, S=S, T=T, X=X, Y=Y, latitude=latitude,
  longitude=longitude, mon.names=mon.names, parm.names=parm.names)

```

72.3. Model

```

Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Hyperparameters
  Xi.mu <- rep(0,Data$S*Data$T)
  ### Parameters
  beta <- parm[grep("beta", Data$parm.names)]
  Xi <- parm[grep("Xi", Data$parm.names)]
  kappa <- 1; lambda <- 1
  sigma <- exp(parm[grep("log.sigma", Data$parm.names)])
  phi <- interval(parm[grep("phi", Data$parm.names)], 1, 5)
  parm[grep("phi", Data$parm.names)] <- phi
  psi <- exp(parm[grep("log.psi", Data$parm.names)])
  Sigma <- sigma[2]*sigma[2] * exp(-(Data$D.S / phi[1])^kappa -
    (Data$D.T / phi[2])^lambda -
    psi*(Data$D.S / phi[1])^kappa * (Data$D.T / phi[2])^lambda)
  ### Log(Prior Densities)
  beta.prior <- sum(dnormv(beta, 0, 1000, log=TRUE))
  Xi.prior <- dmvn(Xi, Xi.mu, Sigma, log=TRUE)
  sigma.prior <- sum(dhalfcauchy(sigma, 25, log=TRUE))
  phi.prior <- sum(dunif(phi, 1, 5, log=TRUE))
  psi.prior <- dhalfcauchy(psi, 25, log=TRUE)

```

```

### Log-Likelihood
Xi <- matrix(Xi, Data$S, Data$T)
mu <- as.vector(tcrossprod(Data$X, t(beta))) + Xi
LL <- sum(dnorm(Data$Y, mu, sigma[1], log=TRUE))
### Log-Posterior
LP <- LL + beta.prior + Xi.prior + sigma.prior + phi.prior + psi.prior
Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=c(LP,psi,sigma),
  yhat=mu, parm=parm)
return(Modelout)
}

```

72.4. Initial Values

```
Initial.Values <- c(rep(0,S*T), mean(Y), 0, rep(1,2), rep(0,2), 0)
```

73. Space-Time, Separable

This introductory approach to space-time or spatiotemporal modeling applies kriging both to the stationary spatial and temporal components, where space is continuous and time is discrete. Vector ζ contains the spatial effects and vector θ contains the temporal effects. Spatial coordinates are given in longitude and latitude for $s = 1, \dots, S$ points in space and measurements are taken across time-periods $t = 1, \dots, T$ for $\mathbf{Y}_{s,t}$. The dependent variable is also a function of design matrix \mathbf{X} and regression effects vector β . For more information on kriging, see section 37. This example uses separable space-time covariances, which is more convenient but usually less appropriate than a nonseparable covariance function. To extend this to a large space-time data set, consider incorporating the predictive process kriging example in section 38.

73.1. Form

$$\mathbf{Y}_{s,t} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_{s,t}, \sigma_1^2), \quad s = 1, \dots, S, \quad t = 1, \dots, T$$

$$\mu_{s,t} = \mathbf{X}_{s,1:T}\beta + \zeta_s + \Theta_{s,t}$$

$$\Theta_{s,1:T} = \theta$$

$$\theta \sim \mathcal{N}_N(\theta_\mu, \Sigma_T)$$

$$\Sigma_T = \sigma_3^2 \exp(-\phi_2 \mathbf{D}_T)^\lambda$$

$$\zeta \sim \mathcal{N}_N(\zeta_\mu, \Sigma_S)$$

$$\Sigma_S = \sigma_2^2 \exp(-\phi_1 \mathbf{D}_S)^\kappa$$

$$\beta_j \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000), \quad j = 1, \dots, 2$$

$$\sigma_k \sim \mathcal{HC}(25), \quad k = 1, \dots, 3$$

$$\phi_k \sim \mathcal{U}(1, 5), \quad k = 1, \dots, 2$$

$$\zeta_\mu = 0$$

$$\theta_\mu = 0$$

$$\kappa = 1, \quad \lambda = 1$$

73.2. Data

```

S <- 20
T <- 10
longitude <- runif(S,0,100)
latitude <- runif(S,0,100)
D.S <- as.matrix(dist(cbind(longitude,latitude), diag=TRUE, upper=TRUE))
Sigma.S <- 10000 * exp(-1.5 * D.S)
zeta <- as.vector(apply(rmvn(1000, rep(0,S), Sigma.S), 2, mean))
D.T <- as.matrix(dist(cbind(c(1:T),c(1:T)), diag=TRUE, upper=TRUE))
Sigma.T <- 10000 * exp(-3 * D.T)
theta <- as.vector(apply(rmvn(1000, rep(0,T), Sigma.T), 2, mean))
Theta <- matrix(theta,S,T,byrow=TRUE)
beta <- c(50,2)
X <- matrix(runif(S*2,-2,2),S,2); X[,1] <- 1
mu <- as.vector(tcrossprod(X, t(beta)))
Y <- mu + zeta + Theta + matrix(rnorm(S*T,0,0.1),S,T)
mon.names <- c("LP","sigma[1]","sigma[2]","sigma[3]")
parm.names <- as.parm.names(list(zeta=rep(0,S), theta=rep(0,T),
  beta=rep(0,2), phi=rep(0,2), log.sigma=rep(0,3)))
MyData <- list(D.S=D.S, D.T=D.T, S=S, T=T, X=X, Y=Y, latitude=latitude,
  longitude=longitude, mon.names=mon.names, parm.names=parm.names)

```

73.3. Model

```

Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Hyperparameters
  zeta.mu <- rep(0,Data$S)
  theta.mu <- rep(0,Data$T)
  ### Parameters
  beta <- parm[grep("beta", Data$parm.names)]
  zeta <- parm[grep("zeta", Data$parm.names)]
  theta <- parm[grep("theta", Data$parm.names)]
  kappa <- 1; lambda <- 1
  sigma <- exp(parm[grep("log.sigma", Data$parm.names)])
  phi <- interval(parm[grep("phi", Data$parm.names)], 1, 5)
  parm[grep("phi", Data$parm.names)] <- phi
  Sigma.S <- sigma[2]^2 * exp(-phi[1] * Data$D.S)^kappa
  Sigma.T <- sigma[3]^2 * exp(-phi[2] * Data$D.T)^lambda
  ### Log(Prior Densities)

```

```

beta.prior <- sum(dnormv(beta, 0, 1000, log=TRUE))
zeta.prior <- dmvn(zeta, zeta.mu, Sigma.S, log=TRUE)
theta.prior <- dmvn(theta, theta.mu, Sigma.T, log=TRUE)
sigma.prior <- sum(dhalfcauchy(25, log=TRUE))
phi.prior <- sum(dunif(phi, 1, 5, log=TRUE))
### Log-Likelihood
Theta <- matrix(theta, Data$S, Data$T, byrow=TRUE)
mu <- as.vector(tcrossprod(Data$X, t(beta))) + zeta + Theta
LL <- sum(dnorm(Data$Y, mu, sigma[1], log=TRUE))
### Log-Posterior
LP <- LL + beta.prior + zeta.prior + theta.prior + sigma.prior +
  phi.prior
Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=c(LP,sigma),
  yhat=mu, parm=parm)
return(Modelout)
}

```

73.4. Initial Values

```
Initial.Values <- c(rep(0,S), rep(0,T), rep(0,2), rep(1,2), rep(0,3))
```

74. Spatial Autoregression (SAR)

The spatial autoregressive (SAR) model in this example uses areal data that consists of first-order neighbors that were specified and converted from point-based data with longitude and latitude coordinates.

74.1. Form

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{y} &\sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \sigma^2) \\
 \boldsymbol{\mu} &= \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \phi\mathbf{z} \\
 \beta_j &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000), \quad j = 1, \dots, J \\
 \phi &\sim \mathcal{U}(-1, 1) \\
 \sigma &\sim \mathcal{HC}(25)
 \end{aligned}$$

74.2. Data

```

N <- 100
latitude <- runif(N,0,100); longitude <- runif(N,0,100)
J <- 3 #Number of predictors, including the intercept
X <- matrix(runif(N*J,0,3), N, J); X[,1] <- 1
beta.orig <- runif(J,0,3); phi <- runif(1,0,1)
D <- as.matrix(dist(cbind(longitude, latitude), diag=TRUE, upper=TRUE))

```

```

W <- exp(-D) #Inverse distance as weights
W <- ifelse(D == 0, 0, W)
epsilon <- rnorm(N,0,1)
y <- tcrossprod(X, t(beta.orig)) + sqrt(latitude) + sqrt(longitude) +
  epsilon
Z <- W / matrix(rowSums(W), N, N) * matrix(y, N, N, byrow=TRUE)
z <- as.vector(apply(Z, 1, sum))
mon.names <- c("LP","sigma")
parm.names <- as.parm.names(list(beta=rep(0,J), phi=0, log.sigma=0))
MyData <- list(J=J, X=X, latitude=latitude, longitude=longitude,
  mon.names=mon.names, parm.names=parm.names, y=y, z=z)

```

74.3. Model

```

Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Parameters
  beta <- parm[1:Data$J]
  parm[Data$J+1] <- phi <- interval(parm[Data$J+1], -1, 1)
  sigma <- exp(parm[Data$J+2])
  ### Log(Prior Densities)
  beta.prior <- sum(dnormv(beta, 0, 1000, log=TRUE))
  phi.prior <- dunif(phi, -1, 1, log=TRUE)
  sigma.prior <- dhalfcauchy(sigma, 25, log=TRUE)
  ### Log-Likelihood
  mu <- tcrossprod(Data$X, t(beta)) + phi*Data$z
  LL <- sum(dnorm(Data$y, mu, sigma, log=TRUE))
  ### Log-Posterior
  LP <- LL + beta.prior + phi.prior + sigma.prior
  Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=c(LP,sigma), yhat=mu,
    parm=parm)
  return(Modelout)
}

```

74.4. Initial Values

```
Initial.Values <- c(rep(0,J), 0.5, log(1))
```

75. STARMA(1,1)

The data in this example of a space-time autoregressive moving average (STARMA) are coordinate-based, and the adjacency matrix \mathbf{A} is created from K nearest neighbors. Otherwise, an adjacency matrix may be specified as usual for areal data. Spatial coordinates are given in longitude and latitude for $s = 1, \dots, S$ points in space and measurements are taken across time-periods $t = 1, \dots, T$ for $\mathbf{Y}_{s,t}$.

75.1. Form

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{Y} &\sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2) \\
\mu_{s,t} &= \mathbf{X}_{s,t}\beta + \phi \mathbf{W}\mathbf{1}_{s,t-1} + \theta \mathbf{W}\mathbf{2}_{s,t-1}, \quad s = 1, \dots, S, \quad t = 2, \dots, T \\
\mathbf{W}\mathbf{1} &= \mathbf{V}\mathbf{Y} \\
\mathbf{W}\mathbf{2} &= \mathbf{V}\epsilon \\
\epsilon &= \mathbf{Y} - \mu \\
\beta_j &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000), \quad j = 1, \dots, J \\
\phi &\sim \mathcal{U}(-1, 1) \\
\sigma &\sim \mathcal{HC}(25) \\
\theta &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000)
\end{aligned}$$

where \mathbf{V} is an adjacency matrix that is scaled so that each row sums to one, β is a vector of regression effects, ϕ is the autoregressive space-time parameter, σ is the residual variance, and θ is the moving average space-time parameter.

75.2. Data

```

S <- 100
T <- 10
K <- 5 #Number of nearest neighbors
latitude <- runif(S,0,100)
longitude <- runif(S,0,100)
X1 <- matrix(runif(S*T,-2,2), S, T)
X2 <- matrix(runif(S*T,-2,2), S, T)
for (t in 2:T) {
  X1[,t] <- X1[,t-1] + runif(S,-0.1,0.1)
  X2[,t] <- X2[,t-1] + runif(S,-0.1,0.1)}
beta.orig <- runif(3,-2,2); phi.orig <- 0.8; theta.orig <- 1
epsilon <- matrix(rnorm(S*T,0,0.1), S, T)
Z <- matrix(rnorm(S*T,0,0.1), S, T)
D <- as.matrix(dist(cbind(longitude, latitude), diag=TRUE, upper=TRUE))
A <- exp(-D)
A <- ifelse(D == 0, max(D), A)
A <- apply(A, 1, rank)
A <- ifelse(A <= K, 1, 0)
V <- A / rowSums(A) #Scaled matrix
V <- ifelse(is.nan(V), 1/ncol(V), V)
Y <- beta.orig[1] + beta.orig[2]*X1 + beta.orig[3]*X2
W1 <- tcrossprod(V, t(Y))
Y <- Y + phi.orig*cbind(rep(0,S), W1[, -T])
W2 <- tcrossprod(V, t(epsilon))
Y <- Y + theta.orig*cbind(rep(0,S), W2[, -T])

```

```

mon.names <- "LP"
parm.names <- as.parm.names(list(beta=rep(0,3), phi=0, log.sigma=0,
  theta=0))
MyData <- list(K=K, S=S, T=T, V=V, X1=X1, X2=X2, Y=Y, latitude=latitude,
  longitude=longitude, mon.names=mon.names, parm.names=parm.names)

```

75.3. Model

```

Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Parameters
  beta <- parm[1:3]
  parm[4] <- phi <- interval(parm[4], -1, 1)
  sigma <- exp(parm[5])
  theta <- parm[6]
  ### Log(Prior Densities)
  beta.prior <- sum(dnormv(beta, 0, 1000, log=TRUE))
  phi.prior <- dunif(phi, -1, 1, log=TRUE)
  sigma.prior <- dhalfcauchy(sigma, 25, log=TRUE)
  theta.prior <- dnorm(theta, 0, 1000, log=TRUE)
  ### Log-Likelihood
  W1 <- tcrossprod(Data$V, t(Data$Y))
  mu <- beta[1] + beta[2]*Data$X1 + beta[3]*Data$X2 +
    phi*cbind(rep(0, Data$S), W1[, -Data$T])
  epsilon <- Data$Y - mu
  W2 <- tcrossprod(Data$V, t(epsilon))
  mu <- mu + theta*cbind(rep(0, Data$S), W2[, -Data$T])
  LL <- sum(dnorm(Data$Y[, -1], mu[, -1], sigma, log=TRUE))
  ### Log-Posterior
  LP <- LL + beta.prior + phi.prior + sigma.prior + theta.prior
  Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=LP, yhat=mu, parm=parm)
  return(Modelout)
}

```

75.4. Initial Values

```
Initial.Values <- c(rep(0,3), 0, 1, 0)
```

76. State Space Model (SSM), Linear Regression

The data is presented so that the time-series is subdivided into three sections: modeled ($t = 1, \dots, T_m$), one-step ahead forecast ($t = T_m + 1$), and future forecast [$t = (T_m + 2), \dots, T$]. Note that Dyn must also be specified for the SAMWG and SMWG MCMC algorithms.

76.1. Form

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{y}_t &\sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_t, \sigma_1^2), \quad t = 1, \dots, T_m \\
\mathbf{y}_t^{new} &\sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_t, \sigma_1^2), \quad t = (T_m + 1), \dots, T \\
\mu_t &= \alpha + \mathbf{x}_t \beta_t, \quad t = 1, \dots, T \\
\alpha &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000) \\
\beta_1 &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000) \\
\beta_t &\sim \mathcal{N}(\beta_{t-1}, \sigma_2^2), \quad t = 2, \dots, T \\
\sigma_j &\sim \mathcal{HC}(25), \quad j = 1, \dots, 2
\end{aligned}$$

76.2. Data

```

T <- 20
T.m <- 14
beta.orig <- x <- rep(0,T)
for (t in 2:T) {
  beta.orig[t] <- beta.orig[t-1] + rnorm(1,0,0.1)
  x[t] <- x[t-1] + rnorm(1,0,0.1)}
y <- 10 + beta.orig*x + rnorm(T,0,0.01)
y[(T.m+2):T] <- NA
mon.names <- rep(NA, (T-T.m))
for (i in 1:(T-T.m)) mon.names[i] <- paste("mu[",(T.m+i),"]", sep="")
parm.names <- as.parm.names(list(alpha=0, beta=rep(0,T),
  log.sigma=rep(0,2)))
MyData <- list(T=T, T.m=T.m, mon.names=mon.names, parm.names=parm.names,
  x=x, y=y)
Dyn <- matrix(paste("beta[" ,1:T,""]",sep=""), T, 1)

```

76.3. Model

```

Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Parameters
  alpha <- parm[1]
  beta <- parm[2:(Data$T+1)]
  sigma <- exp(parm[Data$T+2:3])
  ### Log(Prior Densities)
  alpha.prior <- dnormv(alpha, 0, 1000, log=TRUE)
  beta.prior <- sum(dnormv(beta[1], 0, 1000, log=TRUE),
    dnorm(beta[-1], beta[-Data$T], sigma[2], log=TRUE))
  sigma.prior <- sum(dhalfcauchy(sigma, 25, log=TRUE))
  ### Log-Likelihood

```

```

mu <- alpha + beta*Data$x
LL <- sum(dnorm(Data$y[1:Data$T.m], mu[1:Data$T.m], sigma[1],
  log=TRUE))
yhat <- alpha + c(beta[1], rnorm(Data$T-1, beta[-Data$T],
  sigma[2])) * Data$x #One-step ahead prediction throughout
### Log-Posterior
LP <- LL + alpha.prior + beta.prior + sigma.prior
Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=mu[(Data$T.m+1):Data$T],
  yhat=yhat, parm=parm)
return(Modelout)
}

```

76.4. Initial Values

```
Initial.Values <- rep(0,T+3)
```

77. State Space Model (SSM), Local Level

The local level model is the simplest, non-trivial example of a state space model (SSM). As such, this version of a local level SSM has static variance parameters.

77.1. Form

$$\begin{aligned}
 y_t &\sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_t, \sigma_1^2), \quad t = 1, \dots, T \\
 \mu_t &\sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_{t-1}, \sigma_2^2), \quad t = 2, \dots, T \\
 \mu_1 &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000) \\
 \sigma_j &\sim \mathcal{HC}(25), \quad j = 1, \dots, 2
 \end{aligned}$$

77.2. Data

```

T <- 20
T.m <- 14
mu.orig <- rep(0,T)
for (t in 2:T) {mu.orig[t] <- mu.orig[t-1] + rnorm(1,0,1)}
y <- mu.orig + rnorm(T,0,0.1)
y[(T.m+2):T] <- NA
mon.names <- rep(NA, (T-T.m))
for (i in 1:(T-T.m)) mon.names[i] <- paste("yhat[",(T.m+i),"]", sep="")
parm.names <- as.parm.names(list(mu=rep(0,T), log.sigma=rep(0,2)))
MyData <- list(T=T, T.m=T.m, mon.names=mon.names, parm.names=parm.names,
  y=y)
Dyn <- matrix(paste("mu[",1:T,"]",sep=""), T, 1)

```

77.3. Model

```

Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Parameters
  mu <- parm[1:Data$T]
  sigma <- exp(parm[-c(1:Data$T)])
  ### Log(Prior Densities)
  mu.prior <- sum(dnorm(mu[1], 0, 1000, log=TRUE),
    dnorm(mu[-1], mu[-Data$T], sigma[2], log=TRUE))
  sigma.prior <- sum(dhalfcauchy(sigma, 25, log=TRUE))
  ### Log-Likelihood
  LL <- sum(dnorm(Data$y[1:Data$T.m], mu[1:Data$T.m], sigma[1],
    log=TRUE))
  yhat <- c(mu[1], rnorm(Data$T-1, mu[-Data$T], sigma[2]))
  ### Log-Posterior
  LP <- LL + mu.prior + sigma.prior
  Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=mu[(Data$T.m+1):Data$T],
    yhat=yhat, parm=parm)
  return(Modelout)
}

```

77.4. Initial Values

```
Initial.Values <- rep(0,T+2)
```

78. State Space Model (SSM), Local Linear Trend

The local linear trend model is a state space model (SSM) that extends the local level model to include a dynamic slope parameter. For more information on the local level model, see section 77. This example has static variance parameters.

78.1. Form

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{y}_t &\sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_t, \sigma_1^2), \quad t = 1, \dots, T \\
 \mu_t &\sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_{t-1} + \delta_{t-1}, \sigma_2^2), \quad t = 2, \dots, T \\
 \mu_1 &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000) \\
 \delta_t &\sim \mathcal{N}(\delta_{t-1}, \sigma_3^2), \quad t = 2, \dots, T \\
 \delta_1 &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000) \\
 \sigma_j &\sim \mathcal{HC}(25), \quad j = 1, \dots, 3
 \end{aligned}$$

78.2. Data

```

T <- 20
T.m <- 14
mu.orig <- delta.orig <- rep(0,T)
for (t in 2:T) {
  delta.orig[t] <- delta.orig[t-1] + rnorm(1,0,0.1)
  mu.orig[t] <- mu.orig[t-1] + delta.orig[t-1] + rnorm(1,0,1)}
y <- mu.orig + rnorm(T,0,0.1)
y[(T.m+2):T] <- NA
mon.names <- rep(NA, (T-T.m))
for (i in 1:(T-T.m)) mon.names[i] <- paste("yhat[", (T.m+i), "]", sep="")
parm.names <- as.parm.names(list(mu=rep(0,T), delta=rep(0,T),
  log.sigma=rep(0,3)))
MyData <- list(T=T, T.m=T.m, mon.names=mon.names, parm.names=parm.names,
  y=y)

```

78.3. Model

```

Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Parameters
  mu <- parm[1:Data$T]
  delta <- parm[Data$T+(1:Data$T)]
  sigma <- exp(parm[2*Data$T+c(1:3)])
  ### Log(Prior Densities)
  mu.prior <- sum(dnorm(mu[1], 0, 1000, log=TRUE),
    dnorm(mu[-1], mu[-Data$T]+delta[-Data$T], sigma[2],
    log=TRUE))
  delta.prior <- sum(dnorm(delta[1], 0, 1000, log=TRUE),
    dnorm(delta[-1], delta[-Data$T], sigma[3], log=TRUE))
  sigma.prior <- sum(dhalfcauchy(sigma, 25, log=TRUE))
  ### Log-Likelihood
  LL <- sum(dnorm(Data$y[1:Data$T.m], mu[1:Data$T.m], sigma[1],
    log=TRUE))
  yhat <- c(mu[1], rnorm(Data$T-1, mu[-Data$T], sigma[2]))
  ### Log-Posterior
  LP <- LL + mu.prior + delta.prior + sigma.prior
  Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=mu[(Data$T.m+1):Data$T],
    yhat=yhat, parm=parm)
  return(Modelout)
}

```

78.4. Initial Values

```

Initial.Values <- rep(0,T*2+3)

```

79. State Space Model (SSM), Stochastic Volatility (SV)

79.1. Form

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{y} &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2) \\
 \sigma^2 &= \frac{1}{\exp(\theta)} \\
 \beta &= \exp(\mu/2) \\
 \theta_1 &\sim \mathcal{N}(\mu + \phi(\alpha - \mu), \tau) \\
 \theta_t &\sim \mathcal{N}(\mu + \phi(\theta_{t-1} - \mu), \tau), \quad t = 2, \dots, T \\
 \alpha &\sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \tau) \\
 \phi &\sim \mathcal{U}(-1, 1) \\
 \mu &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 10) \\
 \tau &\sim \mathcal{HC}(25)
 \end{aligned}$$

79.2. Data

```

T <- 20
y <- rep(10,T); epsilon <- rnorm(T,0,1)
for (t in 2:T) {y[t] <- 0.8*y[t-1] + epsilon[t-1]}
mon.names <- c("LP","tau", paste("sigma2[",1:T,"]",sep=""))
parm.names <- as.parm.names(list(theta=rep(0,T), alpha=0, phi=0, mu=0,
  log.tau=0))
MyData <- list(T=T, mon.names=mon.names, parm.names=parm.names, y=y)
Dyn <- matrix(paste("theta[",1:T,"]",sep=""), T, 1)

```

79.3. Model

```

Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Parameters
  theta <- parm[1:Data$T]
  alpha <- parm[Data$T+1]
  parm[Data$T+2] <- phi <- interval(parm[Data$T+2], -1, 1)
  mu <- parm[Data$T+3]
  tau <- exp(parm[Data$T+4])
  ### Log(Prior Densities)
  alpha.prior <- dnormv(alpha, mu, tau, log=TRUE)
  theta.prior <- sum(dnormv(theta[1], mu + phi*(alpha-mu), tau,
    log=TRUE), dnormv(theta[-1], mu + phi*(theta[-Data$T]-mu), tau,
    log=TRUE))
}

```

```

phi.prior <- dunif(phi, -1, 1, log=TRUE)
mu.prior <- dnormv(mu, 0, 10, log=TRUE)
tau.prior <- dhalfcauchy(tau, 25, log=TRUE)
### Log-Likelihood
beta <- exp(mu / 2)
sigma2 <- 1 / exp(theta)
LL <- sum(dnormv(Data$y, 0, sigma2, log=TRUE))
### Log-Posterior
LP <- LL + alpha.prior + theta.prior + phi.prior + mu.prior +
      tau.prior
Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=c(LP, tau, sigma2),
      yhat=rep(0,T), parm=parm)
return(Modelout)
}

```

79.4. Initial Values

```
Initial.Values <- rep(0,T+4)
```

80. Threshold Autoregression (TAR)

80.1. Form

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{y}_t &\sim \mathcal{N}(\nu_t, \sigma^2), \quad t = 1, \dots, T \\
\mathbf{y}^{new} &= \alpha_2 + \phi_2 \mathbf{y}_T \\
\nu_t &= \begin{cases} \alpha_1 + \phi_1 \mathbf{y}_{t-1}, & t = 1, \dots, T \quad \text{if } t \geq \theta \\ \alpha_2 + \phi_2 \mathbf{y}_{t-1}, & t = 1, \dots, T \quad \text{if } t < \theta \end{cases} \\
\alpha_j &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000) \in [-1, 1], \quad j = 1, \dots, 2 \\
\phi_j &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000) \in [-1, 1], \quad j = 1, \dots, 2 \\
\theta &\sim \mathcal{U}(2, T - 1) \\
\sigma &\sim \mathcal{HC}(25)
\end{aligned}$$

80.2. Data

```

y <- c(0.02, -0.51, -0.30, 1.46, -1.26, -2.15, -0.91, -0.53, -1.91,
      2.64, 1.64, 0.15, 1.46, 1.61, 1.96, -2.67, -0.19, -3.28,
      1.89, 0.91, -0.71, 0.74, -0.10, 3.20, -0.80, -5.25, 1.03,
      -0.40, -1.62, -0.80, 0.77, 0.17, -1.39, -1.28, 0.48, -1.02,
      0.09, -1.09, 0.86, 0.36, 1.51, -0.02, 0.47, 0.62, -1.36,
      1.12, 0.42, -4.39, -0.87, 0.05, -5.41, -7.38, -1.01, -1.70,
      0.64, 1.16, 0.87, 0.28, -1.69, -0.29, 0.13, -0.65, 0.83,

```

```

0.62, 0.05, -0.14, 0.01, -0.36, -0.32, -0.80, -0.06, 0.24,
0.23, -0.37, 0.00, -0.33, 0.21, -0.10, -0.10, -0.01, -0.40,
-0.35, 0.48, -0.28, 0.08, 0.28, 0.23, 0.27, -0.35, -0.19,
0.24, 0.17, -0.02, -0.23, 0.03, 0.02, -0.17, 0.04, -0.39,
-0.12, 0.16, 0.17, 0.00, 0.18, 0.06, -0.36, 0.22, 0.14,
-0.17, 0.10, -0.01, 0.00, -0.18, -0.02, 0.07, -0.06, 0.06,
-0.05, -0.08, -0.07, 0.01, -0.06, 0.01, 0.01, -0.02, 0.01,
0.01, 0.12, -0.03, 0.08, -0.10, 0.01, -0.03, -0.08, 0.04,
-0.09, -0.08, 0.01, -0.05, 0.08, -0.14, 0.06, -0.11, 0.09,
0.06, -0.12, -0.01, -0.05, -0.15, -0.05, -0.03, 0.04, 0.00,
-0.12, 0.04, -0.06, -0.05, -0.07, -0.05, -0.14, -0.05, -0.01,
-0.12, 0.05, 0.06, -0.10, 0.00, 0.01, 0.00, -0.08, 0.00,
0.00, 0.07, -0.01, 0.00, 0.09, 0.33, 0.13, 0.42, 0.24,
-0.36, 0.22, -0.09, -0.19, -0.10, -0.08, -0.07, 0.05, 0.07,
0.07, 0.00, -0.04, -0.05, 0.03, 0.08, 0.26, 0.10, 0.08,
0.09, -0.07, -0.33, 0.17, -0.03, 0.07, -0.04, -0.06, -0.06,
0.07, -0.03, 0.00, 0.08, 0.27, 0.11, 0.11, 0.06, -0.11,
-0.09, -0.21, 0.24, -0.12, 0.11, -0.02, -0.03, 0.02, -0.10,
0.00, -0.04, 0.01, 0.02, -0.03, -0.10, -0.09, 0.17, 0.07,
-0.05, -0.01, -0.05, 0.01, 0.00, -0.08, -0.05, -0.08, 0.07,
0.06, -0.14, 0.02, 0.01, 0.04, 0.00, -0.13, -0.17)

```

```

T <- length(y)
mon.names <- c("LP", "sigma", "ynew")
parm.names <- as.parm.names(list(alpha=rep(0,2), phi=rep(0,2), theta=0,
  log.sigma=0))
MyData <- list(T=T, mon.names=mon.names, parm.names=parm.names, y=y)

```

80.3. Model

```

Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Parameters
  alpha <- interval(parm[1:2], -1, 1); parm[1:2] <- alpha
  phi <- interval(parm[3:4], -1, 1); parm[3:4] <- phi
  theta <- interval(parm[5], 2, Data$T-1); parm[5] <- theta
  sigma <- exp(parm[6])
  ### Log(Prior Densities)
  alpha.prior <- sum(dtrunc(alpha, "norm", a=-1, b=1, mean=0,
    sd=sqrt(1000), log=TRUE))
  phi.prior <- sum(dtrunc(phi, "norm", a=-1, b=1, mean=0,
    sd=sqrt(1000), log=TRUE))
  alpha.prior <- sum(dnormv(alpha, 0, 1000, log=TRUE))
  phi.prior <- sum(dnormv(phi, 0, 1000, log=TRUE))
  theta.prior <- dunif(theta, 2, Data$T-1, log=TRUE)
  sigma.prior <- dhalfcauchy(sigma, 25, log=TRUE)
  ### Log-Likelihood

```

```

mu <- matrix(0, Data$T, 2)
mu[,1] <- c(alpha[1], alpha[1] + phi[1]*Data$y[-Data$T])
mu[,2] <- c(alpha[2], alpha[2] + phi[2]*Data$y[-Data$T])
nu <- ifelse(1:Data$T < theta, mu[,1], mu[,2])
ynew <- alpha[2] + phi[2]*Data$y[Data$T]
LL <- sum(dnorm(Data$y, nu, sigma, log=TRUE))
### Log-Posterior
LP <- LL + alpha.prior + phi.prior + theta.prior + sigma.prior
Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=c(LP,sigma,ynew),
  yhat=nu, parm=parm)
return(Modelout)
}

```

80.4. Initial Values

```
Initial.Values <- c(rep(0,4), T/2, log(1))
```

81. TARCH(1)

In this TARCH example, there are two regimes, one for positive residuals in the previous time-period, and the other for negative. The TARCH parameters are the θ vector.

81.1. Form

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{y}_t &\sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_t, \sigma_t^2), \quad t = 2, \dots, T \\
 \sigma_t^2 &= \omega + \theta_1 \delta_{t-1} \epsilon_{t-1}^2 + \theta_2 (1 - \delta_{t-1}) \epsilon_{t-1}^2, \quad t = 2, \dots, T \\
 \delta_t &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \epsilon_t > 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\
 \epsilon &= \mathbf{y} - \mu \\
 \mu_t &= \alpha + \phi \mathbf{y}_{t-1}, \quad t = 2, \dots, T \\
 \alpha &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000) \\
 \phi &\sim \mathcal{U}(-1, 1) \\
 \omega &\sim \mathcal{HC}(25) \\
 \theta_j &\sim \mathcal{U}(0, 1), \quad j = 1, \dots, 2
 \end{aligned}$$

81.2. Data

```

T <- 20
phi <- 0.8
epsilon <- rnorm(T)
epsilon <- ifelse(epsilon < 0, epsilon * 2, epsilon)

```



```

y <- rep(0,T)
for (t in 2:T) {y[t] <- phi*y[t-1] + epsilon[t]}
mon.names <- c("LP","ynew","sigma2.new")
parm.names <- as.parm.names(list(alpha=0, phi=0, log.omega=0,
  theta=rep(0,2)))
MyData <- list(T=T, mon.names=mon.names, parm.names=parm.names, y=y)

```

81.3. Model

```

Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Parameters
  alpha <- parm[1]
  parm[2] <- phi <- interval(parm[2], -1, 1)
  omega <- exp(parm[3])
  parm[4:5] <- theta <- interval(parm[4:5], 0.001, 0.999)
  ### Log(Prior Densities)
  alpha.prior <- dnormv(alpha, 0, 1000, log=TRUE)
  phi.prior <- dunif(phi, -1, 1, log=TRUE)
  omega.prior <- dhalfcauchy(omega, 25, log=TRUE)
  theta.prior <- sum(dunif(theta, 0, 1, log=TRUE))
  ### Log-Likelihood
  mu <- alpha + c(0, Data$y[-Data$T]) * phi
  ynew <- alpha + Data$y[Data$T] * phi
  epsilon <- Data$y - mu
  delta <- (epsilon > 0) * 1
  sigma2 <- omega + theta[1] * c(0,delta[-Data$T]) *
    c(0, epsilon[-Data$T]^2)
  sigma2[-1] <- sigma2[-1] + theta[2] * (1 - delta[-Data$T]) *
    epsilon[-Data$T]^2
  sigma2.new <- omega + theta[1] * delta[Data$T] * epsilon[Data$T]^2 +
    theta[2] * (1 - delta[Data$T]) * epsilon[Data$T]^2
  LL <- sum(dnormv(Data$y[-1], mu[-1], sigma2[-1], log=TRUE))
  ### Log-Posterior
  LP <- LL + alpha.prior + phi.prior + omega.prior + theta.prior
  Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=c(LP, ynew, sigma2.new),
    yhat=mu, parm=parm)
  return(Modelout)
}

```

81.4. Initial Values

```
Initial.Values <- c(0, 0, 1, 0.5, 0.5)
```

82. Variable Selection, BAL

This approach to variable selection is one of several forms of the Bayesian Adaptive Lasso (BAL). The lasso applies shrinkage to exchangeable scale parameters, γ , for the regression effects, β .

82.1. Form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{y} &\sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2) \\ \mu &= \mathbf{X}\beta \\ \beta_j &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, \gamma_j), \quad j = 1, \dots, J \\ \gamma_j &\sim \mathcal{G}^{-1}(\delta, \tau) \\ \delta &\sim \mathcal{HC}(25) \\ \tau &\sim \mathcal{HC}(25) \\ \sigma &\sim \mathcal{HC}(25) \end{aligned}$$

82.2. Data

```
data(demonsnacks)
J <- ncol(demonsnacks)
y <- log(demonsnacks$Calories)
X <- cbind(1, as.matrix(demonsnacks[,c(1,3:10)]))
for (j in 2:J) {X[,j] <- CenterScale(X[,j])}
mon.names <- c("LP","sigma")
parm.names <- as.parm.names(list(beta=rep(0,J), log.gamma=rep(0,J),
  log.delta=0, log.tau=0, log.sigma=0))
MyData <- list(J=J, X=X, mon.names=mon.names, parm.names=parm.names, y=y)
```

82.3. Model

```
Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Hyperhyperparameters
  delta <- exp(parm[2*Data$J+1])
  tau <- exp(parm[2*Data$J+2])
  ### Hyperparameters
  gamma <- exp(parm[Data$J+1:Data$J])
  ### Parameters
  beta <- parm[1:Data$J]
  sigma <- exp(parm[2*Data$J+3])
  ### Log(Hyperhyperprior Densities)
  delta.prior <- dhalfcauchy(delta, 25, log=TRUE)
```

```

tau.prior <- dhalfcauchy(tau, 25, log=TRUE)
### Log(Hyperprior Densities)
gamma.prior <- sum(dinvgamma(gamma, delta, tau, log=TRUE))
### Log(Prior Densities)
beta.prior <- sum(dnormv(beta, 0, gamma, log=TRUE))
sigma.prior <- dhalfcauchy(sigma, 25, log=TRUE)
### Log-Likelihood
mu <- tcrossprod(Data$X, t(beta))
LL <- sum(dnorm(Data$y, mu, sigma, log=TRUE))
### Log-Posterior
LP <- LL + beta.prior + gamma.prior + delta.prior + tau.prior +
      sigma.prior
Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=c(LP,sigma), yhat=mu,
      parm=parm)
return(Modelout)
}

```

82.4. Initial Values

```
Initial.Values <- c(rep(0,J), rep(0,J), rep(1,3))
```

83. Variable Selection, SSVS

This example uses a modified form of the random-effects (or global adaptation) Stochastic Search Variable Selection (SSVS) algorithm presented in [O'Hara and Sillanpaa \(2009\)](#), which selects variables according to practical significance rather than statistical significance. Here, SSVS is applied to linear regression, though this method is widely applicable. For J variables, each regression effects vector β_j is conditional on γ_j , a binary inclusion variable. Each β_j is a discrete mixture distribution with respect to $\gamma_j = 0$ or $\gamma_j = 1$, with precision 100 or $\beta_\sigma = 0.1$, respectively. As with other representations of SSVS, these precisions may require tuning.

With other representations of SSVS, each γ_j is Bernoulli-distributed, though this would be problematic in Laplace's Demon, because γ_j would be in the list of parameters (rather than monitors), and would not be stationary due to switching behavior. To keep γ in the monitors, a weakly informative normal density is placed on each prior δ_j , with mean $1/J$ for J variables and variance 1000. Each δ_j is transformed with the inverse logit and rounded to γ_j . Note that $\lfloor x + 0.5 \rfloor$ means to round x . The prior for δ can be manipulated to influence sparseness.

When the goal is to select the best model, each $\mathbf{X}_{1:N,j}$ is retained for a future run when the posterior mean of $\gamma_j \geq 0.5$. When the goal is model-averaging, the results of this model may be used directly, which would please L. J. Savage, who said that “models should be as big as an elephant” ([Draper 1995](#)).

83.1. Form

$$\mathbf{y} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mu &= \mathbf{X}\beta \\ (\beta_j | \gamma_j) &\sim (1 - \gamma_j)\mathcal{N}(0, 0.01) + \gamma_j\mathcal{N}(0, \beta_\sigma^2) \quad j = 1, \dots, J \\ \beta_\sigma &\sim \mathcal{HC}(25) \\ \gamma_j &= \lfloor \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-\delta_j)} + 0.5 \rfloor, \quad j = 1, \dots, J \\ \delta_j &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 10) \in [-100, 100], \quad j = 1, \dots, J \\ \sigma &\sim \mathcal{HC}(25)\end{aligned}$$

83.2. Data

```
data(demonsnacks)
J <- ncol(demonsnacks)
y <- log(demonsnacks$Calories)
X <- cbind(1, as.matrix(demonsnacks[,c(1,3:10)]))
for (j in 2:J) {X[,j] <- CenterScale(X[,j])}
mon.names <- c("LP", "min.beta.sigma", "sigma",
               as.parm.names(list(gamma=rep(0,J))))
parm.names <- as.parm.names(list(beta=rep(0,J), delta=rep(0,J),
                                log.beta.sigma=0, log.sigma=0))
MyData <- list(J=J, X=X, mon.names=mon.names, parm.names=parm.names, y=y)
```

83.3. Model

```
Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Hyperparameters
  beta.sigma <- exp(parm[grepl("log.beta.sigma", Data$parm.names)])
  ### Parameters
  beta <- parm[1:Data$J]
  delta <- interval(parm[grepl("delta", Data$parm.names)], -100, 100)
  parm[grepl("delta", Data$parm.names)] <- delta
  gamma <- round(invlogit(delta))
  beta.sigma <- ifelse(gamma == 0, 0.1, beta.sigma)
  sigma <- exp(parm[grepl("log.sigma", Data$parm.names)])
  ### Log(Hyperprior Densities)
  beta.sigma.prior <- sum(dhalfcauchy(beta.sigma, 25, log=TRUE))
  ### Log(Prior Densities)
  beta.prior <- sum(dnorm(beta, 0, beta.sigma, log=TRUE))
  delta.prior <- sum(dtrunc(delta, "norm", a=-100, b=100,
                           mean=logit(1/Data$J), sd=sqrt(1000), log=TRUE))
  sigma.prior <- dhalfcauchy(sigma, 25, log=TRUE)
  ### Log-Likelihood
  mu <- tcrossprod(beta, Data$X)
  LL <- sum(dnorm(y, mu, sigma, log=TRUE))
  ### Log-Posterior
```

```

LP <- LL + beta.prior + beta.sigma.prior + delta.prior + sigma.prior
Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=c(LP, min(beta.sigma),
      sigma, gamma), yhat=mu, parm=parm)
return(Modelout)
}

```

83.4. Initial Values

```
Initial.Values <- c(rep(0,J), rep(0,J), log(1), log(1))
```

84. Vector Autoregression, VAR(1)

84.1. Form

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{Y}_{t,j} &\sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_{t,j}, \sigma_j^2), \quad t = 1, \dots, T, \quad j = 1, \dots, J \\
\mu_{t,j} &= \alpha_j + \Phi_{1:J,j} \mathbf{Y}_{t-1,j} \\
\mathbf{y}_j^{new} &= \alpha_j + \Phi_{1:J,j} \mathbf{Y}_{T,j} \\
\alpha_j &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000) \\
\sigma_j &\sim \mathcal{HC}(25) \\
\Phi_{i,k} &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000), \quad i = 1, \dots, J, \quad k = 1, \dots, J
\end{aligned}$$

84.2. Data

```

T <- 100
J <- 3
Y <- matrix(0,T,J)
for (j in 1:J) {for (t in 2:T) {
  Y[t,j] <- Y[t-1,j] + rnorm(1,0,0.1)}}
mon.names <- c("LP", as.parm.names(list(ynew=rep(0,J))))
parm.names <- as.parm.names(list(alpha=rep(0,J), Phi=matrix(0,J,J),
  log.sigma=rep(0,J)))
MyData <- list(J=J, T=T, Y=Y, mon.names=mon.names, parm.names=parm.names)

```

84.3. Model

```

Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Parameters
  alpha <- parm[1:Data$J]
  Phi <- matrix(parm[grep("Phi", Data$parm.names)], Data$J, Data$J)
  sigma <- exp(parm[grep("log.sigma", Data$parm.names)])
}

```

```

### Log(Prior Densities)
alpha.prior <- sum(dnormv(alpha, 0, 1000, log=TRUE))
Phi.prior <- sum(dnormv(Phi, 0, 1000, log=TRUE))
sigma.prior <- sum(dhalfcauchy(sigma, 25, log=TRUE))
### Log-Likelihood
mu <- matrix(alpha,Data$T,Data$J,byrow=TRUE)
  mu[-1,] <- mu[-1,] + tcrossprod(Data$Y[-Data$T,], Phi)
ynew <- alpha + as.vector(crossprod(Phi, Data$Y[Data$T,]))
LL <- sum(dnorm(Data$Y, mu,
  matrix(sigma,Data$T,Data$J,byrow=TRUE), log=TRUE))
### Log-Posterior
LP <- LL + alpha.prior + Phi.prior + sigma.prior
Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=c(LP,ynew), yhat=mu,
  parm=parm)
return(Modelout)
}

```

84.4. Initial Values

```
Initial.Values <- c(colMeans(Y), rep(0,J*J), rep(log(1),J))
```

85. Weighted Regression

It is easy enough to apply record-level weights to the likelihood. Here, weights are applied to the linear regression example in [section 40](#).

85.1. Form

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{y} &\sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \sigma^2) \\
 \boldsymbol{\mu} &= \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} \\
 \beta_j &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000), \quad j = 1, \dots, J \\
 \sigma &\sim \mathcal{HC}(25)
 \end{aligned}$$

85.2. Data

```

data(demonsnacks)
N <- nrow(demonsnacks)
J <- ncol(demonsnacks)
y <- log(demonsnacks$Calories)
X <- cbind(1, as.matrix(demonsnacks[,c(1,3:10)]))
for (j in 2:J) {X[,j] <- CenterScale(X[,j])}
w <- c(rep(1,5), 0.2, 1, 0.01, rep(1,31))
w <- w * (sum(w) / N)

```

```

mon.names <- c("LP","sigma")
parm.names <- as.parm.names(list(beta=rep(0,J), log.sigma=0))
MyData <- list(J=J, X=X, mon.names=mon.names, parm.names=parm.names, w=w,
               y=y)

```

85.3. Model

```

Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Parameters
  beta <- parm[1:Data$J]
  sigma <- exp(parm[Data$J+1])
  ### Log(Prior Densities)
  beta.prior <- sum(dnormv(beta, 0, 1000, log=TRUE))
  sigma.prior <- dhalfcauchy(sigma, 25, log=TRUE)
  ### Log-Likelihood
  mu <- tcrossprod(Data$X, t(beta))
  LL <- sum(w * dnorm(Data$y, mu, sigma, log=TRUE))
  ### Log-Posterior
  LP <- LL + beta.prior + sigma.prior
  Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=c(LP,sigma), yhat=mu,
                  parm=parm)
  return(Modelout)
}

```

85.4. Initial Values

```
Initial.Values <- c(rep(0,J), log(1))
```

86. Zero-Inflated Poisson (ZIP)

86.1. Form

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{y} &\sim \mathcal{P}(\Lambda_{1:N,2}) \\
 \mathbf{z} &\sim \mathcal{BERN}(\Lambda_{1:N,1}) \\
 \mathbf{z}_i &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mathbf{y}_i = 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\
 \Lambda_{i,2} &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \Lambda_{i,1} \geq 0.5 \\ \Lambda_{i,2} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\
 \Lambda_{1:N,1} &= \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-\mathbf{X}_1 \alpha)}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Lambda_{1:N,2} = \exp(\mathbf{X}_2\beta)$$

$$\alpha_j \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000), \quad j = 1, \dots, J_1$$

$$\beta_j \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1000), \quad j = 1, \dots, J_2$$

86.2. Data

```

N <- 1000
J1 <- 4
J2 <- 3
X1 <- matrix(runif(N*J1,-2,2),N,J1); X1[,1] <- 1
X2 <- matrix(runif(N*J2,-2,2),N,J2); X2[,1] <- 1
alpha <- runif(J1,-1,1)
beta <- runif(J2,-1,1)
p <- invlogit(tcrossprod(X1, t(alpha)) + rnorm(N,0,0.1))
mu <- round(exp(tcrossprod(X2, t(beta)) + rnorm(N,0,0.1)))
y <- ifelse(p > 0.5, 0, mu)
z <- ifelse(y == 0, 1, 0)
mon.names <- "LP"
parm.names <- as.parm.names(list(alpha=rep(0,J1), beta=rep(0,J2)))
MyData <- list(J1=J1, J2=J2, N=N, X1=X1, X2=X2, mon.names=mon.names,
               parm.names=parm.names, y=y, z=z)

```

86.3. Model

```

Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
  ### Parameters
  parm[1:Data$J1] <- alpha <- interval(parm[1:Data$J1], -5, 5)
  beta <- parm[Data$J1+1:Data$J2]
  parm[Data$J1+1:Data$J2] <- beta
  ### Log(Prior Densities)
  alpha.prior <- sum(dnormv(alpha, 0, 5, log=TRUE))
  beta.prior <- sum(dnormv(beta, 0, 5, log=TRUE))
  ### Log-Likelihood
  Lambda <- matrix(NA, Data$N, 2)
  Lambda[,1] <- invlogit(tcrossprod(Data$X1, t(alpha)))
  Lambda[,2] <- exp(tcrossprod(Data$X2, t(beta)))
  Lambda[,2] <- ifelse(Lambda[,1] >= 0.5, 0, Lambda[,2])
  LL <- sum(dbern(Data$z, Lambda[,1], log=TRUE),
            dpois(Data$y, Lambda[,2], log=TRUE))
  ### Log-Posterior
  LP <- LL + alpha.prior + beta.prior
  Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=LP,
                  yhat=Lambda[,2], parm=parm)
  return(Modelout)
}

```


}

86.4. Initial Values

```
Initial.Values <- GIV(Model, MyData, n=10000)
```

References

- Albert J (1997). “Bayesian Testing and Estimation of Association in a Two-Way Contingency Table.” *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, **92**(438), 685–693.
- Barnard J, McCulloch R, Meng X (2000). “Modeling Covariance Matrices in Terms of Standard Deviations and Correlations, with Application to Shrinkage.” *Statistica Sinica*, **10**, 1281–1311.
- Congdon P (2003). *Applied Bayesian Modelling*. John Wiley & Sons, West Sussex, England.
- Crainiceanu C, Ruppert D, Wand M (2005). “Bayesian Analysis for Penalized Spline Regression Using WinBUGS.” *Journal of Statistical Software*, **14**(14), 1–24.
- Draper D (1995). “Assessment and Propagation of Model Uncertainty.” *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society*, **B 57**(1), 45–97.
- Gelman A (2011). *R2WinBUGS: Running WinBUGS and OpenBUGS from R / S-PLUS*. R package version 2.1-18, URL <http://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/R2WinBUGS/index.html>.
- Gelman A, Carlin J, Stern H, Rubin D (2004). *Bayesian Data Analysis*. 2nd edition. Chapman & Hall, Boca Raton, FL.
- Hall B (2012). *LaplacesDemon: Software for Bayesian Inference*. R package version 12.07.02, URL <http://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/LaplacesDemon/index.html>.
- Kleine L (1950). *Economic Fluctuations in the United States 1921-1940*. John Wiley & Sons, New York, New York.
- Kotz S, Kozubowski T, Podgorski K (2001). *The Laplace Distribution and Generalizations: A Revisit with Applications to Communications, Economics, Engineering, and Finance*. Birkhauser, Boston.
- O’Hara R, Sillanpaa M (2009). “A Review of Bayesian Variable Selection Methods: What, How and Which.” *Journal of Bayesian Analysis*, **4**(1), 85–118.
- R Development Core Team (2012). *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. ISBN 3-900051-07-0, URL <http://www.R-project.org>.

Spiegelhalter D, Thomas A, Best N, Lunn D (2003). *WinBUGS User Manual, Version 1.4*. MRC Biostatistics Unit, Institute of Public Health and Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, Imperial College School of Medicine, UK.

Zellner A (1962). “An Efficient Method of Estimating Seemingly Unrelated Regression Equations and Tests for Aggregation Bias.” *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, **57**, 348–368.

Affiliation:

Byron Hall

STATISTICAT, LLC

Farmington, CT

E-mail: statisticat@gmail.com

URL: <http://www.statisticat.com/laplacesdemon.html>